James S. Diana, Carol A. Jones, and David O. Lucchesi

School of Natural Resources The University of Michigan

and

James C. Schneider

Institute for Fisheries Research Michigan Department of Natural Resources

COASTAL ZONE
INFORMATION CENTER

FINAL REPORT

GRANT LRP-8C-7 COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MARCH 1987

This document was prepared in part
through financial assistance provided by
the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972
administered by the Office of Coastal Zone Management
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Assumistration

Reserves)

an. De

SH 165 E93 1987

EVALUATION OF THE YELLOW PERCH FISHERY AND ITS IMPORTANCE TO THE LOCAL ECONOMY OF THE LES CHENEAUX ISLANDS AREA

Property of CSC Library

James S. Diana, Carol A. Jones, and David O. Lucchesi School of Natural Resources The University of Michigan

and

James C. Schneider

Institute for Fisheries Research Michigan Department of Natural Resources

FINAL REPORT

GRANT LRP-8C-7 COASTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MARCH 1987

INTRODUCTION

The Les Cheneaux area of northern Lake Huron is well known for its scenic beauty and its yellow perch¹ fishery (Fig. 1). Since the 1940s it has attracted large numbers of tourists, mostly families who want to catch and eat perch while they relax for a week or two. A substantial summer resort industry developed, based primarily on cottage and boat rentals. These resorts are the economic backbone of the Cedarville-Hessel area.

In recent years there have been many complaints about declines in perch fishing, tourism, and the economic health of this area. Many resort owners believe that large perch are becoming rare and that, as a result, perch fishermen are taking their business elsewhere. They attribute this change in the perch population to a variety of reasons: harvesting with gill nets by native Americans, competition for perch between winter resident anglers and summer tourist anglers, general overfishing, environmental change, or other causes. Other observers suspect that a decline in family-oriented fishing vacations may be occurring statewide—i.e., the tourist market is changing. Thus, the key questions were (1) is there a problem? (2) is it mostly biological or sociological? and (3) can it be solved?

Extensive biological data on this yellow perch population have been collected since 1969 by the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR). In addition, a survey of sportfishing pressure (days/hours of fishing) and catch was made from April 1979 to March 1981.² When compiled and carefully scrutinized, these data did not substantiate the claim that a significant decline in the perch population or fishery had taken place.³ However, these data were not adequate to detect subtle changes, or ones which began prior to 1969.

Convincing evidence for a fishery problem was provided by a 1985 tagging study. Perch exploitation was found to be relatively high, about 40% per year. This high rate, coupled with prior data showing that anglers were harvesting relatively small-sized perch, suggested overfishing. This was substantiated by a mathematical simulation of the population and fishery. The model pinpointed "growth overfishing" and indicated the best solution to the fishery problem was to increase the minimum size limit from none (current regulation) to 7 inches (October 1986), then to 8 inches (October 1987). It was reasoned that this stepwise increase would temper the initially harsh effect on the fishery and allow time to monitor both

See Table 1 for the common and scientific names of fishes mentioned in this report.

²J. R. Ryckman and R. N. Lockwood. 1985. On-site creel surveys in Michigan, 1975–82. Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Fisheries Research Report 1922.

³MDNR, Fisheries Division Interoffice Communication from J. R. Ryckman and J. C. Schneider to W. C. Latta, December 1, 1983.

⁴MDNR, Fisheries Division Interoffice Communication from J. C. Schneider to John Schrouder, November 15, 1985.

public reaction and the perch population. These size limit changes were approved by the Natural Resources Commission.

This study was initiated for five reasons. The first reason, with regard to the perch fishery, was to determine if fishing pressure, catch, catch rate, and perch size had changed since the 1979-81 creel census, and by perch tagging in spring 1986, to confirm that exploitation rate was as high as indicated by the 1985 tagging. The second reason was to update estimates of fish population parameters such as growth rate, movements, and population size which are important ingredients in the mathematical model. The third reason was to determine the types of participants in the fishery and tourist industry and to measure their value to the local economy. The fourth reason was to provide the baseline data needed to measure any future changes brought about by the new size limits. The fifth reason was to provide the information needed to make the best policy and management decisions for the Les Cheneaux area.

A cooperative study was designed by Dr. W. C. Latta. Main contributors were University of Michigan faculty (Dr. James Diana and Dr. Carol Jones) and students (primarily Dave Lucchesi, Beth Schoner, Susan Allin, Gerald Broda, and Robert Springborn), Institute for Fisheries Research staff (principally Dr. W. C. Latta and J. C. Schneider), and MDNR Newberry personnel (principally John Schrouder, William Gruhn, Cheryl Holbrook, and Betty Sheffield). Aspects of the study dealing with economics were directed by Dr. Jones; aspects dealing with biology and fisheries were delegated to David Lucchesi for his Master of Science thesis (to be completed spring 1987) and were directed by Dr. Diana and James Schneider. The study was funded by MDNR, Division of Land Resource Programs, Coastal Management Program Contract LRP-8C-7.

Results of the study are presented in two major chapters, entitled: "An analysis of the Les Cheneaux fishery in 1986" (pages 4 to 25) and "Tourism related to recreational fishing in Les Cheneaux Islands: Demographic profile and economic impact" (pages 26 to 54). General trends and conclusions are discussed in Chapter 3 (pages 55 to 58).

CHAPTER 1. AN ANALYSIS OF THE LES CHENEAUX FISHERY IN 1986

INTRODUCTION

This aspect of the study was designed to determine the status of the yellow perch population and fishery. The data we collected were compared to data from previous surveys to determine if changes have occurred and if the mathematical model developed by Schneider in 1985 was still an appropriate guide to fishery management.

METHODS

Fishery data were collected by means of a standard MDNR on-site creel survey (Appendix 1 and 2). The survey was taken on a randomized schedule, 5:30 A.M. to 10:00 P.M., and stratified by month, day (weekday vs weekend), and type of fishing (boat vs pier vs shore). It consisted of two parts. First, fishermen were randomly interviewed at the end of each trip on the lake to determine catch (species, number, and size), hours fished, species sought, gear, and residence. In addition, anglers were asked if they would prefer to catch seven perch averaging 7.5 inches long or five perch averaging 9 inches, and if they would support regulations to improve the fishery. Second, periodic counts of fishing boats, pier anglers, and shore anglers were made from ground vantage points to determine average fishing pressure. In addition, counts of fishing boats were made from an airplane from May 19 through August, five times per week, weather permitting. The interview and count data were then integrated to produce estimates of total fishing pressure in number of angler trips and hours, catch by species, and catch per hour. Further details on general creel census methodology are given in the Ryckman and Lockwood report.² Some anglers were interviewed more than once because the probability of being interviewed (randomly) depended upon the amount of time each angler fished.

Several types of data about the perch population were collected including growth, total mortality, exploitation, movements, and population size. Growth and total mortality data were derived from stratified-random scale samples collected during spring trap netting and fall gill netting following standard procedures. We calculated average length at age and age frequency, and estimated of total mortality from catch curves.⁵

Data on exploitation rate, movements, and population size were derived by tagging 4,969 perch from April 22 to May 5, 1985 and 6,680 perch from April 14 to April 29, 1986. The perch were mostly adults, 7.0 inches and larger, captured while spawning in Mackinac, Flower,

W. E. Ricker. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Fisheries Research Board of Canada Bulletin 191.

and Sheppard bays. The perch were captured in trap nets and tagged with serially numbered Floy FD-68B Anchor tags (orange in 1985, yellow in 1986, with overlapping numbers). Recaptures were summarized by month, locality (bay number) and type of gear (angling or netting). To encourage tag returns by anglers, in 1985 a one dollar reward was offered for each tag plus a chance to win monetary prizes in a lottery. In 1986, the one dollar reward was dropped and a lottery with five prizes of \$100 each was promoted. Extensive publicity and assistance were provided by local sporting goods stores, resorts, and other businesses. The reward system offered in 1985 seemed to encourage better angler cooperation than the reward system offered in 1986.

RESULTS

Winter catch and effort

Estimates of ice fishing pressure and catch extended from January 1 to April 14, when the ice became unsafe. The creel census covered the entire season except for a small amount of ice fishing during late December.

Shanty fishermen fished an estimated 21,189 hours and open-ice anglers fished an estimated 12,310 hours (Tables 2 and 3). The total ice fishing hours, 34,000, represented 8% of the yearly total (Table 4).

Yellow perch comprised 95% of the fish caught. Winter perch catch was estimated at 49,283, which was 11% of the yearly total. Cisco, northern pike, and other species made up the other 5% of the winter catch.

The quality of the ice fishery in 1986 was similar to that in 1981 but much poorer than in 1980 (Table 5). Estimated fishing hours were similar for all years (27,000 to 33,000) but catch was about two times higher in 1980 (109,000) than in 1981 (51,000) and 1986 (49,000). Correspondingly, the average number of perch caught per hour for 1980 (3.44) was double the rates for 1981 (1.86) and 1986 (1.47).

Summer catch and effort

The open-water creel census extended from the beginning of open-water fishing (April 23) through August. September data collected by the MDNR is presented also to complete the picture of the open-water fishery, as very little fishing takes place in October and November.²

An important finding was that counts of fishing boats made from vantage points on the ground were much less (factor of 2.52 ± 0.225) than counts made from an airplane. This was determined by comparing counts made in similar time strata. Apparently, a surprising number of boats were hidden in the myriad of islands and bays, or were located far enough offshore to be out of sight. Consequently, we made boat fishing estimates based on both ground and air counts (ground counts x 2.52). The estimates based on air counts are believed to be the most

realistic; however, the estimates based on ground counts are useful for comparisons to previous surveys which used only ground counts.

The airplane was not able to make accurate counts of pier and shore fishermen. Consequently, the estimates of pier and shore fishing effort were based on ground counts—as was done in previous creel census surveys. This caused no important bias in the total estimates because both fisheries were very small.

The open-water fishery totaled about 373,000 hours, of which 97.8% was by boat anglers, 1.6% by shore anglers, and 0.6% was by pier anglers (Tables 6-8). This represented 92% of the yearly fishing effort.

Yellow perch comprised 82% of the fish caught. Summer (open-water) perch catch was estimated at nearly 390,000, which was 89% of the yearly total (Table 4). Perch catch peaked in June.

By comparison, in 1979 and 1980 the peak perch catch occurred in July and the summer totals were estimated at 84,000 and 92,000, respectively (Table 5). The totals suggest that a tremendous increase in summer perch catch took place in 1986; however this increase is due in part to the use of airplanes to count boats in 1986. The 1986 estimate of summer perch catch based entirely on ground counts of boats was 145,000 (Tables 5 and 8)—a statistically significant increase of 39% over the 1979-80 average. Comparable estimates of summer fishing hours were 88,000 in 1979, 41,000 in 1980, and 143,000 in 1986—a statistically significant increase of 63 to 249%. However, the perch catch rate in 1986 (1.02 \pm 0.21 per hour) was the same as in 1979 (0.96 \pm 0.43 per hour), and both rates were significantly below that of 1980 (2.27 \pm 0.33). Overall, the summer perch fishery in 1986 was comparable to, or better than, that in 1979-82.

A partial creel census in May and June 1985 provided additional estimates for comparison (J. Ryckman, personal communication). These estimates were based on ground counts of fishing pressure. For May and June combined, the estimates of perch catch were 32,000 for 1985 and 61,000 for 1986. Corresponding estimates of fishing hours were 28,000 in 1985 and 54,000 in 1986. Thus for those 2 months, the perch fishery was about twice as good in 1986 as in 1985.

Species of secondary frequency in the summer fishery were rock bass, cisco, northern pike, sunfish, and menominee (Table 8). Compared to estimates in 1980 and 1981, 1986 catches were up for rock bass, down for cisco (herring), up for pike, up for sunfish, and up for menominee. The menominee fishery was new; it began off Boot Island and the Middle Entrance in the summer of 1982.

Several other species were important to the fishery in 1986. The popularity of smallmouth bass had increased since 1979-80 and the 1986 catch was estimated at 3,056 (Table 4). Chinook salmon and brown trout, cold-water species stocked by MDNR, were beginning to

account for a larger fraction of the angling pressure and catch. In July and August, as many as 50 boats trolled the outer bays and channel mouths for salmon and trout. Estimated catches for 1986 were 2,651 salmon and 513 brown trout (Table 4). The discontinued stocking of lake trout and an Indian commercial fishery have resulted in a steep decline in the lake trout sport fishery. Catch estimates were over 5,000 in 1979, too low to estimate in 1980, and 164 in 1986.

A potential error in all the summer creel census data collected to date is that residents who live along the water were sampled less than tourists because they did not use the public access points as frequently. Since it is likely that these local residents are more familiar with the fishery and could catch fish at a higher rate, this may lead to underestimates of catch rate and total catch. This potential source of error should be evaluated in future censuses.

Length frequency of perch in sport catch

From May through August, the creel census clerk measured representative samples of yellow perch kept by fishermen (Table 9). Jumbo yellow perch (>10 inches) comprised about 6% of the total perch harvest. Perch less than 7 inches long comprised 17% of the perch catch and perch less than 8 inches long made up 65% of the catch. By comparison, for 1979-81 these percentages were 40% and 80%, respectively. Thus, perch were of larger size in 1986.

Residence of anglers

The residences of anglers were determined from 2,530 interview slips. Anglers were interviewed randomly, in proportion to the amount of time they fished. Approximately 77% of the ice fishing effort was by residents of Mackinac and Chippewa counties, 17% was by residents of the Lower Peninsula, and 6% was by out-of-state residents.

The majority of the summer fishing effort was by residents of the Lower Peninsula (60%) or other states (26%). Residents of Mackinac and Chippewa counties accounted for only 13% of the total open-water interviewees and residents of other Upper Peninsula counties comprised only 14%.

Overall, 21% of the fishing was by local anglers (Chippewa and Mackinac counties), 22% was by out-of-state anglers, and the majority (51%) was by anglers residing in the Lower Peninsula.

Species sought

Most (95%) interviewees during the winter creel census said they were fishing for yellow perch. Northern pike (1%) and cisco (1%) were also targeted by ice anglers.

A large proportion (61%) of the summer interviewees fished for yellow perch. Northern pike were popular (15%), especially in the month of May (32%). Cisco (herring) were also popular (12%), especially during July (31%). Trout and salmon fishing were listed as

important on 4% of the total angler interviews; chinook salmon were especially important (17%) during August.

Overall, yellow perch attracted a wide majority of the fishing effort (68%). Pike (12%) and cisco (9%) were of secondary importance. Less than 5% of the fishing effort was directed at any other species. Correspondingly, 87% of the interviewees were still fishing with bait and 12% were casting or trolling with artificial lures.

Angler size preferences

The response of anglers to the question regarding catch preferences was as follows: overall, 55% preferred five 9-inch perch, 27% preferred seven 7-inch perch, and 18% had no opinion. Winter fishermen, who were predominately local residents, were more inclined to select the more but smaller option than summer anglers, who were predominately tourists. Among ice angler interviewees, 49% preferred five 9-inch perch, 43% preferred seven 7-inch perch, and 8% had no opinion. Among open-water interviewees, the corresponding statistics were 58%, 21%, and 21%, respectively. In addition, most interviewees (48%) indicated they would support restrictive fishing regulations which would produce larger but fewer perch. About 27% were against such regulations and 25% had no opinion. These percentages did not vary seasonally.

Perch growth

Growth data were compiled from scale samples collected during the annual fall assessment and the 1985-86 spring perch tagging projects (Table 10). The average length of spring fish of a given age should be compared with the average length of fall fish which are 1 year younger since virtually no growth in length occurred overwinter. For example, the length of a 3-year-old perch collected in spring 1986 should be the same as the length of a 2-year-old fish collected in fall 1985 (if the samples did not have sampling bias). Comparison of the 1985 and 1986 fall growth data with growth data taken prior to 1983 show growth rates have slowed significantly. The spring 1985 length-age data further support this conclusion. However, the spring 1986 growth data suggest that growth rates have not changed. Consequently, all the scale samples are being re-aged and final results of the growth analysis will be discussed in Lucchesi's thesis.

Perch exploitation rates

From April 1985 to April 1986, sport fishermen returned 1,401 orange tags from a total of 4,969 tagged fish. These returns indicate a minimum sportfishing exploitation rate of 28.2%. We judge that about another 8% of the tags were lost by fish or anglers; this inflates the exploitation rate to about 36%. A high annual exploitation rate (36%) and a moderate

annual total mortality indicate that these yellow perch have a relatively low natural mortality. The April through October return of 1986 yellow perch tags was 17%, which was about three-fourths the rate of return for 1985 perch tags. The decreased rate of return was more likely due to the discontinuation of the one dollar reward for each tag returned and a decreased interest in the tagging study after the first year rather than an actual decrease in exploitation rate of perch. This opinion was reinforced by the high total catch of perch in 1986.

In addition to sportfishing, the Les Cheneaux perch supported a native assessment fishery. The Chippewa tribe used 2 1/2-inch mesh gill nets to take yellow perch. Most of the perch were larger than 8.5 inches in length. During 1985, Indian netters returned 23 (0.5%) of the orange tags. This represented 1.6% of the combined tag returns by sport and commercial fishermen from April 1985 to April 1986 (combined total = 1,424 or 28.6% of the number released in 1985). Native American netters fished more intensively in 1986, returning 151 yellow tags (2.3%) plus 98 (2.0%) of the orange tags. This represented 11.8% of the combined yellow tag returns by sport and assessment fishermen from April 1986 to October 1986 (combined total = 1,277 or 19.2% of the number released in 1986). The 98 orange tags returned by native American netters represented 25% of the combined orange tag returns by both types of fishermen from April to October 1986. Approximately 3,800 perch (1,516 pounds, in the round) were harvested by native American nets in 1986 (G. Fleischer, personal communication).

Perch movements

The distribution of returned tags suggested that although some individual perch travel over a large area, most tended to remain in a limited area. A majority of the yellow perch tagged in Mackinac Bay were caught by anglers in Hessel Bay and adjoining bays on the west side of the islands (Fig. 2). Likewise, perch tagged in Flower Bay tended to remain on the east side (Fig. 3). Perch spawning in Sheppard Bay, centrally located, were recaptured over a wide area later in the year (Fig. 4). The patterns for perch tagged in 1985 and 1986 were very similar. Furthermore, perch tagged in 1985 usually returned to the same bay to spawn in 1986. Of those recaptured then, 96% (253 fish) were recaptured in MDNR trap nets in the same bay they were tagged. These data indicate that perch stocks are fairly discrete within portions of the Les Cheneaux area.

Perch population estimates

Two methods were used to calculate perch population size. First, the population in April 1986 was calculated by comparing the ratio of tagged (in 1986) to untagged perch actually observed by the census clerk in the June 1986 sport catch. Using an adjusted Peterson formula, and assuming negligible growth and tag loss during May, the population was calculated to

contain 524,296 yellow perch over 7 inches in length. If it was assumed that perch grew 0.2 inches in May, this estimate was reduced to 351,116.

In the second method, the perch population in April 1985 was calculated. This was done by comparing the ratio of tagged (in 1985) to untagged yellow perch captured in trap nets in April 1986. Using an adjusted Peterson formula, and assuming that yellow perch grew an average of 0.5 inches in a year, the population was calculated at 79,930 perch over 7 inches long. However, a basic assumption of the method, that the tagged perch were randomly mixed with other fish in the population at the time of recapture, was probably violated. It was mentioned earlier that many Les Cheneaux yellow perch appear to return ("home") to the same spawning bay year after year. Consequently, only those particular spawning stocks of yellow perch were estimated and the total perch population was probably underestimated by the second method.



Figure 1. The Les Cheneaux Island area of northern Lake Huron.



Figure 2. Distribution of tag returns, June 1985 through December 1985, of yellow perch tagged Mackinaw Bay, April 1985.



Figure 3. Distribution of tag returns, June 1985 through December 1985, of yellow perch tagged in Flower Bay, April 1985.



Figure 4. Distribution of tag returns, June 1985 through December 1985, of yellow perch tagged in Sheppard Bay, April 1985.

Table 1. List of common and scientific names of fish observed in this study.

Common name	Scientific name
Smelt	Osmerus mordax
Northern pike	Esox lucius
Muskellunge	Esox masquinongy
Brown bullhead	Ictalurus nebulosus
Channel catfish	Ictalurus punctatus
Burbot	Lota lota
Lake whitefish	Coregonus clupeaformis
Cisco (herring)	Coregonus artedii
Menominee	Prosopium cylindraceum
Lake trout	Salvelinus namaycush
Chinook salmon	Oncorhynchus tshawytscha
Brown trout	Salmo trutta
Common carp	Cyprinus carpio
White sucker	Catostomus commersoni
Rock bass	Ambloplites rupestris
Pumpkinseed	Lepomis gibbosus
Smallmouth bass	Micropterus dolomieui
Largemouth bass	Micropterus salmoides
Black crappie	Pomoxis nigromaculatus
Yellow perch	Perca flavescens

Table 2. Estimated catch per hour, number of fish caught, and angler hours and trips by open-ice fishermen in the Les Cheneaux area, winter 1986 (two standard errors in parentheses).

	Total			Catch		
Species	catch per hour	Jan	Feb	Маг	Apr	Total
Whitefish	0.0010 (0.0011)			12 (13)	_	12 (13)
Cisco	0.0013 (0.0014)	_		16 (17)		16 (17)
Smelt	0.0039 (0.0049)			48 (60)	=	48 (60)
Northern pike	0.0021 (0.0022)	26 (27)	_			26 (27)
Yellow perch	1.6703 (0.2747)	6,317 (1,487)	1,224 (555)	6,422 (1,442)	6,599 (1,947)	20,562 (2,896)
Burbot	0.0004 (0.0007)	_		4 (9)	1 (2)	5 (9)
Angler hours		4,409 (663)	1,741 (292)	4,320 (637)	1,840 (403)	12,310 (1,405)
Angler trips		1,188 (197)	437 (71)	1,097 (163)	555 (127)	3,277 (294)

Table 3. Estimated catch per hour, number of fish caught, and angler hours and trips by shanty fishermen in the Les Cheneaux area, winter 1986 (two standard errors in parentheses).

	Total			Catch		
Species	catch per hour	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Total
Brown trout	0.0004 (0.0004)	_	8 (8)	_	_	8 (8)
Whitefish	0.0004 (0.0007)		_	8 (15)		8 (15)
Cisco	0.0933 (0.0563)	29 (22)	1,617 (1,149)	153 (91)		1,799 (1,153)
Smelt	0.0019 (0.0018)		_	41 (37)		41 (37)
Northern pike	0.0168 (0.0021)	36 (27)	314 (136)	7 (16)	_	357 (140)
Yellow perch	1.3554 (0.3347)	6,005 (2,317)	13,884 (3,467)	8,689 (3,548)	143 (173)	28,721 (5,478)
Burbot	0.0013 (0.0010)		24 (20)			24 (20)
Angler hours		5,093 (1,844)	11,998 (2,261)	4,024 (1,589)	74 (34)	21,189 (3,322)
Angler trips		1,117 (412)	3,115 (596)	1,103 (423)	34 (12)	5,369 (839)

Table 4. Best estimates of catch per hour, number of fish caught, and angler hours and trips by all types of Les Cheneaux fishermen, January-September 1986 (two standard errors in parentheses).

	Total					2	Catch				
Species	per hour	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
Brown trout	0.0013 (0.0012)		æ æ	-			10 (23)	35 (71)	460 (492)		513 (498)
Lake trout	0.0004 (0.0007)			1		48 (101)		116 (248)			164 (268)
Chinook salmon	0.0065 (0.0038)		11					00 <i>t</i> (869)	1,881 (1,335)	70 (78)	2,651 (1,508)
Smelt	0.0005			88 (70)							89 (07)
Whitefish	0.0001	11		(S) (S)			11				(30)
Menominee	0.0187 (0.0118)						958 (1,062)	6,618 (4,596)			7,603 (4,717)
Cisco	0.0349 (0.0246)	29 (22)	1,617 (1,149)	169 (93)			4,614 (2,528)	7,750 (9,487)		6 (13)	14,185 (9,886)
Northern pike	0.0291 (0.0121)	62 (38)	314 (136)	7 (16)		4,315 (3,082)	642 (625)	4,062 (3,374)	2,272 (1,836)	168 (130)	11,842 (4,968)
Musky	0.0072 (0.0015)							293 (610)		,	293 (610)
Yellow perch	1.0799 (0.2085)	12,322 (2,753)	15,108 (3,511)	15,111 (3,830)	48,929 (19,413)	31,555 (14,540)	132,610 (41,46S)	93,071 (32,235)	78.934 35.774)	11,087 (4,215)	438,727 (68,402)
Smallmouth bass	0.0075 (0.0055)					247 (405)	720 (1,046) 306	629 (793)	1,443 (1,742)	(34)	3.056 (2,223) 419
care manualing	OTONIO I					:	222	101			

Table 4. Continued:

	Total					ప	Catch			,	
Species	per hour	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total
	(0.0017)	-				(25)	(673)	(205)	1	1	(704)
Rock bass	0.0456 (0.3194)					244 (367)	9,349 (11,824)	7,141 (4,331)	1,754 (2,293)	29 (59)	18,517 (12,805)
Sunfish	0.0249 (0.0140)				66 57	384 (413)	1,054 (1,178)	2,219 (3,739)	6,413 (3,914)		10,130 (5,555)
Crappie	0.0001 (0.0002)							38 (75)		10 (20)	48 (78)
Сагр	0.0001				27 (5S)			11			27 (55)
White sucker	0.0006 (0.0007)				70 (115)	156 (236)		12 (27)			238 (263)
Bullhead	0.0100 (0.0092)					397 (782)	688 (400)	2,940 (3,622)	53 (86)		4,078 (3,727)
Channel catfish	0.0002 (0.0004)		-					76 (152)			76 (152)
Burbot	0.0001		24 (20)	4 (6)	1 (2)		-				(22)
Angler hours		9,502 (1,960)	13,739 (2,280)	8,344 (1,711)	24,001 (7,653)	32,866 (6,552)	107,874 (30,413)	114,694 (21,767)	91,716 (25,039)	3,544 (942)	406,280 (46,261)
Angler trips		2,305 (452)	3,552 (600)	2,200 (453)	6,045 (1,617)	12,718 (2,985)	33,306 (8,924)	44,827 (8,309)	36,614 (8,885)	1,010 (274)	142,517 (15,491)

¹ Estimates are based on counts from airplane for boat fishermen and counts from shore for other types of fishermen. Very little fishing occurred in December, prior to the census, and in October and November, after the census.

Table 5. Comparison of creel census estimates of yellow perch catch, perch caught per angler hour, and total angler hours and trips.

Season	- .	- .	Total a	angler
and year	Perch catch	Perch per hour	Hours	Trips
Winter				
1980	109,000	3.44	32,000	7,700
1981	51,000	1.86	27,000	6,200
1986	49,000	1.47	33,000	8,600
Summer				
1979	84,000	0.96	000,88	22,100
1980	92,000	2.27	41,000	12,300
1986¹	145,000	1.02	143,000	51,500
1986²	389,000	1.02	373,000	133,900

¹ Estimates are based on counts of boats from shore (Table 7), the same method used in summer 1979 and 1980.

² Estimates are based on counts of boats from airplane (Table 4). These are the best estimates available.

Table 6. Estimated catch per hour, number of fish caught, and angler hours and trips by shore fishermen, April-August 1986, based on counts from shore.

	Total				Catch		
Species	catch per hour	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Total
Northern pike	0.0059 (0.0085)		15 (30)	20 (40)			35 (50)
Yellow perch	2.7821 (1.96 2 0)	254 (189)	705 (327)	7,844 (3,709)	6,901 (10,598)	669 (1,027)	16,373 (11,281)
Rock bass	0.0764 (0.0720)		178 (341)	272 (242)	_		450 (418)
Sunfish	0.0226 (0.0387)	27 (55)	106 (220)			_	133 (227)
Carp	0.0045 (0.0094)	27 (55)	_				27 (55)
White sucker	0.0126 (0.0196)	19 (30)	55 (112)	_		_	74 (115)
Bullhead	0.0053 (0.0068)		18 (30)	13 (27)	_	_	31 (40)
Angler hours		487 (179)	437 (291)	2,812 (563)	1,382 (413)	767 (424)	5,885 (885)
Angler trips		155 (80)	168 (117)	1,118 (294)	252 (107)	162 (111)	1,855 (361)

Table 7. Estimated catch per hour, number of fish caught, and angler hours and trips by pier fishermen, April-August 1986, based on counts from shore.

	Total			Ca	itch		
Species	catch per hour	Арг	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Total
Cisco	0.1599 (0.1068)			373 (230)			373 (230)
Yellow perch	1.1517 (0.5664)		603 (625)	2,044 (934)	_	40 (43)	2,687 (1,125)
Rock bass	0.0519 (0.0644)	_	_	121 (147)			121 (147)
Angler hours		331 (236)	600 (312)	716 (380)	262 (207)	424 (407)	2,333 (602)
Angler trips		49 (62)	235 (127)	245 (129)	100 (81)	213 (221)	842 (303)

Table 8. Estimated catch per hour, number of fish caught, and angler hours and trips by boat fishermen, April-August 1986, based on counts from shore, Les Cheneaux area. For catch and pressure based on airplane counts, multiply by 2.52±0.225 (see Table 4).

	Total			C	atch		
Species	catch per hour	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Total
Brown trout	0.0023 (0.0018)	_	4 (9)	14 (28)	182 (194)	133 (154)	333 (249)
Lake trout	0.0005 (0.0007)	_	19 (40)		46 (98)		65 (106)
Chinook salmon	0.0072 (0.0042)				277 (275)	744 (524)	1,021 (592)
Menominee	0.0210 (0.0132)			379 (419)	2,618 (1,803)	_	2,997 (1,851)
Cisco	0.0609 (0.0278)	_		1,678 (985)	7,022 (3,701)		8,700 (3,830)
Northern pike	0.0312 (0.0142)	_	1,701 (1,210)	246 (246)	1,607 (1,327)	899 (722)	4,453 (1,951)
Musky	0.0008 (0.0017)				116 (241)		116 (241)
Yellow perch	1.0155 (0.2175)	19,437 (7,442)	11,966 (5,646)	48,549 (15,752)	34,089 (11,655)	30,946 (13,876)	144,987 (25,763)
Smallmouth bass	0.0084 (0.0062)		98 (160)	285 (413)	249 (313)	571 (690)	1,203 (878)
Largemouth bass	(0.0062) (0.0020)		(160) (10)	(413) (266)	(313) (81)	(6 9 0)	(878) (278)
Rock bass	0.0496 (0.0358)		26 (54)	3,543 (4,666)	2,825 (1,695)	694 (905)	7,088 (5,046)
Sunfish	0.0222 (0.0155)	13 (21)	110 (138)	417 (468)	878 (1,477)	2,537 (1,532)	3,165 (2,183)
Crappie	0.0001 (0.0002)				15 (30)		15 (30)
White sucker	0.0005 (0.0007)	20 (44)	40 (82)		5 (11)		65 (94)
Bullhead	0.0112 (0.0104)		150 (309)	267 (156)	1,163 (1,429)	21 (35)	1,601 (1,471)
Channel catfish	0.0002 (0.0004)	_	_	_	30 (60)		30 (60)
Angler hours		8,411 (2,926)	12,587 (2,331)	41,265 (11,450)	44,707 (7,632)	35,799 (9,373)	142,769 (17,065)
Angler trips		2,077 (609)	4,870 (1,096)	12,632 (3,343)	17,588 (12,889)	14,331 (3,273)	51,498 (4,814)

Table 9. Monthly size frequency (%) of perch harvested from Les Cheneaux, May-August 1986.

T		Mo	nth		
Length (inches)	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Total
≤5.0	1.0		_		0.2
5.0-5.4	_	0.3		4.8	0.4
5.5-5.9			1.6		0.4
6.0-6.4	4.5	5.0	1.6		3.8
6.5-6.9	11.2	14.8	9.6	4.8	12.5
7.0-7.4	14.6	34.0	32.8	19.0	29.8
7.5-7.9	15.6	16.1	21.6	23.8	17.8
8.0-8.4	12.2	12.1	17.6	23.8	13.9
8.5-8.9	9.0	6.2	6.4	19.0	7.2
9.0-9.4	9.0	4.8	3.2		4.9
9.5-9.9	6.7	2.0	4.0		3.2
10.0-10.4	4.4	2.4			2.1
10.5-10.9	4.4	0.3	0.8		1.1
11.0-11.4	4.4	1.7	0.8		1.9
11.5-11.9					
12.0-12.4	1.0			4.8	0.4
12.5-12.9					
13.0-13.4		0.3			0.2
13.5-13.9	_		·		
14.0-14.4					
14.5–14.9	1.0				0.2
Total number of fish	89	291	125	21	526

Table 10. Average length at age of Les Cheneaux perch based on fall and spring scale samples, 1969-86. (Number of fish sampled in parentheses.)

]	Fall samples		Spring s	amples
Age	1969-82	1985	1986	1985	1986
11	6.0	5.9 (13)	5.7 (13)	5.1 (2)	4.8 (13)
Ш	7.0	6.7 (38)	6.7 (8)	5.5 (19)	6.4 (18)
IV	8.2	7.7 (33)	7.6 (58)	6.8 (44)	7.9 (26)
v	9.2	8.5 (26)	8.5 (32)	8.1 (38)	9.0 (8)
VI	10.8	9.0 (9)	9.4 (18)	8.7 (36)	10.1 (4)
VII	11.6	9.9 (14)	10.4 (10)	9.7 (16)	10.3 (3)
VIII	12.1	10.4 (1)	10.9 (10)	10.6 (11)	11.1 (1)
IX		12.4 (2)	11.8 (3)	11.1 (9)	
x		_	12.0 (2)	12.1 (18)	
ΧI			12.3		_
XII	_	_	13.9 (1)	_	12.8 (1)

CHAPTER 2. TOURISM RELATED TO RECREATIONAL FISHING IN LES CHENEAUX ISLANDS: DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT

INTRODUCTION

This chapter examines demographic and economic characteristics of tourism related to recreational fishing in the Les Cheneaux area. Relevant information was collected on-site in two economic surveys during the 1986 fishing seasons. The primary economic questions addressed in this report are: (1) What are total non-local angler expenditures in the area? (2) How much is the income of residents in the area increased as a result of the fishery?

In addition, this chapter provides information about angler demographics, trip characteristics, and anglers' evaluation of their recreation experience in the Les Cheneaux area. This information should be useful to local economic development planners and local business owners.

The next section describes survey procedures and discusses associated sampling issues. The third section presents demographic information about anglers fishing during the openwater season, during which most of the non-local fishing occurs. The fourth section presents comparable information for the ice fishing season. The fifth section reports on estimated tourist expenditures and provides estimates of the increases in local income induced by the tourist industry under current circumstances. It also examines the potential economic impact of alternative proposals to regulate the fishery.

SURVEY DESIGN

The major focus of this chapter is the economic impact on the Les Cheneaux area of fishing-related tourism. Because most of the tourism occurs during the open-water fishery, collection of the data relevant to this analysis occurred primarily in the summer months. The economic impact survey (Survey 2) was in the field from May 6 through August 16, 1986. The questionnaire and the codebook are provided as attachments to the report (Appendices 3 and 4). The survey was designed to provide a random sample of angler days. However, we have identified two different sampling problems in achieving this goal which may offset one another.

The first problem is associated with the difficulties of gaining multiple interviews from an angler when he is on-site for multiple days. In order to achieve a random sample of <u>angler-days</u>, individuals should be interviewed more than once if the interviewer encountered them at interview sites more than once during their stay. In practice, however, individuals generally refused to participate in a second (or subsequent) interview. As a result, the probability of

sampling an angler-day of any angler declined with the number of days on the site. This effect implies that an angler-day of a day visitor had the highest probability of being sampled.

The second sampling problem occurred due to differential access to different types of anglers. Respondents were interviewed at land sites identified as good locations to find recreational anglers. Resorts, public launches, campgrounds/RV parks, and a few shoreline fishing sites were included. Overnight tourists at resorts were very cooperative, whereas users of the public launches were in a great hurry to launch and land their boats and were more likely to refuse to answer. "Summer resident" is the other major non-local group we identified. Many summer residents use the public launches to launch their boats when they arrive in Les Cheneaux, then keep their boat at their own dock for the rest of the summer. Alternatively, if their local residency is intermittent, they may take their boat in and out of the water several times. Some of the most wealthy summer residents have their own launches and so would never appear at the public launch.

For generating a random sample of representative angler-days, for day-visitors and overnight tourists, this differential access works in the opposite direction of the previous bias, so it is unclear for which group the probability of sampling an angler-day is lower. In contrast, both effects suggest undersampling of angler-days for summer residents.

Due to the differences in sampling rates of angler days by category of tourist, we report the frequency distributions of angler characteristics separately by category of tourist (overnight tourists, summer residents, and day visitor). The estimates of local and tourist shares of angler-days for the economic impact analysis are derived from creel census interviews, which were not subject to these sampling biases.

Anglers from both the ice fishing and open-water seasons were interviewed with a survey instrument designed to assess the value of the fishery to the recreational anglers (Survey 1, Appendices 5 and 6). The survey also provided information on angler demographics and trip characteristics. To characterize the ice-fishing season, we examined demographic data from Survey 1. To estimate tourist expenditures during the winter season, we employed expenditure estimates based on the open-water season data. The estimated number of non-local angler days in the ice-fishing season was very small (2,324) relative to the number in the open-water season (82,673). Consequently, we chose not to field the non-local expenditures survey for the winter fishery.

Survey 1 was designed to be a representative sample of anglers, (not angler-days as with Survey 2). It is inevitable, however, that the sampling probability varied with the frequency of participation: local residents with more than 20 trips during the season may have had a probability approaching one of being in the sample. For this report, we were primarily interested in non-local participants, who fish much less frequently than local residents in the winter. Within the non-local group, the variation in sampling probability was far lower.

In reporting the ice-fishing season results, we separate the data into three categories: "overnight stays", "day visits", and "local residents". The "overnight stays" category includes anglers staying at resorts as well as anglers staying at their second homes. We were not able to identify separately these latter two groups of anglers, as we did in Survey 2. In other words, the winter category "overnight stays" is comparable to the combined summer categories, "overnight tourists" and "summer residents". Unlike Survey 2, Survey 1 provides information about local anglers because we were interested in assessing the recreational value of the fishery accruing to all participants.

RESULTS

PROFILE OF PARTICIPATION—SUMMER FISHERY

In this section we report data for the summer fishery from Survey 2. We provide data on demographic characteristics of anglers, anglers' history of visiting the Les Cheneaux area, trip characteristics, and anglers' reasons for coming to Les Cheneaux. As noted above, the survey was designed to provide a representative sample of angler-days (not anglers). In practice, however, it looks more like a survey of anglers due to respondents' refusals to participate in multiple interviews. Of the total sample of 137, 105 were overnight tourists, 27 were summer residents, and 5 were day visitors.

Demographic profile

Most summer anglers interviewed were from Michigan (Table 11). Of the 40 out-of-state anglers interviewed (29% of the total anglers), 28 were from Ohio, 4 were from Indiana, 2 were from each of Florida, Kentucky, and Illinois, and 1 was from Wisconsin. The one out-of-state day visitor was passing through to another site. For the distribution of interviewees by city of origin, see page 4 of Appendix 4.

Family income was in the \$25,001-50,000 range for half of the interviewees; for an additional 16%, family income exceeded \$50,000 (Table 12). The income distribution was similar across the three categories of anglers—overnight tourists, summer residents, and day visitors. The overnight tourist group, however, included more families with incomes at high and low ends of the distribution than the other categories.

History of visits to the Les Cheneaux area

Only 8% of the summer anglers interviewed had not been to the Les Cheneaux before 1986. This pattern was observed across all three categories of anglers. The earliest occurrence of an interviewee's first trip to Les Cheneaux was 1926 (Table 13). The first trip to Les Cheneaux occurred in the 1960's or later for a substantial portion of current anglers. The

largest single category (34% of all interviewees) included individuals whose first trip occurred in the 1980s. Overnight tourists were more likely to have started coming recently than summer residents or day visitors.

Sixty percent of the interviewees learned about the Les Cheneaux area from friends (Table 14). However, the summer homeowners were quite different from the other groups: most learned about it from their families.

Trip characteristics

Of all those interviewed, 60% expected to make only one trip to the Les Cheneaux area in 1986 and 18% expected to make two trips (Table 15). Day visitors and individuals who own homes (summer residents) had the highest visitation rates. Their mean numbers of trips were 4.2 and 4.3, respectively, compared to 1.4 for overnight tourists.

Fishermen were asked how long they expected to stay in the Les Cheneaux area (Table 16). Data were sorted by number of nights a person stayed, so day visitors reported zero nights. Overnight tourists tended to stay in increments of weeks. The mean number of nights for overnight tourists and summer residents were 9 and 10, respectively. Of the 40 overnight tourists staying for 1 week, 34 stayed in a rental cottage or cabin. Of the 21 overnight tourists staying for 2 weeks, 17 stayed in a rental cottage or cabin. The summer residents we interviewed were far more likely than overnight tourists to take trips of less than 1 week.

Anglers were asked how many other people came with them to the Les Cheneaux area on this trip (Table 17). Groups of two, three, or four people were the most common, accounting for 75% of all interviewed. Across all interviewees, 33% of their groups included children: 15 groups brought 1 child, 19 groups brought 2 children, 7 groups brought 3 children, and 5 groups brought 4 children. Mean group size across all categories was 4.1 individuals; groups visiting only for the day tended to be smaller (mean = 2.4). The respondent typically was not paying for all members of the party. The average numbers of people whose expenses were paid by respondent were 2.8 for overnight tourists, 2.3 for summer residents, and 1.4 for day visitors. The number of adults whose expenses were covered by the respondent were yet smaller for overnight tourists (2.1) and summer residents (1.7).

Fishermen were asked which species of fish they came to catch (Table 18). Some indicated they were targeting multiple species. Perch were most often sought, with 70% of the interviewees indicating they directed partial or total fishing time to this species. Fifty-eight percent of all respondents indicated they directed partial or total fishing time to species other than those identified in the questionnaire; they usually were referring to bass and pike. Among all interviewees from the open-water season, 29% directed at least some of their fishing effort to herring, 15% directed effort to trout/salmon, and 3% directed effort to smelt.

Evaluation of recreation experience

Summer anglers were asked to rank the relative importance of six reasons for coming to the Les Cheneaux area (Table 19). Across all categories of anglers, the following reasons for coming to the Les Cheneaux area were cited as the primary one by the percentage of respondents (across all groups) indicated below:

Quality of fishing	51.1%
Beauty of area	26.6%
Visit relatives or friends	12.2%
Familiarity of site	5.0%
Quality of swimming or boating	4.3%
Quality of services	0.7%

Overall, quality of fishing was the most important criterion for coming to the Les Cheneaux area—50% of the respondents listed it as their first reason, and 80% of the respondents listed it as their first or second reason. Beauty of the area was most often cited as the second reason for coming to the Les Cheneaux area. Note in Table 19 that summer residents reversed the order of the primary reasons: "beauty of the area" topped "quality of fishing" by a factor of 2:1. All day visitors said that quality of fishing was their primary reason for coming to Les Cheneaux. Only seven overnight tourists noted "other influences" on their decision to visit Les Cheneaux, which included: "relax, vacation, hunting, escape, protected waters, new area, like the area, and non-polluted area."

PROFILE OF PARTICIPATION - WINTER FISHERY

In this section we report data on the winter fishery from Survey 1. The discussion follows the same categories as above. However, Survey 1 was designed for a different purpose so the variables are somewhat different. In particular, anglers in the 1985–86 fishery were asked about their participation in the fishery during the <u>previous</u> year (1984–85) because we wanted to know about <u>actual</u> participation in two full seasons. As noted above, the survey was designed to provide a representative sample of anglers though it is inevitable that the probability of an angler being interviewed increased with the frequency (and duration) of visits. Of the total sample of 130 respondents, 45 were overnight tourists, 39 were non-local day visitors, and 46 were local residents. The local proportion, 35%, was comparable to the 36% local share observed in the creel survey.

Demographic profile

All 130 of the fishermen interviewed during the winter economic survey lived in Michigan. For their city of origin, see pages 14-18 in the codebook (Appendix 4).

The majority of the winter anglers interviewed were white males. Exceptions included six native American males among the day visitors, accounting for 15.4% of that group, and three white females in the local group, accounting for 6.5% of that group.

The two most frequent answers for occupation were "other craftsmen, and kindred workers" and "unskilled non-farm laborers". Each of the above occupations included 19% of the day visitors. Among overnight interviewees, 30% were craftsmen and 11% were laborers. For local anglers, the most common occupation was unskilled non-farm laborers (30.4% of respondents).

Fishermen who took overnight trips tended to have higher family incomes and higher wages than the other two groups (Tables 20 and 21). Among the respondents, the median income category for overnight tourists was \$25,001-35,000 compared to \$20,001-25,000 for day visitors and \$15,000-20,000 for local residents.

Again the overnight tourists had a fairly different employment pattern than anglers in the other groups: over 80% were employed and about 15% were retired (Table 22). Among day visitors about 1 of 5 were unemployed. The share of retirees was the same as for overnight tourists, but the employment rate was lower. By contrast, more local winter fishermen were retired or unemployed than were employed.

The average age of winter anglers was 45 years, with no significant difference among overnight tourists, day visitors, and local residents. Day visitors spanned the widest range, from 22 to 79 years old.

Trip characteristics

The number of fishing trips anglers made to the Les Cheneaux during winter 1984-85 varied markedly across the different types of winter anglers we interviewed. For day visitors, the total number of ice fishing trips ranged from 1 to 100, with an average of 24.7 trips (N = 39). Local anglers had taken approximately the same range in trips (4-130), but averaged twice as many trips (55.3, N = 46). In contrast, overnight tourists took an average of 2.4 trips (N = 45) with a range of 1 to 8 trips.

Non-local fishermen who also ice fished in the Les Cheneaux area during the 1984-85 season were asked how long they stayed in the area per trip. They (N = 43) reported an average stay of 4.44 nights and 3.86 days on the first trip last season.

The primary purpose of trips to the site was, overwhelmingly, fishing (Table 23). Five choices which were never marked as a primary purpose were hiking, boating, camping, touring, and hunting. The category "other" was most often chosen by people who had come to visit friends in the area.

⁶Tables with complete occupational distributions by type of participant are not presented in the text, but are available upon request from the Dr. Jones.

Winter non-local anglers were asked how many people drove with them to the Les Cheneaux area. For day visitors, the average was 2.2 people per party (N = 39). For overnight tourists, the average was 3.3 people per party (N = 45). Thus, groups of tourist fishermen who traveled greater distances and stayed overnight were much larger. Among local winter anglers, the average size of the fishing party was 1.33 anglers (N = 46).

Perch was the species most often targeted by participants in the winter fishery (Table 24). A small percentage of these people fished for trout/salmon or herring (usually during other seasons). Local anglers were apparently the most diverse fishers – perch accounted for fewer than half of their responses.

Evaluation of recreation experience

Fishermen were asked to rank the relative importance of four reasons for coming to the Les Cheneaux area: number of fish caught, size of fish caught, familiarity with the area, and quality of the tourist services (Table 25). Size of fish and number of fish were the most important reasons to all categories of fishermen. Familiarity of site was identified as important much more frequently by overnight tourists than by day visitors.

TOURIST EXPENDITURES AND ECONOMIC IMPACT

Tourist expenditures

A major goal of Survey 2 was to generate data from which we could estimate total fishing-related tourist expenditures in the Les Cheneaux area. In the survey, we asked individuals to report the expenses they incurred for their party during the last 24 hours. We specifically distinguished expenses (costs allocated to the period) from expenditures (cash outlay in the period). In other words, if they ate their meals at a restaurant, we recorded how much they spent. However, if they bought groceries for the week, we asked them to estimate the pro-rata share of groceries for the last day. The exception was for large capital items which they take home with them after the short trip period. In this case, we asked for capital expenditures. Results are reported in Table 26.

Day visitors spent very little money relative to the other two groups. Their average expenses were \$11/day, with fuel representing almost half of that amount. Overnight tourists incurred the highest average expenses, \$87/day. Summer residents spent on average \$54/day for their parties. When the \$25 mean lodging costs are deducted from overnight tourists' expenses, the two groups expenses were very similar.

Summer residents also contribute to the community through property tax payment. Though part-year residences comprise a significant portion of the tax base, it was beyond the scope of this study to examine the extent to which property ownership is influenced by the

quality of the fishery. The results reported in Table 19 suggest that beauty of the area is the most important reason for angler/summer residents to visit the site. Quality of the fishing is a close second among the second-home owners who are anglers, but we not not know what proportion of all second-home owners the anglers represent.

The single largest expense category was food and beverage, averaging \$34/day (excluding day visitors). Lodging costs varied by type of lodging, as illustrated in Table 28. Respondents staying in hotels or cabins spent \$31 per night on average whereas those staying in tents or trailers spent only \$7 per night on average. In addition, individuals purchased bait and tackle (fishing expenses) and, in a few cases, fishing rods and other capital equipment. A few individuals purchased capital equipment for recreation, including one summer resident who bought a boat for \$6,500. Excluding the boat purchase, average capital and operating expenditures for fishing and recreation were \$14/day for overnight tourists and \$10/day for summer residents.

These daily expense estimates are comparable to estimates derived from a statewide study of anglers.⁷ For yellow perch anglers in the MDNR study, overnight trip expenditures in the local (tourist) area were \$97 per day, with an average trip length of 7 days. Day trip expenditures for yellow perch anglers were \$7 per day.

We did not collect information about expenses incurred by anglers in the winter fishery. We assume that expenses are comparable across both seasons for each category of tourist. This treatment is consistent with the MDNR study (which does not report expenses separately by season).

Economic impact

The direct economic impact to the local community of tourist purchases depends upon the share of total expenditures which accrues to members of the community as income (in the form of wages, rents, or profits) rather than being paid out to external suppliers or owners. Further indirect income effects will occur as that incremental local income is spent. The process potentially will cycle through several rounds of effects. For small geographic areas, however, this income multiplier effect will be very small because income rapidly leaks away to other parts of the national economy in the form of payments for goods manufactured outside of the area and profits, interest and rent to owners of property who live elsewhere. Various studies have produced income multiplier estimates ranging from 0.25 to 0.80; in other words,

Page 200 in Travel and Tourism in Michigan: A statistical profile. Research Monograph 1. Michigan State University, Travel Tourism and Recreation Resource Center. First Edition 1986.

local income increased \$0.25-\$0.80 per \$1 tourist expenditure in the area. Given the range of multiplier estimates, we calculated a range for the local income effect with upper and lower bounds of 0.70 and 0.35.

To complete the calculation we needed an estimate of the number of tourist angler-days at Les Cheneaux. We derived our estimate from plane counts for the open-water season and from ground counts for the ice fishing season (Chapter 1). We calculated the tourist and local shares of anglers from the creel census. Unfortunately, we were not able to derive participation rates for our more detailed categories from the creel census. We therefore assumed that the sampling shares observed for the non-local groups in the surveys were representative of the actual population. As discussed in the section on survey design, the estimated shares of day visitors and overnight tourists are subject to biases which work in opposite directions. The net effect is unclear. Because day visitors incur significantly lower expenses than the other groups, an underestimate of the day visitor share would result in overestimating expenses, but we do not think this is a serious problem.

Finally, we need to make an adjustment to the estimated expenditures per angler day for number of anglers in the tourist party whose expenses were paid by the survey respondent. Because this information was not directly solicited from respondents, we bracket our estimate by reasonable upper and lower bounds. Case I assumes the respondent is the only angler in the tourist party paid for by the respondent; Case II assumes all adults in the party were anglers. Under the second assumption, overnight tourists averaged 2.1 anglers per party, summer residents 1.7, and day visitors 1.4.

Table 28 reports our estimate that local income in Les Cheneaux was augmented by \$1.2-\$4.4 million as a result of non-local fishing-related expenditures. Ninety-eight percent of the increase in income accrues during the summer season. We have also estimated the value of the perch caught (and presumably consumed) by local anglers. Because local residents are primarily retired, their fish catch may be an important supplement to their diet. We assigned the wholesale value of \$1.25 per perch to the local catch. The estimated contribution to local income is \$63,000 in the summer and \$48,000 in the winter, based on the 1985-86 local catch.

As noted above, the quality of the fishery may have a small effect on property tax revenues. Examining this effect was beyond the scope of the study.

¹B. H. Archer and C. B. Owen, "Towards a Regional Multiplier", <u>Regional Studies</u>, Volume 5, pages 289-294 (income multiplier = 0.25).

R. J. Kalter and W. B. Lord. "Measurement of the impact of recreational investments on a local economy". American Journal Agriculture Econ, 50:243-257 (income multiplier = 0.80).

H. B. Gamble. "Community income from outdoor recreation" Governor of Maryland's Recreation Conference 1965 (income multiplier = 0.48 for hunter-fishermen; 0.35 for tourists, 0.50 for summer homeowners).

Implications of alternative policy proposals

In Survey 1, we asked a series of questions to assess how participation in the yellow perch fishery would change under expected catch scenarios associated with alternative policy proposals of a 7-inch minimum size limit and an 8-inch minimum size limit. We also presented a hypothetical situation, referred to as "the downside case", in which the perch population and its sport catch were reduced by 50%. For each context, we derived from the fishery model the expected equilibrium (long-term) catch scenarios (Table 29). For the baseline case, we employed the catch actually observed during the 1980 creel census survey of the Les Cheneaux area when, as now, there was no minimum size limit on perch. It was assumed that the current fishery would be similar to that in 1980.

A substantial majority (70%) of the interviewees preferred perch catches from the 7-inch minimum size limit scenario to the baseline case, only a slight majority of respondents (53%) preferred the 8-inch size limit to the baseline case, and an overwhelming majority preferred the base case to the downside case (Tables 30-32). However, despite the strong preferences for the first scenario and against the third scenario, relatively few respondents indicated they would change the number or length of trips they took if the predicted change in catch were to occur. Of 89 anglers who answered questions about the 7-inch minimum size limit, 5 (6%) indicated they would take more trips and 5 (6%) indicated they would take fewer trips. In addition, one individual indicated he would take longer trips (Table 30). Among 89 anglers answering the questions about the 8-inch minimum size limit, 4 anglers indicated they would take more trips, 11 would take fewer trips, and 3 would take shorter trips (Table 31). For the downside case, 17 (23%) of the 77 anglers who gave usable answers said they would take fewer trips or stop fishing here and 2 others said they would take shorter trips (Table 31). No one said they would make more trips.

The willingness to sacrifice some quantity to catch larger fish displayed in this series of questions is consistent with the creel survey responses about catch preferences (page 8). The surprising result from Survey 1 was how little participation rates would change in response to changes in fishing quality. Many of the respondents who preferred the 7-inch minimum size limit scenario indicated a strong preference for the size limit catch rate. In response to another question, 45/55 indicated a willingness to travel farther to get to a hypothetical "new" site which offered this type of fishery. The range in the travel distance was 2-200 miles, with a median of 20 miles. Apparently, time constraints (particularly on vacations and weekends) would substantially limit increases in the participation rate despite preferences for the 7-inch minimum size limit context. Whether or not better fishing would attract new anglers to the area is unclear.

Even with the substantial, (50%), decline in quality in the downside case, only 23% of the anglers would decrease the numbers of trips. This result suggests the importance of other

factors, such as the beauty of the area and habitual behavior in maintaining participation in the Les Cheneaux fishery.

It is important to note that these data were derived from individuals' responses to hypothetical questions. Approximately 25% of questionnaires were missing responses on how the number of trips would change and approximately 2-5% of the responses were inconsistent, suggesting how difficult it is for individuals to respond to hypothetical situations. Consequently, the survey results may not be precise measures of how anglers would actually respond if perch size limits were changed.

Table 11. State of origin for summer anglers in the Les Cheneaux area.

Origin	Overnigh	Overnight tourists		Summer residents		visitors
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Michigan	73	69.5	20	74.1	4	80.0
Out-of-state	32	30.5	7	25.9	1	20.0
Total	105	100.0	27	100.0	5	100.0

Table 12. Total annual family income for summer anglers in the Les Cheneaux area.

T	Overnigh	nt tourists	Summer	residents	Day	visitors
Income range (in thousands)	N	%	N	%	N	%
5-10	3	2.9	1	3.7	0	0.0
10-15	6	5.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
15–20	8	7.6	2	7.4	0	0.0
20-25	5	4.8	4	14.8	1	20.0
25-35	26	24.8	8	29.6	2	40.0
35-50	25	23.8	8	29.6	1	20.0
50-75	13	12.4	2	7.4	, 1	20.0
75 +	4	3.8	2	7.4	0	0.0
No response	15	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	105	100.0	27	100.0	5	100.0

Table 13. Year of first fishing trip to the Les Cheneaux area for summer anglers.

	Overnig	ht tourists	Summer	residents	Day visitors	
Year	N	%	N	%	N	%
1920-29	0	0.0	1	3.7	0	0.0
1930-39	0	0.0	1	3.7	0	0.0
1940-49	0	0.0	3	11.1	0	0.0
1950-59	8	8.2	2	7.4	0	0.0
1960-69	16	16.5	7	25.9	2	40.0
1970-79	27	27.8	2.	7.4	2	40.0
1980-85	37	38.1	9	33.3	1	20.0
19861	9	9.3	2	7.4	0	0.0
Total	97	100.0	27	100.0	5	100.0

¹All of these persons were visiting the area for the first time.

Table 14. How summer visitors learned about the Les Cheneaux area.

	Overnigh	t tourists	Summer residents		Day visitors	
Source	N	%	N	%	N	%
Family	22	21.0	14	51.9	1	20.0
Friends	68	64.8	11	40.7	3	60.0
Advertisement	6	5.7	1	3.7	0	0.0
Other	9	8.6	1	3.7	1	20.0
Total	105	100.0	27	100.0	5	100.0

Table 15. Expected number of trips to the Les Cheneaux area in 1986 for summer anglers.

•	Overnigh	t tourists	Summer	residents	Day visitors		
Trips	N	%	N	%	N	%	
1	73	69.5	8	29.6	1	20.0	
2	18	17.1	4	14.8	2	40.0	
3	11	10.5	2	7.4	0	0.0	
4	1	1.0	7	25.9	0	0.0	
5	1	1.0	3 -	11.1	0	0.0	
6	0	0.0	2	7.4	1	20.0	
10	0	0.0	. 0	0.0	1	20.0	
12	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
39	0	0.0	1	3.7	0	0.0	
Total sampled	105	100.0	27	100.0	5	100.0	
Mean number of trips	1.4		4	4.3		4.2	

Table 16. Expected length of current trip for anglers staying overnight.

Number	Overnigh	t tourists	Summer	residents
of - nights	N	%	N	%
1	2	1.9	1	3.7
2	6	5.7	3	11.1
3	8	7.6	7	25.9
4	6	5.7	1	3.7
5	1	1.0	2	7.4
6	4	3.8	2	7.4
7	40	38.1	3	11.1
8-14	31	29.6	6	22.2
15-21	3	3.0	0	0.0
22+	4	3.9	2	7.4
Total sampled	105	100.0	27	100.0
Mean number of nights	;	8.9	9	.9

Table 17. Group size for summer anglers in the Les Cheneaux area.1

•	Overnigh	t tourists	Summer	residents	Day	visitors
Group -	N	%	N	%	N	%
1	0	0.0	1	3.7	0	0.0
2	32	30.5	10	37.0	4	80.0
3	17	16.2	6	22.2	0	0.0
4	25	23.8	5	18.5	1	20.0
5	12	11.4	2	7.4	0	0.0
6-10	15	14.3	2	7.4	0	0.0
11-20	2	2.0	1	3.7	0	0.0
25	2	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total sampled	105	100.0	27	100.0	5	100.0
Mean group size	4	4.3	3	.6	2	2.4

¹ Group size refers to number of people who travelled to Les Cheneaux with questionnaire respondent.

Table 18. Type of fish targeted during this trip for summer anglers in the Les Cheneaux area.

	Overnigh	t tourists	Summer residents		Day visitors	
Species	N	%	N	%	N	%
Yellow perch	77	73.0	17	63.0	2	40.0
Trout/salmon	10	9.5	8	29.6	2	40.0
Herring	30	28.6	9	33.3	0	0.0
Smelt	1	1.0	3	11.1	0	0.0
Other species	65	61.0	13	48.1	1	20.0
Total anglers interviewed	1051		271		51	

¹ Column totals exceed the number sampled because multiple responses occurred. Percentage refer to percent of anglers sampled (not percent of responses).

Table 19. Priority ranking of reasons for visiting the Les Cheneaux area by summer fishermen (number of respondents in parentheses).

Rank		nt tourists = 105)		residents = 27)		visitors = 5)
and reason	N	%	N	%	N	%
First priority						
Quality of fishing	59	56.2	6	22.2	5	100.0
Beauty of area	25	23.8	11	40.7	0	0.0
Visit relatives/friends	7	6.7	9	33.3	Ó	0.0
Familiarity of site	5	4.8	1	3.7	0	0.0
Quality of swimming						
or boating experience	6	5.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
Quality of services	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Second priority						
Quality of fishing	31	29.5	11	40.7	0	0.0
Beauty of area	43	41.0	9	33.3	2	40.0
Visit relatives/friends	9	8.6	2	7.4	ī	20.0
Familiarity of site	6	5.7	2	7.4	Ō	0.0
Quality of swimming	•	-	_		•	
or boating experience	5	4.8	1	3.7	0	0.0
Quality of services	5 1	1.0	Ō	0.0	0	0.0
Third priority						
Quality of fishing	10	9.5	6	22.2	0	0.0
Beauty of area	16	15.2	2	7.4	1	20.0
Visit relatives/friends	2	1.9	1	3.7	0	0.0
Familiarity of site	13	12.4	6	22.2	0	0.0
Quality of swimming						
or boating experience	8	7.6	4	14.8	0	0.0
Quality of services	4	3.8	1	3.7	0	0.0
Fourth priority						
Quality of fishing	0	0.0	1	3.7	0	0.0
Beauty of area	ì	1.0	Ō	0.0	Ŏ	0.0
Visit relatives/friends	12	11.4	2	7.4	· 1	20.0
Familiarity of site	1	1.0	ĩ	3.7	ō	0.0
Quality of swimming	-				-	
or boating experience	8	7.6	5	18.5	1	20.0
Quality of services	7	6.7	6	22.2	ō	0.0

¹ Respondents to surveys often can rank first couple of reasons and a last reason. Consequently, the sum of the N's for each of the three columns does not equal 4 times the number of respondents and the percentages do not total to 100% or 400%. The percentages are percent of total respondents: they were calculated by dividing each N by the number of respondents (and multiplying by 100 to get percent).

Table 20. Total annual family income for winter anglers in the Les Cheneaux area.

-	Overnigi	ht tourists	Day	visitors	Local	residents
Income range (in thousands)	N	%	N	%	N	%
0-5	1	2.2	1	2.6	3	6.5
5–10	0	0.0	5	12.8	6	13.0
10-15	6	13.3	8	20.5	9	19.6
15-20	4	8.9	3	7.7	9	19.6
20-25	4	8.9	5	12.8	4	8.7
25-35	15	33.3	7	17.9	7	15.2
35-50	9	20.0	5	12.8	4	8.7
50-75	3	6.7	4	10.3	1	2.2
75 +	1	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Missing	2	4.4	1	2.5	3	6.5
Total	45	100.0	39	100.0	46	100.0

* Table 21. Wage from primary job for winter anglers in the Les Cheneaux area.

TT1	Overnigi	ht tourists	Day	visitors	Local	residents
Hourly wage	N	%	N	%	N	%
\$0-2.50	0	0.0	. 0	0.0	0	0.0
2.51-5.00	0	0.0	2	5.1	4	8.7
5.01-7.50	2	4.4	5	12.8	10	21.7
7.51-10.00	3	6.7	5	12.8	6	13.0
10.01-12.50	5	11.1	2	5.1	5	10.9
12.51-15.00	6	13.3	2	5.1	1	2.2
15.01-20.00	5	11.1	4	10.3	3	6.5
20.01-30.00	0	0.0	1	2.6	0	0.0
30.01+	0	0.0	2	5.1	0	0.0
Missing	24	53.3	16	41.0	17	37.0
Total	45	100.0	39	100.0	46	100.0

Table 22. Current employment status for winter anglers in the Les Cheneaux area.

Status	Overnig	Overnight tourists Day visitors Loca		Day visitors		al residents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Employed	37	82.2	25	64.1	20	43.5	
Retired	7	15.6	6	15.4	13	28.3	
Unemployed	1	2.2	8	20.5	13	28.3	
Total	45	100.0	39	100.0	46	100.0	

Table 23. Primary purpose of trip for winter anglers in the Les Cheneaux area.

	Overnigl	Overnight tourists		Day visitors		Local residents	
Purpose	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Fishing	40	88.9	38	97.4	45	97.8	
Other	5	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	
No response	0	0.0	1	2.6	1	2.2	
Total	45	100.0	39	100.0	46	100.0	

Table 24. Species of fish targeted (in either summer or winter) by winter anglers in the Les Cheneaux area.

	Overnigh	t tourists	Day v	Day visitors		residents
Species	N	%	N	%	N	%
Yellow perch	30	66.7	19	48.7	11	23.6
Trout/salmon	7	15.6	4	10.3	4	8.7
Herring	8	17.8	5	12.8	6	13.0
Smelt	2	4.4	1	2.6	1	2.2
Other	5	11.1	6	15.4	4	8.7
Total	451		39		46	

¹ Column totals may exceed the number sampled because multiple responses occurred. Percentages refer to percent of anglers interviewed (not percent of responses).

Table 25. Priority of reasons for visiting the Les Cheneaux area by winter fishermen. (Number of respondents in parentheses.)¹

Rank and reason		nt tourists (5)		risitors 39)		residents 46)
	N	%	N	%	N	%
First priority						
Number of fish	12	26.7	10	25.6	13	28.3
Size of fish	17	37.8	12	30.8	10	21.7
Familiarity of site Quality of	14	31.1	4	10.3	7	15.2
tourist services	1	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Second priority						
Number of fish	10	22.2	7	17.9	9	19.6
Size of fish	10	22.2	4	10.3	10	21.7
Familiarity of site Quality of	0	0.0	1	2.6	1	2.2
tourist services	0	0.0	1	2.6	0	0.0
Third priority						
Number of fish	0	0.0	1	2.6	1	2.2
Size of fish	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Familiarity of site Quality of	2	4.4	0	0.0	1	2.2
tourist services	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fourth priority						
Number of fish	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Size of fish	ŏ	0.0	Ö	0.0	Ö	0.0
Familiarity of site Quality of	Ŏ	0.0	Ō	0.0	ō	0.0
tourist services	0	0.0	1	2.6	0	0.0

¹ Respondents to surveys often can rank first couple of reasons and a last reason. Consequently, the sum of the N's for each of the three columns does not equal 4 times the number of respondents and the percentages do not total to 100% or 400%. The percentages are percent of total respondents: they were calculated by dividing each N by the number of respondents (and multiplying by 100 to get percent).

Table 26. Expenses for the 24-hour period prior to interview and capital expenditures incurred during the last 24 hours for nonresident summer anglers.

	Overr	ight to	ourists	Sur	nmer r	esidents	Day	y visite	OTS
Class of expenditure	Mean	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max
Expenses for curre	nt 24-hou	r perio	<u>od</u>						
Food/beverage	34.11	0	220.00	34.70	0	180.00	1.00	0	5.00
Fuel	11.57	0	59.00	10.22	0	100.00	5.00	0	15.00
Lodging	21.96	0	68.00	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fishing expenses ¹	13.09	0	300.00	5.89	0	100.00	0	0	0
Launch fee	0.24	0	2.00	0.74	0	2.00	1.70	0	2.00
Other	2.86	0	120.00	0.19	0	5.00	3.60	0	18.00
Capital expenditure	es incurr	ed in l:	ast 24 hou	<u>rs</u>					
Fishing equipment	0.48	0	50.00	1.85	0	50.00	0	0	0
Recreation equipment	0.19	0	20.00	240.72	0	6,500.00 ²	0	0	0
Mean total expenditures	87.40			53.593			10.80		

¹ Fishing expenses include bait and tackle and other operating expenses.

² One person bought a \$6,500.00 boat.

³ Mean does not include boat expenditures.

Table 27. Lodging expenses per night for summer overnight tourists, by type of accommodations.

Accommodation	N	Mean expense per night	
Hotel	5	30.80	_
Trailer	22	7.36	
Tent	4	6.50	
Cabin	74	31.16	
Total	105	24.86	-

Table 28. Estimated local economic impact of Les Cheneaux summer and winter recreational fisheries in 1986.

Source, season, and case type ¹	Estimated number of non-local fishing days	Estimated non-local expenditures	Estimated increase in local income with multipliers 0.35-0.70
Tourist expenditures:			
Summer (4/22/86-9/30/86)	80,349		
Case I		6,215,789	2,175,526-4,351,052
Case II		3,180,210	1,113,074-2,226,147
Winter (12/20/85-4/14/86)	2,324		·
Case I		120,944	40,392-80,784
Case II		63,145	22,100-44,201
Total	82,673		
Case I		6,336,733	2,215,918-4,431,836
Case II		3,243,355	1,135,174-2,270,348
Season		Estimated local catch	Estimated increase in local income
Value of local catch:			
Summer		50,700	63,375
Winter		38,000	47,500
Total		88,700	110,875

¹ Case I assumes the survey respondent is the only angler in his tourist party; Case II assumes that all adults in the party were anglers.

Table 29. Predicted distribution of perch catch by size, under alternative policy scenarios.1

	Si			
Option	5–7	7–8	8-11	Total
Current fishery (baseline)	4	3	2	9
7-inch minimum size limit	0	4	3	7
8-inch minimum size limit	0	0	6	6
Downside case	2	1	1	4

¹ The downside case is a hypothetical situation in which the population and sport catch were reduced by 50% from the baseline case.

Table 30. Assessment of relative preferences for baseline fishery and 7-inch minimum size limit policy.

	Bas	eline		7-inch minimum size limit		
Question and option	N	%	N	%	N	%
Which fishery wou						
	24	30	-56	70	42	
If the 7-inch minin	num size	limit were im	posed at Les Che	eneaux:		
A. Would you cl	hange the	e number of tr	rips you made to	the site?		
More trips			4	7	1	2
Same number	19	80	23	41	39	93
Fewer trips	1	4	_		1	2
Missing	4	16	28	50		_
Inconsistent	_		1	2		
No opinion	_				1	2
Total anglers	24	100	. 56	100	42	100
B. Would you cl	hange the	e length of yo	ur trips?			
Longer			1	2	_	_
Same	18	75	27	48	41	98
Shorter	_	_				_
No trips	1	4	_	_	_	_
Missing	5	20	28	50		_
No option				_	1	2
Total anglers	24	100	56	100	42	100

¹ In the early version of the questionnaire, we did not ask which fishery they would prefer.

Table 31. Assessment of relative preferences for baseline fishery and 8-inch minimum size limit policy.

	Bas	eline	8-inch m size l		Miss	sing¹
Question and option	N	%	N	%	N	%
Which fishery wor	ıld you 36	prefer? 47	41	53	42	
If the 8-inch mining			-		?	
More trips Same number Fewer trips	25 1	- 69 3	2 14	5 34 —	2 29 10	5 7] 24
Missing Inconsistent No opinion	9 1 —	25 3	22 3	54 7 —	$\frac{-}{1}$	
Total anglers	36	100	41	100	42	100
B. Would you c	hange (the length o	f your trips?			
Longer Same Shorter No trips	25 2	69 6	19 —	- 46 - -	38	9
Missing No opinion	9	26	<u>22</u>	54	1 1	
Total anglers	36	100	41	100	42	10

¹ In the early version of the questionnaire, we did not ask this question.

Table 32. Assessment of relative preferences for baseline fishery and downside case.1

	Baseline		Downs	ide case					
Question and option	N	%	N	%					
Which fishery would you prefer?									
	75	91	7	9					
f the very downside c	ase were imp	osed at Les Chene	eaux:						
A. Would you chan	ge the numbe	er of trips you mad	le to the site?						
More trips Same number Fewer trips	57 17	 76 23	- 3 3	43 43					
Missing Inconsistent No opinion	<u>1</u> _	1 =	<u></u>	14					
Total anglers	75	100	7	100					
B. Would you chan	ge the length	of your trips?							
Longer Same number Shorter No trips	62 2 9	83 3 12	$\frac{-4}{3}$	57 43					
Missing Inconsistent No opinion	1	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u> -	_					
Total anglers	75	100	7	100					

¹ The downside case is a <u>hypothetical</u> situation in which the population and sport catch are reduced by 50%.

CHAPTER 3. DISCUSSION

The five primary objectives of this study (page 3) were met to varying degrees. However, two of these objectives (to provide baseline data for future regulations and to provide information for the best policy and management decisions) cannot be evaluated here. These objectives will be evaluated in the future by MDNR and other management entities interpreting these data in light of existing policies to make decisions for future management.

Objective 1

The first objective was to determine if fishing pressure, catch, catch rate, and perch size have changed for the perch fishery since 1979 and if exploitation rate was as high in 1986 as in 1985. Angling pressure in the winter was essentially the same, but catch in winter 1980 (109,000) was double that for the winters of 1981 and 1986 (about 50,000). This corresponded with a higher catch rate in 1980 (3.4 vs about 1.6 fish per hour). These data are difficult to interpret unless either the fish population or fishery changed drastically between the years or else the survey for winter 1980 was in error. These possibilities are further evaluated below.

The summer fishery for perch also differed among years. Fishing pressure in summer 1986 was 1.6 to 3.5 times higher than in the summers of 1979 and 1980 (143,000, 88,000, and 41,000 hours, respectively). These estimates of effort were based entirely on shore counts of boats. We found in summer 1986 that airplane counts were on average 2.5 times higher than shore counts. Thus, total effort and total catch data for the summers of 1979 and 1980 may have been greatly underestimated. For comparability we used shore count data to make annual comparisons.

Total catch and catch rate also varied among years for the summer fishery. Total catch in 1986 was about 1.4 times higher than in 1979 and 1980. As in the winter fishery, catch rates in the summers of 1986 and 1979 were similar (about 1.0 fish per hour), and were about half of the catch rate in summer 1980 (2.3 fish per hour).

The proportion of the total annual catch taken during the summer was very high in 1986, and varied in 1979 to 1981.

Either large fish population changes, changes in use of the area, or errors in the creel census influenced differences in catch statistics from 1979 to 1981 (Table 5). Tourism probably declined in 1980 due to large increases in the price of gasoline, and this may have drastically reduced total fishing pressure during the summer 1980. Fish density, estimated by fall gill net surveys, was reasonably similar from 1979 to 1981, indicating no large scale population changes (MDNR unpublished records). Also, age structure analyses did not indicate presence of any strong year classes during this time period (also unpublished MDNR records). Creel census data are variable, but confidence limits on catch rate data do not

indicate any change in census accuracy from 1979 to 1981. The actual perch fishery is undoubtedly highly variable, depending on weather and other environmental factors, and we believe that the variability in Table 5 probably reflects actual changes in fishing pressure and success rather than sampling error or fish population changes. Considering this inherent variability in the historic data, it is difficult to estimate the degree of change in the fishery since 1979.

Size of perch caught in 1986 was generally small, with 17% of the fish caught being less than 7 inches and 65% less than 8 inches. But the perch were larger than in 1979-81, when 40% of the catch was less than 7 inches and 80% was less than 8 inches. Small size of fish in the catch can reflect several population characteristics, including slow growth rate or a high exploitation (and low survival) rate.

Exploitation rate, estimated from tag returns, was at least 26.2%, and more likely around 36%. Total mortality rate was probably about 50%, indicating a very high exploitation rate and a low natural mortality.

Growth rate appears to have declined somewhat since 1980. However, the current growth is fairly close to the statewide average for perch. Growth estimates are usually conservative for populations with high exploitation rates and size-selective fisheries. This occurs because faster growing fish are removed from the population earlier by fishing. These data indicate that high mortality rates, rather than poor growth rates, are most likely responsible for the small average size in the Les Cheneaux area perch fishery.

The catch of anglers averaged 3.08 perch per trip with a median size of only 7.3 inches. By contrast, most anglers preferred to catch fewer, larger perch (five 9-inch perch) to more, smaller perch (seven 7-inch fish). Thus, both the size and that quantity of the catch was low, and that could likely lead to a poor recreational experience.

Objective 2

The second objective was to update fish population parameters for mathematical modeling, particularly growth rate, movements, and population size. This objective has been partially met. Growth rate data are complete (Table 10); however, population data from tagging are incompletely analyzed. Tagging data for 1985 are complete for 1 year (the most useful time scale), but 1986 tags have only been at-large for 6 months and returns are still occurring. Also, the tag return rate for 1986 appears to be only three-fourths of the 1985 rate, apparently due to a change in the reward system. We need to evaluate these biases before presenting final population data from tagging. This evaluation will be done in David Lucchesi's thesis, which should be completed by summer 1987.

Population size was estimated to be between 520,000 and 350,000 fish, assuming various growth rates and no in-or-out migration of fish. We plan to refine this estimate in Lucchesi's

thesis using several methods involving return of tags. However, movement data indicate that we are probably dealing with two localized stocks of perch, one around Mackinac Bay and one around Flower Bay. If these stocks are discrete, there may also be additional ones in nearby bays that have been untouched in our tagging but contribute to the total catch. Thus our tag return data may provide only minimum population estimates for the area as a whole.

In summary, we are providing preliminary data for Objective 2 in this report, and plan a complete analysis and population model in a thesis by David Lucchesi in summer 1987.

Objective 3

The third objective was to determine types of participants in the fishery, their importance to the tourist industry, and their value to the local economy.

The winter fishery was equally represented by local residents, day visitors, and overnight tourists. Local residents and day visitors (who live nearby) were often unemployed or retired, so fish caught may well be an important supplement to their diet. The winter fishery was estimated to increase income in the local area by \$88,000 to 128,000, which includes the value of fish as food to local residents. This relatively low economic impact was due to the small number of non-local anglers bringing money into the community to spend on lodging, food, etc., by the anglers who were mainly residents. Winter anglers ranked fish size and number as most important to them, with tourist services being considered unimportant. These anglers mainly came to the area to fish, and perch was their main target species.

The summer fishery was dominated by tourists. A special category of this group is summer residents, who own property in the area but do not live in the area year round or make their living in the area. The creel census indicated that only 13% of the summer anglers resided locally. Over 70% of the summer anglers surveyed in the economic analyses were overnight visitors, 20% were summer residents, and only 4% were day visitors. Year-round residents were excluded from this analysis. It is likely we underestimated the numbers of day visitors and, particularly, the number of summer residents in our analysis.

The summer fishery increased local incomes in the range of \$2.2-4.4 million dollars—about 50 times the effect of the winter fishery. Most of the expenditures were made by overnight tourists for lodging and food with summer residents also making a contribution. Obviously, summer fishing is very important to the local economy.

Summer anglers mainly visited the area for high quality fishing and for the natural beauty of the area. The majority of summer anglers targeted yellow perch as their main species, although herring and other (bass, pike, and salmon/trout) were also important. Many of these people had fished the area before, and learned about it through word-of-mouth.

The economic data largely reflected our previous information about the area (see Introduction). Certainly the catch of fish per trip (cited by both summer and winter anglers as

most important) is low. Anglers' indicated a strong preference for an "improved" fishery (7-inch size limit) over the current fishery, but indicated they would not really change their use of the area under either of these two conditions. Only the "downside" fishery, in which catch declined 50%, elicited a perceived change in angler use. The main reason for this poor fishing appears to be a high exploitation rate by summer and winter anglers.

APPENDICES

- Appendix 1. Creel census schedules.
- Appendix 2. Creel census questionnaire.
- Appendix 3. Economic questionnaire #2 (summer).
- Appendix 4. Codebook for questionnaire #2
- Appendix 5. Economic questionnaire #1 (winter)
- Appendix 6. Codebook for questionnaire #1.

Creel census schedules

Cedarville January 1986 Schedule

Shift A = 6am - 3pmShift B = 10am - 7pm January 7-31, 1986

Date		SI	nift	Coun	ts
January	8		A	7am,	11am
	9		В	2pm,	
	10		Α	8am,	
	11		В	lpm,	
	12		A	7am,	_
	13			·	
	14		λ	7am,	10am
	15		Λ	8am,	
	16		В	3pm,	
	17				-
	18		A	9am,	1pm
	19		В	2pm,	
	20		В	lpm,	
	21			- '	-
	22				
	23		В	3pm,	5pm
	24		A	7am,	
	25		В	3pm,	_
	26		A	8am,	
	27		A	8am,	12N
	28				
	29		A	9am,	lpm
	30			•	-
	31		В	lpm,	4pm

Cedarville - February 9 - May 3, 1986

Shift A: $\frac{\text{Feb} - \text{May } 3 = 6 \text{ am} - 3 \text{ pm}}{\text{Shift B: } \frac{\text{Feb}}{\text{Feb}} = 10 \text{ am} - 7 \text{ pm; } \frac{\text{March}}{\text{March}} = 11 \text{ am} - 8 \text{ pm; } \frac{\text{April-May}}{\text{April-May}} = 12N - 9 \text{ pm}$

Date	Shift	Counts	Date	Shift	Counts
- Feb 9	Λ	7am, 11am	April 1	Α	7am, 9am
10	Α	8am, 12N	2	••	•
, 11			3	В	2pm, 4pm
#12 WED.	, · B	2pm, 5pm ₩-> E	4	_	
13				A	7am, 9am
wK14	Λ	7am, 11am	<u>5</u> 6 7	В	2pm, 4pm
* 15 SAT.	В	1pm, 4pm E->W	7		
$\frac{\overline{16}}{17}$	Λ	8am, 11am	8	Λ	6am, 8am
	_		9	В	4pm, 6pm
18	B	3pm, 5pm	10		
20	אל	0 1	11	Λ	8am, 10am
20 21	В В	9am, 1pm	<u>12</u>	В	1pm, 3pm
	A	1pm, 3pm	$\frac{12}{13}$	γ	6am, 8am
$\frac{22}{23}$	В	8am, 11am		Λ	7am, 9am
$\frac{\frac{22}{23}}{\frac{24}{24}}$	D	2pm, 5pm	15		
* 25 TLES.	۰ ۸	6am, 10am W.→E	16		10am 17N
" 26	λ	7am, 11am	17	λ	10am, 12N
27	В	2pm, 5pm	18	В	5pm, 7pm
13k28		-E-m', SE-m	<u>19</u>	A B	10am, 12N 4pm, 6pm
1			20 21	В	4pm, opm
March #1 SUN	В	12N, 2pm €→₩	22	В	2pm, 4pm
2	Λ	6am, 10am	23	. A	8am, 10am
<u>a</u> 3		, 20am	24	В	5pm, 7pm
4	В	2pm, 4pm	25	D	
5			26	В	6pm, 8pm
6	В	4рт, брт	27	Λ	9am, 11am
luk.	Λ	9am, llam	28	В	1pm, 3pm
<u></u>	Λ	8am, 11am	29		
8 9 10	В	2pm, 5pm	30	В	6pm, 8pm
11	V	Gam, 8am			
学12 WED.	Λ	•=	May l		
13	n	10am, 12N W→E	2	λ	9am, 11am
14	В	En	<u>3</u>	A	6am, 8am
* 15 SAT	В	5pm, 7pm			
16	λ	4pm, 6pm E→W 7am, 9am	•		
17	В	3pm, 5pm			
 18		orm, opm			•
19	λ	8am, 10am			
2 0	λ	7am, 9am			
WK21					
$\frac{22}{23}$	λ	6am, 10am		4	
■ <i>i</i> 23	В	12N, 2pm			
24		· -			
25	В	lpm, 3pm			
26					
*27 THUE	-z· V	9am, 11am W→E			
wk	Λ	6am, 8am			
29	В	5pm, 7pm		•	
$\frac{\frac{29}{30}}{\frac{31}{31}}$	λ	8am, 11am			
31	В	3pm, 5pm			

Les Cheneaux Creel Census Schedule May 4 - September 1, 1986

Shift A: May - July 5:30 am - 2:30pm; August 6 am - 3 pm

Shift B: May 12N - 9 pm; June - August 1 pm - 10 pm

May 4	Date	Shift	Counts	Date	Shift	Counts	Date	Shift	Counts
S	Mars 4				•		July 31	В	lpm, 5pm
13			•			• -	-		
8 B 2pm, 6pm 15 B 3pm, 7pm 5 A 6am, 10am 16 6 6 6 10 10 A 7am, 11am 120 11							2	A	
8 B 2pm, 6pm 15 B 3pm, 7pm 5 A 6am, 10am 16 10 A 7am, 11am 17 A 8am, 12N 7 11am 17 A 8am, 12N 7 11am 18 A 8am, 12N 18 B 1pm, 4pm 9 B 1pm, 4pm 9 B 1pm, 4pm 11 11am 12 A 7am, 11am 12 A 7am, 11am 12 A 7am, 11am 14 B 2pm, 6pm 13 B 1pm, 6pm 19 B 1pm, 6pm 13 B 1pm, 6pm 19 B 1pm, 6pm 10 B					A	6am, 10a	m <u>3</u>	В	3pm, 7pm
9 A Gam, 10am 16 10 A 7am, 11am 17 A 8am, 12N 7 112			8am, 12N		A	9am, 1pm	$\cdot \overline{4}$	В	4pm, 8pm
10		В	2pm, 6pm	15	В	3pm, 7pm	. 5	A	6am, 10am
13 A 7am, 11am 20 14 A 4pm, 8am 21 B 5pm, 9pm 11 15 A 8am, 12N 22 A 7am, 11am 12 A 7am, 11am 16		A	6am, 10am	16			6		
13 A 7am, 1lam 20 14 Apm, 8am 21 B 5pm, 9pm 11 15 A 8am, 12N 22 A 7am, 1lam 12 A 7am, 1lam 16	10	A	7am, llam	17	Α	8am, 12N	7		
13 A 7am, 11am 20 14 A 4pm, 8am 21 B 5pm, 9pm 11 15 A 8am, 12N 22 A 7am, 11am 12 A 7am, 11am 16	<u>11</u>	В	lpm, 4pm	18	В	3pm, 7pm		Α	8am, 12N
14				19	В	lpm, 4pm		В	
14		A	7am, llam	20			.10		6am, 10am
15		В	4pm, 8am	21	В	mae, mac			•
16		A	8am, 12N	22				А	7am, llam
17	16			23					
18	17	В	2pm, 6pm					В	2pm, 6pm
19	18					,			
20 B 1pm, 5pm 27	$\overline{19}$				Δ	6am 10a			
21					А	Call, IVa			_
22		_	-F, O.F.		В	3nm 7nm			
23 A 7am, 11am 30 A 8am, 12N 20 B 3pm, 7pm 24 A 6am, 10am 21 A 7am, 11am 25 A 9am, 1pm July 1 B 2pm, 6pm 22 27 A 8am, 12N 3 28 A 9am, 1P 4 A 7am, 11am 29								А	sam, Ipm
24		A	7am. llam						2 mm 7 mm
26 27 A 8am, 12N 3 28 A 9am, 1P 4 A 7am, 11am 25 29				30	A	odiii, 12N			
26 27 A 8am, 12N 3 28 A 9am, 1P 4 A 7am, 11am 25 29	25			70.1 1	-	2		А	/am, llam
27 A 8am, 12N 3	26	73	Jan, Ipm		В	∠pm, 6pm		_	0 101
28		7.	9am 12M				23		
29					_			В	4pm, 8pm
June 1		А	Jani, IP	4 -				_	
June 1		В	4	2					-
June 1				<u>6</u>	В	4pm, 8pm			
June 1 B 4pm, 8pm 9	31	В	3pm, /pm					В	lpm, 5pm
B 2pm, 6pm 10 B 4pm, 8pm 31 A 7am, 11am B 1pm, 5pm 11 A 8am, 12N 12 A 6am, 10am Sept.1 A 8am, 12N A 6am, 10am 15 A 6am, 10am 15 A 8am, 12N B A 8am, 12N 10 B 4pm, 8pm 10 B 4pm, 8pm 10 B 3pm, 6pm 10 B 4pm, 8pm 10 B 4pm, 8pm 10 B 4pm, 8pm 10 B 4pm, 8pm 10 B 2pm, 6pm 10 B 4pm, 8pm 10 B 2pm, 6pm	T				В	5pm, 9pm			•
3 B 1pm, 5pm 11 A 8am, 12N 4	June I					•		В	
12 A 6am, 10am Sept.1 A 8am, 12N 5 A 6am, 10am 13 B 2pm, 6pm 6 14 B 4pm, 8pm 7 A 6am, 10am 15 8 A 8am, 12N 16 A 6am, 10am 9 17 10 18 B 3pm, 7pm 19 B 20 A 8am, 12N 21 A 8am, 12N 22 A 7am, 11am 23 24 B 5pm, 9pm 25 26 A 9am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm	2			10	В	4pm, 8pm	31	Α	7am, llam
5 A 6am, 10am 13 B 2pm, 6pm 6 14 B 4pm, 8pm 7 A 6am, 10am 15 8 A 8am, 12N 16 A 6am, 10am 17 10 18 B 3pm, 7pm 19 B 20 A 8am, 12N 21 A 8am, 12N 22 A 7am, 11am 23 24 B 5pm, 9pm 25 26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm		В	lpm, 5pm	11	Α	8am, 12N			
6					Α	6am, 10a	m Sept.l	A	8am, 12N
7 A 6am, 10am 15 8 A 8am, 12N 16 A 6am, 10am 17 10 18 B 3pm, 7pm 19 B 20 A 8am, 12N 21 A 8am, 12N 22 A 7am, 11am 23 24 B 5pm, 9pm 25 26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm		A	6am, 10am		В	2pm, 6pm			
7/8/9 A 6am, 10am 15 9 12N 16 A 6am, 10am 17 18 B 3pm, 7pm 19/20 A 8am, 12N 21 A 8am, 12N 22 A 7am, 11am 23 24 B 5pm, 9pm 25 26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm				$\overline{14}$	В	4pm, 8pm	i		
10 18 B 19 B 20 A 8am, 12N 21 A 8am, 12N 22 A 7am, 11am 23 24 B 5pm, 9pm 25 26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm	7	A	6am, 10am	15		-			
10 18 B 19 B 20 A 8am, 12N 21 A 8am, 12N 22 A 7am, 11am 23 24 B 5pm, 9pm 25 26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm	8	A	8am, 12N	16	Α	6am, 10a	m		
10 18 B 19 B 20 A 8am, 12N 21 A 8am, 12N 22 A 7am, 11am 23 24 B 5pm, 9pm 25 26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm	9					•			
19 B 20 A 8am, 12N 21 A 8am, 12N 22 A 7am, 11am 23 24 B 5pm, 9pm 25 26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm	10				В	3pm, 7pm			
20 A 8am, 12N 21 A 8am, 12N 22 A 7am, 11am 23 24 B 5pm, 9pm 25 26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm						- L , . L	•		
21 A 8am, 12N 22 A 7am, 11am 23 24 B 5pm, 9pm 25 26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm				20		8am. 12N			
22 A 7am, llam 23 24 B 5pm, 9pm 25 26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm				21					•
23 24 B 5pm, 9pm 25 26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm									
24 B 5pm, 9pm 25 26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm					••	ramy III	•••		
25 26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm					D	E 0	_		
26 A 9am, 12N 27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm					D C	Spm, Spm	l.		
27 A 8am, 12N 28 29 B 4pm, 8pm					7		_		
28 29 B 4pm, 8pm									
29 B 4pm, 8pm					Α	8am, 12N	Ī		
T / "F"									
30 A 8am, 12N							1		
				30	A	8am, 12N			

Creel census interview form

<u> </u>		, E]	PAY OF	MONTH THE	YEAR	DAY OF SERVICE	BOAT	PIER 5	RESIC	ENCE			Trips □= per day	Ċ	ב' ב'	; ; 		
	21 2 JNLI O				24 IC. ♀	UNII Q]	BAIT USED SPECIES SOUGHT					<u>.</u>						
TIME FISHED		ST.	ART]-[39 40 FINISH		•	i i i	MORNI	NG	• 10	NOC	d d	ظلك	EVENI	Ш	PM	-
Rainbow Tr.	_	1	~	4	10111			1	1		•								
		2	\neg																
Lake Trout	0	4	寸																
Splake	0	5						1											
Chinook S.	0	8	7						1										
Pink Salmon		नो	1				1	1											
	-	1	_	_			 	 	 	1									
Menominee	_	2	\dashv	_			1	一	 										
Cisco	1	3		_			 		_	-									
Smelt	_	4	\dashv	_	_	 		1	-	-				 -	· · · · ·				
N. Pike	市	5		_			 	†	1-	1									
Musky	_	6					†	· · · ·		1				 					
Y. Perch	_	8	-	٦			1												
Walleye	\rightarrow	9		_			 	\vdash	 										
S. M. Bass	-	1	7	_						-									
L. M. Bass	_	2	7	_	_			1		1									
Bluegill	2	3																	
Rockbass	2	4							Τ										
Sunfish Sp.	_	5)			1											
Crappie Sp.	2	б																<u> </u>	
White Bass	2	7	П	_															
Drum	2	9	П	_		i										L		<u> </u>	
Carp	3	_	П	_		1	1		1	1									
Bowfin		2	Н	_	1	1	1	1	1	f	1	ſ			Ī				
White Sucker		_	Н	_	-	 	1	1-	├				·						
Redhorse Sp.				_	 		1	1	1	+		1	 	1	1				
L. N. Sucker				┢		 	1	1	1	1		1			1				
Bullhead Sp.				_			1	1	1	1		1							
Channel Cat	_	7		-		†	1	1	1	1									
Gar Sp.	3	9								1	L.								1
Burbot	4	1		l _										1			<u> </u>	 	
													<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ļ	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

Would you prefer to catch: Seven 7.5" perch(); five 9" perch(); No opinion(). "Check one"

| 16/ Would you support regulations to produce larger but fewer perch? "Check one"

| Yes(); No(); No opinion().

Economic questionnaire #2 (summer)

LES CHENEAUX FISHING SURVEY: ECONOMICS 2

Date _	(Mo	o/day/yr) Site		(mo) (day)	(yr)	
Time _	In	terviewing Site		(site)		2
\				(time)		3
Hello!	My name is	and I am with The Univ	ersity of			~~4
Michiga	n. We are doing a sur	vey to collect informa	tion about			
how use	ers of the Les Cheneaux	fishery value the sit	e currently			
and how	the value would change	e if the quality of th	e fishing			
change	l. The information you	provide will be kept	strictly			
confide	ential. If you like we	will send you a summa	ry of the			
results	of the survey.					
		•	•			
Results	requested: Yes: No	:_				
Mailing	address:					
Number	Street/RFD:	Apt	. No			
City: _	Stat	ce: Zip:				
I. BAS	SIC INFORMATION					
1.	Where do you live? (Do	not repeat if provid	ed mailing addres	s).		
	City:					5
	State:	Zip:				6
						7
2.	a) When did you arrive	e in the Eastern U.P.?		(date)	73	8
	Date	Time of day		(mo)	(day)	9
		(in 24-hr	time)			
	b) When do you plan to	leave the Eastern U.	P.?	(date)		10
	Date	Time of day		(mo)	(day)	11
	7650	(in 24-hr	time)	(crme/		11
3.	a) Have you fished in	the Les Cheneaux area	hefore this year	7 (Yes=1)		12
J.	-, jou rished in	the hes onelleaux alea	. Delote this year	(no=2)		14
		[IF NO: GO TO 4]				
	b) What year was your	first fishing trip to	Les Cheneaux?			13
	c) What percentage of	years between [year o	f first trip]			
	and 1986 did you co	ome to Les Cheneaux?				14
4.	How many trips do you	expect to make to Les	Cheneaux this year	ar?		15
TONECO						
JONES3/	4-0005					

5.	What characteri	stics of Les Chene	aux led you to come her	:e	
	instead of goir	ng to another area?		TOM)	CODED)
6.	How would you r choice (l=highe	_	in influencing your		
	Quality of	fishing	•	fishing	16
	Beauty of	area		beauty	17
	Quality of	tourist services		tourist	18
	Visit rela	tives, friends		friends	19
	Quality of	swimming, boating		swimming	20
	Familiarit	y of site, habit	•	familiarity	21
	Other			other	22
7.	How did you hea	r about Les Chenea	ux?	P	23
8.	What types of f	ish are you target	ing to catch while in I	es Cheneaux? T/S	25
,				H	26
				S	27
				D	28
9.	How many people including yours		you came here with,		29
10.			ding yourself, are you eaux area? adults _		$\frac{30}{31}$
11.	made in the Les covering. Incl includes all of fishing rods) b Otherwise, atte	Cheneaux area for ude sales tax in y Eastern UP.] For ought in the last mpt to elicit the were not made in	during the previous 24 the group whose expensour figures. [Les Chene big ticket items (boat day, count whole expendents for the 24-hour period or expendents of the 24-hour period or expendents for the 24-hour period or expendents.]	es you are eaux "area" es, clothes, eiture amount. eeriod, even	
	Let's talk firs buying food at		e you been eating meals	out or	
		Restaurant/Snack Spreakfast Lunch Dinner Other	\$ \$ \$ \$		32 33 34 35
	ь)	Grocery Store	9		36

Now let's talk about lodging expenses. In what kind of place are you staying during your stay at Les Cheneaux?

	LODGING:	a)	Hotel/Motel	\$		37			_ 38
		ь)	Rental cottage/cabin	\$	_	39			40
		c)	Rental Space for Camper/Trailer (Public or Park)	\$	_	41			42
		d)	Rental space for tent (Private Camp)	\$	_	43			_ 44
		e)	Own House/Cabin			45			
	FUEL:	a)	Oil and gas for Boat	\$					_ 46
		ъ)	Car	\$					47
		c)	Snowmobile	\$					48
	APPAREL:			\$					49
	FISHING EQU	IPME	NT						_
	OR BAIT:		rating Equipment	\$					_ 50
		Cap	ital Equipment	\$	_				51
	What invest								
			t rod other					— —	52
	BOAT LAUNCH			\$					_ 53
			s, taverns)	\$			— -	— —	_ 54
	CHARTER BOA	T FE	ES:	\$					- 55
	ENTERTAINME		Movies, miniature golf, etc.	\$			 -		_ ⁵⁶
	RECREATION:	0pe	rating Equipment	\$					_ 57
	•	Cap	ital Equipment	\$	_				_ 58
	What invest								
			t ski other					— —	_ 59
	_	-	·)	\$					– 60
12.	Are you sat	isfi	ed with your vacation ex	perience?					
	[CALL C	FF C	ATEGORIES]					٠	
	Ver	y sa	tisfied						_ 61
	Son	iewha	t satisfied					_	_ 62
	Sat	isfi	ed						_ 63
	Son	ewha	t dissatisfied						_ 64
	Dis	sati	sfied						_ 65

-4-	
3. What would make your experience more enjoyable, or cause	
you to lengthen your stay?	
[DO NOT PROMPT UNLESS NECESSARY]	
Hiking trails	
Windsurfing/sailboat rentals Interpretive nature trails	
Snowmobile/cross country trails	
Showmobile/Closs Councily Clairs	more = 1
more? better?	better = 2 both = 3
Better restaurants	
Better tourist services	
Better entertainment	
Nothing more required	
Other [PLEASE SPECIFY]	
14. Do you plan to return next year?	Yes = 1 No = 2
Yes No ·	
If no, why not?	
15. Here is a list of household income categories. Would you	
call off the code that best describes the total combined	
income that you and all other members of your family	
received during 1985? Please be sure to include wages	
and salaries, and net income from your business or pension	ons,
dividends, interest, and any other sources	

Codebook for economic questionnaire #2

CODEBOOK FOR
QUESTIONNAIRE 2
THE EXPENDITURE SURVEY

December 1986

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Α.	Number of question and corresponding variable numbers	1
В.	List of variables	2
C.	Variable name, information and codes for variables with descriptive data, and results	3

A. Number of Question and Corresponding Variable Numbers

Question	<u>Variable(s)</u>	
1	5-7	
2 a	8-9	
2b	10-11	
3a	12	
3b	13	
3c	14	
4	15	
5	not coded	
6	16-22	
7	23	
8	24-28	
9	29	Questions yielding variables 1-4,
10	30-31	78 are not numbered (interviewer
11 (food)	32-36	fills out beforehand).
11 (lodging)	37-45	
11 (fuel)	46-48	
11 (apparel)	49	
11 (fishing equip.)	50-52	•
11 (rest)	53-60	
12	61-65	
13	66-75	
14	76	
15	77	

C. List of Variables

	0.475
	DATE
2.	SITE
3.	TIME
4.	ID
5.	CITY
6.	ST
7.	ZIP
8.	DTAR
9.	TMAR
10.	DTDPT
11.	TMDPT
12.	FSHBFR
13.	FRSTYR
14.	%YR
15.	#TRIPS
16.	QUALFSH
17.	BEAUTY
18.	QUALSERV
19.	VISIT
20.	QUALSWIM
21.	FAMILR
22.	OTRINF
23.	HEAR
24.	PERCH
25.	TRT/S
26.	HERR

27.	SMLT
28.	OTRFS
29.	#GROUP
30.	ADLTS
31.	CHLDRN
32.	BRKFST\$
	LNCH\$
34.	DNNR\$
35.	OTRFD\$
	GRCERY\$
37.	HDTL
38.	\$HTL
39.	CABN
40.	\$CABN
41.	TRLR
42.	\$TRLR
43.	TENT
44.	\$TENT
45.	HOUSE
	\$GASBT
47.	\$GASCR
48.	\$GASSNW
49.	\$APPL
50.	\$FSOPEQ
51.	\$FSCAPEQ
52.	FSITMS

53.	\$LCHFEE
54.	\$BEVG
55.	\$CHRTR
56.	\$ETRMT
57.	\$RECOPEQ
58.	\$RECCAPEQ
59.	RECITMS
60.	OTR\$
61.	VSAT
62.	SSAT
63.	SAT
64.	SDISS
65.	DISS
66.	TRAIL
67.	SURF
68.	NATTRL
69.	SNWTRL
70.	MORE
71.	RESTS
72.	TOUR
73.	ENTMT
74.	NOTHNG
75.	OTHER
76.	RETURN
77.	WAGE
78.	QUEST#

C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data RESULTS

DATE (6) [number of digits in variable]
 Date of interview
 Month/day/year (2 digits for each)

Sample Size = 139 Range 5/6/86 - 8/16/86 (see page 3a)

2. SITE (3)
Fishing site
3-digit code for site

Code	<u>Sites</u> <u>n</u>
346	Hessel Bay - 21
347	Mackinac Bay - 14
348	Muskie Bay - 23
349	Shepherd Bay - 0
350	Cedarville - 15
351	Flower Bay - 5
352	Moscoe Channel - 20
353	Government Bay - 7
354	McKay Bay - 26
400	Lake Huron - 8

3. TIME (4)
Time of interview
24-hour basis (e.g., 1 p.m. is 1300)

700 [3] 800 [6] 900 [5] 1000 [10] 1100 [14] 1200 [8] 1300 [16] 1400 [12] 1500 [20] 1600 [13] 1700 [10] 1800 [8] 1900 [2] 2000 [8] 2100 [3] 2200 [1]

4. ID (2) - Q#2 ____ Interview site

Code	<u>Interview Site</u> n
5	Cedarville R.V. Park - 1
6	Les Cheneaux Motel - 0
7	Bearfoot Resort - 0
8	Cedar Point Cottages - 8
9	Hills Point Resort - 6

DATE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT
50686	1	0.7	1	0.7
50886	1	0.7	2	1.4
51086	1	0.7	3	2.2
51186	t	0.7	4	2.9
51586	1	0.7	5	3.6
51986	2	1.4	7	5.0
52386	1	0.7	8	5.8
52486	2	1.4	10	7.2
52686	3 1	2.2	13	9.4
52886 53086	2	0.7 1.4	14 16	10.1 11.5
53186	2	1.4	18	12.9
60386	1	0.7	19	13.7
60586	2	1.4	21	15 , 1
60786	1	0.7	22	15.8
60886	2	1.4	24	17.3
61186	2	1.4	26	18.7
61286	1	0.7	27	19.4
61386	<u> </u>	0.7	28	20 . 1
61586	1	0.7	29	20.9
61786 61886	2 6	1.4 4.3	31 37	22.3 26.6
61986	3	2.2	40	28.8
62186	4	2.9	44	31.7
62286	2	1.4	46	33.1
62386	6	4.3	52	37,4
62486	4	2.9	56	40.3
62686	3	2.2	59	42.4
62886	4	2.9	63	45.3
62986 63086	2 2	1.4	65	46.8
70186	3	1.4 2.2	67 70	48.2 50.4
70486	4	2.9	74	53.2
70586	Ś	3.6	79	56.8
70686	3	2.2	82	59.0
70886	4	2.9	86	61.9
71086	5	3.6	91	65.5
71186	4	2.9	95	68.3
71386 71686	3 2	2.2 1.4	98	70.5
71786	4	2.9	100 104	71.9 74.8
71886	2	1.4	106	76.3
72086	ī	0.7	107	77.0
72186	4	2.9	111	79.9
72386	2	1.4	113	81.3
72486	3	2.2	116	83.5
72686	!	0.7	117	84.2
72786	1	0.7	118	84.9
72986	2	1.4 1.4	120	86.3
73186 80286	1	0.7	122 123	87.8 88.5
80386	; 1	0.7	124	89.2
80486	4	2.9	128	92.1
80586	2	1.4	130	93.5
80886	1	0.7	131	94.2
80986	1	0.7	132	95.0
81086	1	0.7	133	95.7 97.5
81486 81686	3 3	2.2 2.2	136 139	97.8 100.0
3,000		۷. ۷	138	100.0

- Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data (continued).
 - 4. (continued)

Code	Interview Site n
10	Island View Resort - 2
11	Larys Cabins - 0
12	Bayview Cottages - 0
13	Don's Place - 0
14	Les Cheneaux Park Ctgs 0
16	Patrick's Landing - 1
17	Paul's Waterfront Ctgs1
18	Shoberg's Resort - 0
19	Waterlawn Harbor - 0
20	Hessel Public Launch - 21
21	Cedarville Public Launch - 21
22	Cedarville Public Docks - 0 .
23	Mertanghs Docks - 0
24	Hill Island Causeway - 6
25	Island Eight Causeway - 0
26	McKay Creek Bridge - 17
27	Sunset Cabins - 3
28	Les Cheneaux Landing - 15
29	Shady Side - 15
30	Spring Lodge - 13
31	Torsky's Resort - O
32	Ford's Cottages - 1
33 34	Wilson's Cabins - 0 Trail's End - 9

5. CITY (3)

Where do you live (city)?
3-digit code for city (and non-Michigan state, where applicable)

Code	<u>Site</u> <u>n</u>
049	Adrian - O
001	Ann Arbor - 1
107	Allan Park - 2
046	Allegan - O

- C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data (continued).
 - 5. (continued).

,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Code	<u>Site</u> <u>n</u>
077	Alto - 0
122	Augres - 1
002	Battle Creek - 2
003	Bay City - 4
089	Beaverton - 1
113	Bently - 1
057	Burnips - 0
880	Caro - 1
004	Cedar Springs - 0
005	Cedarville - 0
006	Charlotte - 1
066	Cheboygan - 1
110	Clinton - O
.007	Comstock Park - 1
060	Conklin - 0
082	Concord - 0
098	Corunna - 0
128	Croswell - 1
800	Dear Township - 0
009	Dearborn - 1
119	Deckerville - 1
010	Detour - 0
011	Detroit - 5
123	Dewitt - 0
064	Durand - 1
012	East Lansing - 0
047	Edmore - 0
076	Elsie - 1
062	Evert - 1
115	Fairgrove - 0
129	Farmington Hills - 1
052	Ferndale - O

- C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data (continued).
 - (continued).

025

(continu	ea).
Code	<u>Site</u> <u>n</u>
058	Fibre - 0
013	Flatrock - 0
084	Fleshing - 1
014	Flint - 4
095	Fountain - 1
087	Frazier - 1
092	Garden City - 1
061	Gobels - 0
015	Gower - 0
016	Grand Rapids - 11
017	Grandville - 0
018	Grayling - 2
103	Hale - 1
111	Harbor Beach - 0
109	Harbor Springs - 1
114	Harrison - 1
117	Harrisville - 0
116	Hazel Park - O
019	Hessel - 0
081	Highland Township - 0
020	Holland - 2
108	Howard City - 1
048	Howell - 1
050	Jackson - 2
021	Jension - 1
022	Kalamazoo - O
023	Kincheloe - 0
100	Kinross - 0
072	Lake City - 1
024	Lansing - 3
106	Lennon - 1

Lewiston - 0

- C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data (continued).
 - 5. (continued).

Concin	ieu).
Code	<u>Site</u> <u>n</u>
026	Lindon - 0
073	Livonia - 3
027	Mackinaw City - 0
028	Mackinaw Island - 0
054	Madison Hts 1
068	Manton - O
029	Marion - O
125	Marlette - 1
079	Marne - 1
091	Marshall - O
030	Martin - 0
124	Melvindale - 1
085	Midland - 0
031	Millersburg - 0
093	Mt. Clemens - 1
032	Moran - 0
033	Mulliken - O
075	Muskegon - 1
090	New Lothrop - 1
053	Newaggo - O
034	Newberry - 0
097	Niles - O
063	Oscoda - 2
059	Ostego - O
096	Otisville - 1
126	Port Huron - 1
035	Petoskey - 0
065	Pellston - 1
036	Pickford - 0
071	Plymouth - 2
037	Pontiac - O

Rochester - 1

086

- C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data (continued).
 - (continued).

nueu).
<u>Site</u> <u>n</u>
Rogers City - 0
Rudyard - 0
Saginaw - 2
St. Clair Shores - 1
St. Ignace - 1
St. Johns - 0
Sault Ste. Marie - 1
Sears - 0
South Haven - 0
South Gate - 0
Southfield - 1
Standish - 1
Stanwood - 0
Sterling Hts 0
Swartz Creek - 0
Taylor - 2
Tecumseh - 0
Three Rivers - 1
Traverse City - 1
Trenton - 1
Troy - 1
Union Lake - 1
Utica - 0
Wadsworth - 0
Warren - 1
Westland - 1
Ypsilanti - O
Zeeland - 2
<u>States</u>
Akron, OH - O
Miamisburg, OH - O
West Milton, OH - 2

- C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data (continued).
 - 5. (continued).

10000	ieu).
Code	<u>Site</u> <u>n</u>
304	Rocksbury, OH - 1
305	Ft. Wayne, IN - 1
306	Miami Township, OH - 1
307	Dayton, OH ~ 5
308	Columbus, OH - 4
309	Richmond, IN - 0
310	Macy, IN - 1
311	Hillsboro, IL - 1
312	Bradenton, FL - 0
313	Arcada, FL - 0
314	Fort Thomas, KY - 1
315	Houston, OH - 1
317	Xenia, OH - 1
318	Louisville, KY - 1
319	Toledo, OH - 3
320	Bowling Green, OH - 1
321	Bloomingdale, IL - 1
322	Marion, OH - 2
323	Kittering, OH - 1
324	South Bend, IN - 1
325	Findley, OH - 1
326	Smithville, OH - 1
327	St. Johns, OH - O
328	Eleva, WI - 1
329	Davenport, IA - 1
330	Hammelton, OH - 2
331	St. Petersburg, FL - 1
332	Sandusky, OH - 1
333	Bellview, FL - 1
334	Plano, TX - 0
335	Batavia, OH - 1
336	Middlebury, IN - 1
337	Seville, OH - 0

- C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data (continued).
 - 4. (continued)

Code	Interview Site n
10	Island View Resort - 2
11	Larys Cabins - 0
12	Bayview Cottages - 0
13	Don's Place - 0
14	Les Cheneaux Park Ctgs O
16	Patrick's Landing - 1
17	Paul's Waterfront Ctgs1
18	Shoberg's Resort - 0
19	Waterlawn Harbor - 0
20	Hessel Public Launch - 21
21	Cedarville Public Launch - 21
22	Cedarville Public Docks - 0
23	Mertanghs Docks - 0
24	Hill Island Causeway - 6
25	Island Eight Causeway - 0
26	McKay Creek Bridge - 17
27	Sunset Cabins - 3
28	Les Cheneaux Landing - 15
29	Shady Side - 15
30	Spring Lodge - 13
31	Torsky's Resort - 0
32	Ford's Cottages - 1
33 34	Wilson's Cabins - 0 Trail's End - 9

CITY (3)
 Where do you live (city)?
 3-digit code for city (and non-Michigan state, where applicable)

Code	<u>Site</u> <u>n</u>
049	Adrian - O
001	Ann Arbor - 1
107	Allan Park - 2
046	Allegan - O

- C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data (continued).
 - 5. (continued).

(cont ii	nueu).
Code	<u>Site</u> <u>n</u>
077	Alto - 0
122	Augres - 1
002	Battle Creek - 2
003	Bay City - 4
089	Beaverton - 1
113	Bently - 1
057	Burnips - 0
880	Caro - 1
004	Cedar Springs - 0
005	Cedarville - 0
006	Charlotte - 1
066	Cheboygan - 1
110	Clinton - 0
007	Comstock Park - 1
060	Conklin - 0
082	Concord - 0
098	Corunna - O
128	Croswell - 1
800	Dear Township - 0
009	Dearborn - 1
119	Deckerville - 1
010	Detour - O
011	Detroit - 5
123	Dewitt - O
064	Durand - 1
012	East Lansing - 0
047	Edmore - 0
076	Elsie - 1
062	Evert - 1
115	Fairgrove - 0
129	Farmington Hills - 1
052	Ferndale - O

- C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data (continued).
 - 5. (continued).

025

(contir	nued).
Code	<u>Site</u> <u>n</u>
058	Fibre - 0
013	Flatrock - 0
084	Fleshing - 1
014	Flint - 4
095	Fountain - 1
087	Frazier - 1
092	Garden City - 1
061	Gobels - 0
015	Gower - 0
016	Grand Rapids - 11
017	Grandville - O
018	Grayling - 2
103	Hale - 1
111	Harbor Beach - 0
109	Harbor Springs - 1
114	Harrison - 1
117	Harrisville - 0
116	Hazel Park - 0
019	Hessel - 0
081	Highland Township - 0
020	Holland - 2
108	Howard City - 1
048	Howell - 1
050	Jackson - 2
021	Jension - 1
022	Kalamazoo - O
023	Kincheloe - 0
100	Kinross - 0
072	Lake City - 1
024	Lansing - 3
106	Lennon - 1

Lewiston - 0

C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data (continued).

5. (continued).

(continu	ed).	n
Code	Site	<u>n</u>
026	Lindon	- 0
073	Livon'	ia = 3
027	Macki	naw City - 0
028	Macki	naw Island - 0
054	Madis	son Hts 1
068	Mant	on - 0
029	Mari	on - 0
125		lette - 1
079	Mar	ne - 1
091	Mar	shall - 0
030	Mai	rtin - 0
124	t We	Ivindale - 1
80	5 Mi	dland - 0
03	a Mi	illersburg - 0
09	93 M	t. Clemens - 1
0	32 M	oran - 0
0	33 ^M	ulliken - 0
()75 ¹	Muskegon - 1
(090	New Lothrop - 1
	053	Newaggo - 0
	034	Newberry - 0
	097	Niles - 0
	063	Oscoda - 2
	059	Ostego - O Otisville - 1
	096	Port Huron - 1
	126	port nuron
	035	Petoskey - 0 Pellston - 1
	065	Peliston - 0
	036	Plymouth - 2
	071	Pontiac - 0
	037	Rochester - 1
	086	Kocues

- C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data (continued).
 - 5. (continued).

(contin	ued).
Code	<u>Site</u> <u>n</u>
118	Rogers City - 0
055	Rudyard - 0
038	Saginaw - 2
099	St. Clair Shores - 1
039	St. Ignace - 1
131	St. Johns - 0
040	Sault Ste. Marie - 1
041	Sears - 0
056	South Haven - 0
074	South Gate - 0
127	Southfield - 1
105	Standish - 1
042	Stanwood - 0
070	Sterling Hts 0
069	Swartz Creek - 0
101	Taylor - 2
112	Tecumseh - 0
132	Three Rivers - 1
043	Traverse City - 1
094	Trenton - 1
044	Troy - 1
121	Union Lake - 1
067	Utica - O
045	Wadsworth - 0
104	Warren - 1
078	Westland - 1
083	Ypsilanti - O
102	Zeeland - 2
Other S	tates
301	Akron, OH - O
302	Miamisburg, OH - 0
303	West Milton, OH - 2

- C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data (continued).
 - 5. (continued).

Code	Site n
304	Rocksbury, OH - 1
305	Ft. Wayne, IN - 1
306	Miami Township, OH - 1
307	Dayton, OH - 5
308	Columbus, OH - 4
309	Richmond, IN - 0
310	Macy, IN - 1
311	Hillsboro, IL - 1
312	Bradenton, FL - 0
313	Arcada, FL - 0
314	Fort Thomas, KY - 1
315	Houston, OH - 1
317	Xenia, OH - 1
318	Louisville, KY - 1
319	Toledo, OH - 3
320	Bowling Green, OH - 1
321	Bloomingdale, IL - 1
322	Marion, OH - 2
323	Kittering, OH - 1
324	South Bend, IN - 1
325	Findley, OH - 1
326	Smithville, OH - 1
327	St. Johns, OH - O
328	Eleva, WI - 1
329	Davenport, IA - 1
330	Hammelton, OH - 2
331	St. Petersburg, FL - 1
332	Sandusky, OH - 1
333	Bellview, FL - 1
334	Plano, TX - 0
335	Batavia, OH - 1
336	Middlebury, IN - 1
337	Seville, OH - O

С.	Vari (con	able Name, Information and Codes for tinued).	Variables with Descriptive Data RESULTS
	6.	ST (2) Where do you live (state)? 3-digit code for state [see back of codebook]	Michigan [99] Florida [2] Illinois [2] Indiana [4] Iowa [1] Kentucky [2] Ohio [28] Wisconsin [1]
	7.	ZIP (5) Where do you live (Zip Code)?	(see page 10a)
	8.	DTAR 4 Date you arrived Month/day (2-digits for each)	Range 5/5/86 - 8/16/86 (see page 10b)
	9.	TMAR 4 Time of day you arrived 24-hour basis (e.g., 1 p.m. is 1300)	(see page 10b)
	10.	DTDPT 4 Date you will depart Month/day (2-digits for each)	Range 5/8/86 - 9/15/86 (see page 10c)
	11.	TMDPT 4 Time of day you will depart 24-hour basis (e.g., 1 p.m. is 1300)	(see page 10c)
	12.	FSHBFR 1 Have you fished here before? 1. Yes 2. No	Yes [120] No [19]
	13.	FRSTYR 2 What year did you first fish here? Last two digits of year if yes in #12 If no in #12 'missing'	Range 1926 - 1986 (see page 10d)

CULTIV	51.8	52.5	53.2	54.0	54.7	ชา 4 - 4	- e	37.0	. e	60.4	61.2	6.19	62.6	63.3	64.0	64.7	•	9.79	5.00	70.5	71.2	•	72.7	73.4	74.1	74.8	75.5	2.6.5	7.77	78.4	79.1	79.9	9.08	81.3	82.7	0.00	7.70	. w	2.7	88.5	6.68	91.4	92.1	92.8	93.5	94.2	95.7	96.4	97.1		98.6	001
FREQUENCY	72	73	74	75	76	//	62	2 <u>6</u>) *	8	82	86	87	88	68	O 70	- 60	2) C	n y	9 6	66	100	101	102	103	404	105	9 5	2 5	109	9	=	112	E :	15	917	_ a	200	121	123	125	127	128	129	130	131	133	134	135	136	137	139
PERCENT	0.7	•	0.7	0.7	0.7				00		0.7	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0.7	•	0.7	0.7	0.7			•			•	0.7	0.7	•	- (•	· ·	•	· c			•	0.7		0.7					. 0	- r	0.7
FREQUENCY	-	-		-	-					· m	-	· •	-	-	-	- ,	- (•			. -	_	-	-	-	-				· -	-	-	-	- (. 2		- •	- c	۰.	. 6	1 81	7	-	-	-	- 1	. 2				- •	- -
dIZ	48219	48224	48422	48427	48429	48433	48453	48460	48463	48504	48506	48602	48603	48612	48625	48658	48703	48706	48723	48750	48813	48831	48843	48306	48910	48915	49015	49017	49203	49210	49312	49329	49333	49410	49423	40428	48460	40464	49464	49504	49505	49508	49631	49651	49684	49721	49738	49740	49769	52806	54738	62049
CONTINUE PERCENT		•	9.4	10.1		E	12.2	•	7.7	1 u	. 4 	17.3	0.61	18.7	4.61	20.1	21.6	22.3	23.0	U. 42	25.2	20.02 0.02 0.02 0.02		28.8	29.5	30.2	6.08	31.7	32.4	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		7 . IS	36.0	36.7	37.4	38.1	38.8	-	40.3	<u> </u>		, 6		4	δ.	9	7	œ	49.6	50.4	51.1	
FREQUENCY		12	5	41	15	16	17	2 9	<u> </u>	20	22	5.0	25	26	27	28	9	31	32	34	ឧ	3.6	- e	40	4 -					940	4 4	0 4	20	51	52	53	54	22	200	25	n u		9	62	63	65	99	68	69	70	7.1	
PERCENT	1	. 0				0.7	۲.	•	•		- (0.7		•	•	0.7	4.	. · ·	· ·	. 4			0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7) c	. 0		0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7			, r	7.0	4.	0.7	4.1	0.7	0.1	0.7	
FREQUENCY				-	-	-		-	-	-	α.	-				-	- 64	-	-	7		- 1	- c	v -			-	-	-	-		-		حب .	_	-	-	-	-	_	-						· -					
AIZ			32820	40222	40613	41075	43204	43209	43212	43221	43302	43402	43612	43613	44677	44870	45013	45103	45333	45383	45423	45426	45429	40440	45630	46540	46614	46825	46951	48018	48026	48034	48043	σα	, a		w	48091	w	w	w	w	_	48130			, ~			48185	48 186	

956 10 1	DTAR	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT	TMAR	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT
1.0 0.7 3 2.9	505	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.7		0.7	100	2		8	
0.7	808	-	0.7	7	1.4	130	-	0.7	ო	2.2
1 0.7	509	_	0.7	9	2.2	230	-	0.7	4	2.9
1	510	-	0.7	4	2.9	300	-	0.7	.	3.6
2 1.4 7 6.5 430 1 0.7 1 2 1.4 9 6.5 6.5 430 1 0.7 1 2 1.4 12 8.6 6.5 6.0 1 0.7 1 <td< td=""><td>514</td><td>_</td><td>0.7</td><td>S</td><td>9.e</td><td>330</td><td>-</td><td>0.7</td><td>g</td><td>4.3</td></td<>	514	_	0.7	S	9.e	330	-	0.7	g	4.3
2 1.4 9 6.5 500 4 2.9 1.4 9 6.5 500 4 2.9 1.2 1.2 1.2 500 4 2.9 1.2 <t< td=""><td>5</td><td>7</td><td></td><td>7</td><td>ъ. О</td><td>430</td><td>-</td><td>0.7</td><td>7</td><td>5.0</td></t<>	5	7		7	ъ. О	430	-	0.7	7	5.0
1 0.7 10 10 1.2 600 4 2.9 112 2 1.4 12 18 6 700 1 4 0.7 112 2 1.4 12 18 6 700 1 4 0.7 112 2 1.4 12 18 8 7 700 1 1 0 1.4 118 2 1.4 12 18 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	520	8	4.4	6	6.5	200	-	0.7	89	57. 89.
2 1.4 12 8.6 700 3 2.7 13 2 1.4 1.5 1.5 8.6 700 3 2.7 18 2 1.4 2.0 1.5 1.5 8.80 2 1.4 2.0 18 1.2 18 2.0 1.4 1.0 1.4 2.0 1.4 2.0 1.4 2.0 1.4 2.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 <td>522</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>01</td> <td>7.2</td> <td>009</td> <td>4</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>12</td> <td>9.6</td>	522	-	0.7	01	7.2	009	4	2.9	12	9.6
4 2.9 16 11.5 800 3 2.2 16 1 1.4 19.7 19.8 19.7 19.8 19.7 19.8 19.7 19.8 19.7 19.8 19.7 19.8 19.7 19.8 <	523	. 2	•	12	9.8	200		0.7	13	9.4
1 0.7 11 12.2 830 2 1.4 20 1 0.7 14.4 14.8 1100 6 1.4 20 1 0.7 20 14.4 100 1 4 20 2 1.4 22 14.8 100 1 0.7 20 2 1.4 26 16.7 170 6 4.3 27 3 2.2 16.0 170 12.9 14 4 4 4 4 2.2 20.1 170 6 14 4	524	4	•	16	11.5	800	0	2.2	91	11.5
2 1.4 19 13.7 930 2 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 20 20 1.4 20 1.4 20 20 20 20 1.2 20 1.2 20 1.2 20 1.2 20 1.2 20 1.2 20 1.2 20 1.2 20 1.2 20 1.2 20 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.4 20 1.2 1.4 1.2 1.4 1.2 1.4 1.2 1.4 1.2 1.4 1.2 1.4 1.4 1.2 1.4 1.1 1.4 1.2 1.4 1.1 1.4 1.2 1.4 1.1<	100	-	0.7	11	12.2	830	8	1.4	18	12.9
1 0.7 20 14.4 1000 1 0.7 22 14.4 1000 1 0.7 22 14.4 1000 1 0.7 22 14.4 1130 1 0.7 29 14.3 12.9 10.0 1 0.7 29 10.0 1 0.7 40 21.6 1130 1 0.7 40 21.6 1130 1 0.7 40 21.6 1130 1 0.7 40 21.6 1300 1 0.7 40 21.6 1300 1 0.7 40 21.6 1300 1 0.7 40 21.6 1300 1 0.7 40 21.6 1300 1 0.7 40 21.6 1300 1 0.7 40 21.6 14.7 14.7 1500 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.2 <td>10 K</td> <td></td> <td>4.</td> <td>6</td> <td>13.7</td> <td>930</td> <td>~</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>20</td> <td>14.4</td>	10 K		4.	6	13.7	930	~	1.4	20	14.4
2 1.4 22 15.8 1100 6 4.3 27 2 1.4 24 17.3 1100 6 4.3 27 2 1.4 26 26.9 1720 18 47 47 3 2.2 29 20.9 1300 13 9.4 41 4 2.2 39 28.8 14 1430 14 10.7 44 4 2.9 44 31.7 1500 14 10.1 76 1 0.7 40 28.8 14.7 1500 14 10.1 76 1 0.7 40 28.8 14.7 1500 14 10.1 76 1 0.7 40 41.7 1500 14 10.1 76 1 0.7 40 41.7 1500 14 12.9 40 1 0.7 40 41.7 1500 14 12.9 40 1 0.7 40 41.0 14.0 14.0	200		0.7	50	14.4	1000	-	0.7	21	15.1
2 1.4 26 17.3 1130 2 1.4 29 2.6 17.3 1130 2 1.4 29 2.0 2.0 18 12.9 47 12.0 18 12.9 47 12.0 18 12.9 47 12.0 19		٠ ،	4	22		1100	9	4.3	27	19.4
2 1.4 26 18.7 1200 16.9 47 3 2.2 2.9 20.9 1200 1300 1300 14 48 4 2.2 3.9 2.0 3.5 3.9 2.1 4.8	200	,		20	17.3	1130		4.	29	20.9
2 2 2 2 4	200	N 6	· -	96	19 7	2004	1 č	. c.	47	(C
6 4.3 30 21.6 1300 13 9.4 61 6 4.3 36 25.9 1300 14 10.1 76 1 0.7 40 28.8 1430 14 10.1 76 2 1.2 40 28.8 144 31.7 1500 14 10.1 76 2 1.4 46 33.1 1600 15 10.8 94 94 1 0.7 60 43.2 1700 3 2.2 112 112 1 0.7 60 43.2 1700 3 2.2 112 1 0.7 60 43.2 1700 3 2.2 112 1 0.7 60 43.2 1700 3 2.2 112 1 0.7 60 44.6 1730 4 2.9 112 4 2.9 81 90 4 2.9 112 4 2.9 88 66.9 190 1 <td< td=""><td>909</td><td>7 (</td><td></td><td>2 6</td><td>. o</td><td>1230</td><td>· -</td><td></td><td>48</td><td>9 6 9 6</td></td<>	909	7 (2 6	. o	1230	· -		48	9 6 9 6
6 4.3 36 25.9 1330 1 0.7 62 1330 1 0.7 62 1330 1 0.7 62 1330 1 0.7 62 1 0.7 62 1 0.7 62 1 0.7 62 1 0.7 1	200	7) 1	, i	2 6) u	000	- -	. 4		. 64
3 2.3 39 28.1 1600 14 10.1 76 4 2.9 44 33.1 1600 14 10.1 76 12 1.4 46 33.1 1600 15 10.8 10.9 12 1.4 46 43.2 1700 3 2.2 175 1 0.7 60 43.2 1730 3 2.2 115 15 10.8 77 55.4 1800 4 2.9 94 4 2.9 81 56.3 1930 1 0.7 124 4 2.9 86 66.9 1930 1 0.7 124 4 2.9 86 66.9 1930 1 0.7 124 1 0.7 96 68.3 2000 1 0.7 126 1 0.7 10.4 14.8 10.0 1 0.7 126 1 0.7 10.2 10.0 1 0.7 139 1 0.7 10.2 10.0 1 0.7 136 1 0.7 10.0 10.0 1 0.7 136	50 G	- (- c	96	2 H.C	000	2 -	, ,		2.6
1 0.7.7 40 28.8 140 31.7 140 32.7 79 1 0.7 44 31.7 150 11 7.9 90 1 0.7 46 41.7 160 17.9 90 1 0.7 60 42.4 170 3 2.2 172 1 0.7 60 44.6 1730 3 2.2 112 1 0.7 60 44.6 1730 3 2.2 115 4 2.9 81 62 44.6 1730 3 2.2 115 4 2.9 81 77 55.4 1800 4 2.9 14 117 4 2.9 81 61.2 1900 2 1.4 117 4 2.9 81 66.9 1950 1 0.7 125 1 0.7 96 69.1 2000 1 0.7 136 1 0.7 10.4 10.4 10.4 10.4	6 14	9 (9 6	. ac	200		; -	35	. 4
1.4 2.9 44 31.7 1500 11 7.9 90 1.2 1.4 46 33.1 1530 14 7.9 90 1.2 1.4 46 33.1 1530 14 2.9 94 1.0 0.7 60 43.2 1700 3 2.2 112 1.0 0.7 60 43.2 1700 3 2.2 115 1.0 0.7 60 43.2 1700 3 2.2 115 1.0 0.7 60 43.2 1700 3 2.2 115 1.0 0.7 88.3 1800 4 2.9 115 1.0 0.7 95 66.9 1950 1 0.7 125 1.0 0.7 96 69.1 2030 1 0.7 125 1.0 0.7 10 77.0 2300 1 0.7 135 1.0 0.7 10 10 0.7 139 1.0 0.7	615	י כי	, i	ה כל מ	- a c	1430			0.7	20.00
1.2 1.4 46 33.1 1530 4 2.9 94 12 8.6 58 41.7 1630 3 2.2 112 10 0.7 60 43.4 1630 3 2.2 115 10 0.7 60 43.4 1700 3 2.2 115 10 8 77 55.4 1800 4 2.9 117 4 2.9 81 56.9 1900 4 2.9 117 4 2.9 85 66.9 1950 1 0.7 124 1 0.7 95 66.9 1950 1 0.7 125 1 0.7 96 68.3 2000 1 0.7 126 1 0.7 104 76.3 2000 1 0.7 136 1 0.7 1 1 0.7 1 1 1 1 0.7 1 1 0.7 1 1 1 1 1 <t< td=""><td>919</td><td>- 1</td><td>- a</td><td>2 4</td><td>2.50</td><td>0051</td><td>) -</td><td>. 0.</td><td>) G</td><td>6.4.7</td></t<>	919	- 1	- a	2 4	2.50	0051) -	. 0.) G	6.4.7
12 8.6 58 41.7 1600 15 10.8 109 10.7 60 42.4 1700 3 2.2 112 11.4 62.4 46 1700 3 2.2 115 10.8 77 55.4 1800 4 2.9 115 10.8 81 58.3 1830 1 0.7 125 11.0 0.7 95 68.3 2000 5 3 2.2 1135 11.0 0.7 95 68.3 2000 5 3 6.1 135 11.0 0.7 10.4 17.7 2330 1 0.7 135 11.0 0.7 1 10.7 17.0 2330 1 0.7 135 11.0 0.7 4 2.9 82.7 2330 2 1.4 135 11.0 0.7 1 10.0 17.0 2330 1 0.7 135 11.0 0.7 1 10.0 17.0 2330 1 0.7 135 11.0 0.7 1 10.0 17.0 2330 1 0.7 135 11.0 0.7 1 10.0 17.0 2330 1 0.7 135 11.0 0.7 1 10.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0	9 9	4 (n •	† V		1530	. 4	. 0	76	67.6
12 8.5	n :	7 9		P 0		200	+ ¥		\$ 5	20.0
1 0.7 50 42.4 100 1 0.7 60 43.2 1700 3 2.2 115 1 0.8 77 55.4 1800 4 2.9 117 4 2.9 85 61.2 1900 2 1.4 117 4 2.9 85 66.9 1900 2 1.4 122 1 0.7 95 66.9 1900 2 1.4 125 1 0.7 95 66.9 1900 2 1.4 125 1 0.7 95 66.9 1900 1 0.7 125 1 0.7 16.3 2200 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 17.0 2330 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 17.7 2330 2 1.4 139	621	12	<i>p</i> (ה ה		0091	2 0	5 6	3 5	. u
1 0.7 60 43.2 1700 3 2.2 113 15 10.8 77 55.4 1800 4 2.9 122 4 2.9 81 58.3 1800 2 1.4 117 15 10.8 77 55.4 1800 4 2.9 122 4 2.9 85 66.9 1800 2 1.4 112 1 0.7 94 67.6 1800 1 0.7 125 1 0.7 95 68.3 2000 5 3.6 131 1 0.7 77.0 2300 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 77.0 2300 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 77.0 2300 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 77.7 2330 2 2.2 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 135 1 0.7	623	-	0.7	95	42.4	0681	י פי	7.0	7	9 6
2 1.4 62 44.6 1730 2 1.4 117 4 2.9 81 55.4 1800 4 2.9 121 4 2.9 85 61.2 1800 2 1.4 124 4 2.9 85 61.2 1800 2 1.4 124 8 5.8 93 66.9 1930 1 0.7 125 1 0.7 95 68.3 2000 5 3.6 131 1 0.7 96 68.3 2000 5 3.6 135 2 1.4 106 76.3 2030 1 0.7 135 2 1.4 106 77.7 2330 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 1.4 139 1 0.7 136 1 0.7 1 1 0.7 1 1 1 1 0.7 1 1 0.7 1 1 1 1 1 1 </td <td>625</td> <td>_</td> <td>٥.٦</td> <td>09</td> <td>43.2</td> <td>00/1</td> <td>י פיז</td> <td>7.7</td> <td><u>.</u></td> <td>62.7</td>	625	_	٥.٦	09	43.2	00/1	י פיז	7.7	<u>.</u>	62.7
15 10.8 77 55.4 1800 4 2.9 122 4 2.9 81 58.3 1830 1 0.7 122 4 2.9 85 61.2 1930 1 0.7 124 8 5.8 93 66.9 1930 1 0.7 125 1 0.7 94 67.6 1950 1 0.7 126 1 0.7 95 68.3 2000 5 3.6 131 2 1.4 106 76.3 2200 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 17.0 2300 1 0.7 137 1 0.7 17.7 2330 2.2 1.4 139 1 0.7 1.4 139 1 0.7 1.4 139 1 0.7 1.4 139 1 0.7 1.4 139 1 0.7 1.4 139 1 0.7 1.4 139 1 0.7 1.4 139 1 0.7 1.4 139 1 0.7 1.4 139	626	7	4.	62	44.6	1730	21	4.	117	84.2
4 2.9 81 58.3 1830 1 0.7 122 4 5.9 85 661.2 1900 2 1.4 124 8 5.8 93 66.9 1950 1 0.7 126 1 0.7 94 67.6 1950 1 0.7 125 1 0.7 96 68.3 2000 5 3.6 131 1 0.7 104 74.8 2000 1 0.7 135 2 1.4 106 76.3 2200 1 0.7 136 1 0.7 4 77.7 2330 1 0.7 136 1 0.7 4 2.3 2 1.4 139 1 0.7 4 2.9 4 2.9 1 0.7 4 2.9 1 0.7 139 1 0.7 4 2.9 1 0.7 139 1 0.7 4 2.9 1 0.7	628	15	1 0.8	7.7	55.4	1800	4	2.9	121	87.1
4 2.9 85 61.2 1900 2 1.4 124 6 5.8 93 66.9 1930 1 0.7 125 1 0.7 95 68.3 2000 5 3.6 131 1 0.7 96 69.1 2000 5 3.6 131 2 0.7 104 74.8 2100 3 2.2 135 1 0.7 17.7 2330 1 0.7 136 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 139 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 139 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 139 2 3.6 1 0.7 1 139	703	4	2.9	89	58.3	1830	-	0.7	122	87.8
8 5.8 93 66.9 1930 1 0.7 125 1 0.7 94 67.6 1950 1 0.7 126 1 0.7 95 68.3 2000 5 3.6 131 1 0.7 96 69.1 2000 5 3.6 132 2 1.4 106 76.3 2200 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 17.0 2300 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 1 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.5 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.5 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.5 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.5 1.4 139 2 1 0.7 1 1.4 139 3 5 3.6 1 0.7 1.4 139 4 2.9 1 0.7 1 1.4 139 5 3.6 1	704	4	2.9	85	61.2	1900	2	4.	124	89.2
1 0.7 94 67.6 1950 1 0.7 126 1 0.7 95 68.3 2000 5 3.6 131 1 0.7 96 69.1 2000 1 0.7 132 2 1.4 106 76.3 2200 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 17.0 2330 1 0.7 136 1 0.7 1 0.7 139 1 0.7 3.5 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.5 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.5 1.4 139 2 1.4 139 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.6 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.6 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.6 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.6 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.6 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.6 1.4	705	60	8	93	6.99	1930	-	0.7	125	89.0
1 0.7 95 68.3 2000 5 3.6 131 1 0.7 96 69.1 2030 1 0.7 132 2 1.4 106 76.3 2200 1 0.7 135 1 0.7 17.0 2330 1 0.7 137 1 0.7 77.7 2330 2 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.5 3.6 5.8 5.8 5.8 5.8 5.8 5.8 5.8 5.8 5.8 5.8	106	-	0.4	94	67.6	1950	-	0.7	126	90.6
1 0.7 96 69.1 2030 1 0.7 132 8 5.8 104 74.8 2100 3 2.2 135 2 1.4 106 76.3 2200 1 0.7 136 1 0.7 17.0 2330 1 0.7 137 1 0.7 77.1 2330 2 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.5 3.6 3.6 5.8	707	_	7.0	95	68.3	2000	ស	3.6	131	94.5
8 5.8 104 74.8 2100 3 2.2 135 2 1.4 106 76.3 2200 1 0.7 136 1 0.7 17.0 2300 1 0.7 137 1 0.7 77.0 2330 2 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.5 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6	110	-	0.7	96	69.1	2030	_	0.7	132	95.0
1 0.7 16.3 2200 1 0.7 136 1 0.7 17.0 2300 1 0.7 137 1 0.7 77.7 2330 2 1.4 139 1 0.7 17.7 2330 2 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.5 3.6 3.6	1 2 5	· α	CC.	104	74.8	2100	ო	2.5	135	97.1
1 0.7 17.0 2330 1 0.7 137 1 0.7 17.7 2330 2 1.4 139 1 0.7 3.5 3.6 3.6	1 0) r	4	106	76.3	2200	-	0.7	136	97.8
1 0.7 1.4 139 1 0.7 4 139 1 0.7 4 139 1 0.7 4 2.9 1 0.7 5.0 5.0 1.4 139 1 0.7 7.7 7 7.7 7 2330 2 1.4 139 1 0.7 7 7.7 7 7 2330 2 1.4 139	0 U	4 •		101	77.0	2300	-	0.7	137	98.6
1 5.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.7 8 5.8 4 2.9 1 0.7	7 .				7 77	2330		7	139	0.001
6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	1 7			•	82.7	•)	•) -)))
68 50 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	0 0	. •) c		. ម (៣ ()					
- + m 4 + m c	727	- •	•		1 e					
- m 4 m c	477									
10 4 ← 10 (222	- 0								
4 ro c	726	20 -	o o							
- LO C	802	4 -								
ກເ	803	- u	- u		-					
	30 C		3 C							

CUMULATIVE	PERCENT	i	4.	7.7	. u			8.69	•	8	ີ. ຫ	83.5	. 70	. co	0.00	g		98.6	99.3	100.0																														
CUMULATIVE	FREQUENCY	-	7	r) (r)	9 19	- 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	78	97	66	109	Ξ	91	121	171	200	134	135	137	138	139																														
	PERCENT	0	0.7	•	7 6		. 0	13.7	4.4	7.2	4.4	9.6			, 6	. 0	0.7	1.4		0.7																														
	FREQUENCY		-	- (7 7	- œ	ე ლ		8	ō	CI	ហ	in (ם פ	יי פי	,		6	-	-																						•								
	TMDPT	0	001	200	9 6		000	000	100	1200	1300	1400	1500	200		1830	1900	2000	2030	2100																														
CUMULATIVE	PERCENT	0.7	4.4	•	n 9		•	6.5		4.6	10.8	12.2		- 6		2.2		19.4	20.1	20.9	21.6	25.6		0.00	30.2	30.9	37.4	41.0	41.7	45.3	44 m 20 m	0 00 00 00	54.7	62.6	64.0	64. 7. 10.	70.5	71.9	72.7		79.9	80.e	2.78	87.8	88.5	92.1	92.8	95.7	99.3	2.00
CUMULATIVE	FREQUENCY	-	7	ल ₹	₹ 14	, w	· 60	G	+	13	.	17	2 2	7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	23 6	24	56	27	28	29	ဝ္ဂ ဗ	9.00	8 Q		42	43	52	22	38 8	63	200	7.4	92	87	68 G) - 6	80	100	101	108		200+	121	122	123	128	129	133	38	2
	PERCENT		0.7	- r		. 7.	4.	0.7	4.4	1.4	4.4	4.	4.4	- 0		. 0	4.4	0.7			· ·				0.7						9 U	0.7	4.	7.9	- (9.0	4.4	0.1	0.0	2, 6	, œ	2.0				0°.			
1	FREQUENCY	ı	-		- •		. 6	-	7	7	м	0	N (7 -		-	· (4)	-	-	- ·	<u>.</u> ,	9 6	40			-	6	មា	 1	ព	ពថ	· -	. 6	-	7		7	8	-	7	m -	- α	, -	-	-	co.	-	∢ 1	n +	•
	DTDPT	508	509		1 4	521	526	527	528	530	531	601		809	611	612	614	615	618	619	059	621	624	625	626	627	628	629	704	702	702	109	710	712	713	716	719	720	721	726	121	000	803	807	808	808	8 10	816	823 943)

FRSTYR	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT
0	16	11.5	16	11.5
26	1	0.7	17	12.2
39	1	0.7	18	12.9
41	1	0.7	19	13.7
42	1	0.7	20	14.4
46	†	0.7	21	15.1
50	t	0.7	22	15.8
52	1	0.7	23	16.5
54	1	0.7	24	17.3
56	5	3.6	29	20.9
57	1	0.7	30	21.6
58	1	0.7	31	22.3
59	1	0.7	32	23.0
60	2	1.4	34	24.5
61	1	0.7	35	25.2
63	4	2.9	39	28.1
65	1	0.7	40	28.8
66	8	5.8	48	34.5
67	3	2.2	51	36.7
68	4	2.9	55	39.6
69	2	1.4	57	41.0
70	1	0.7	58	41.7
71	5	3.6	63	45.3
72	3	2.2	66	47.5
. 73	2	1.4	68	48.9
74	3	2.2	71	51.1
75	1	0.7	72	51.8
76	3	2.2	75	54.0
77	3	2.2	78	56.1
78	6	4.3	84	60.4
79	4	2.9	88	63.3
80	6	4.3	94	67.6
81	5	3.6	99	71.2
82	10	7.2	109	78.4
83	10	7.2	119	85.6
84	4	2.9	123	88.5
85	13	9.4	136	97.8
86	3	2.2	139	100.0

• \$

```
Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data
(continued).
                                                RESULTS
14. %YR
                                                 0 [19]
     3
                                                20 [3]
     What percent of years between
                                                25 [2]
       first trip and 1986?
                                                30
     Fill in %, e.g., 060 if 60%
                                                50 [10]
     100 if 100%
                                                66 [2]
     If no in #12 -- 'missing'
                                                70 [1]
                                                75 [6]
                                                78
                                                86
                                                90 [2]
                                                95 [6]
                                                97
                                                   [1]
                                               100 [84]
15.
     #TRIPS
                                                 1 [83]
                                                 2 [25]
                                                 3 [13]
     How many trips expected this year?
                                                 4 [8]
                                                 5 [4]
                                                 6
                                                10
                                                12 [1]
                                                39 [1]
16.
     QUALFSH
                                                 0 [8] (not a factor, or missing)
                                                 1 [71]
                                                 2 [43]
     Rank this in influencing your
       choice to come to Les Cheneaux:
                                                 3 [16]
     Quality of fishing (1=highest)
                                                 4 [1]
     BEAUTY
                                                 O [27] (not a factor, or missing)
     1
                                                 1 [37]
     Rank this in influencing your
                                                 2 [54]
                                                 3 [20]
       choice to come to Les Cheneaux:
     Beauty of area (1=highest)
                                                 4 [1]
18.
     QUALSERY
                                                0 [117] (not a factor, or missing)
                                                1 [1]
                                                2 [1]
3 [5]
     Rank this in influencing your
       choice to come to Les Cheneaux:
     Quality of tourist services (1=highest)
                                                7 [15]
19.
    VISIT
                                                0 [92] (not a factor, or missing)
                                                1 [17]
2 [12]
     Rank this in influencing your
                                                3 [3]
       choice to come to Les Cheneaux:
```

7 [15]

Visit relatives, friends (1=highest)

```
C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data
    (continued).
                                                   RESULTS
    20. QUALSWIM
                                                   0 [101] (not a factor, or missing)
                                                   1 [6]
                                                   2 [6]
         Rank this in influencing your
           choice to come to Les Cheneaux:
                                                   3 [12]
         Quality of swimming, boating (1=highest) 7 [14]
                                                   0 [105] (not a factor, or missing)
1 [7]
    21.
        FAMILR
                                                   2 [8]
         Rank this in influencing your
                                                   3 [19]
           choice to come to Les Cheneaux:
         Familiarity of site, habit (1=highest)
   22. OTRINF
                                                   0 [130] (not a factor, or missing)
                                                   1 [6]
                                                   2 [3]
         Rank this in influencing your
           choice to come to Les Cheneaux:
         Other (1=highest)
                                                   Comments
                                                   "relax" (2 responses)
                                                   "vacation"
                                                   "qet away"
                                                   "hunting"
                                                   "protected waters"
                                                   "some place have not been before"
                                                   "like the area, non-polluted"
   23. HEAR
         How did you hear about Les Cheneaux?
         1. No one - 1\overline{1}
         2. Family - 38
         3. Friends - 83
         4. Advertisement - 7
   24. PERCH
         Type of fish you are targeting? (perch)
         1. Yes
                                                   Yes [98]
                                                   Missing or no [41]
         Not fishing? 'missing'
   25.
       TRT/S
         Type of fish you are targeting?
           (trout/salmon)
         1. Yes -
                                                   Yes [21]
         2. No
                                                   Missing or no [118]
        Not fishing? 'missing'
```

С.	Vari (con	able Name, Information and Codes for V	ariables with Descriptive Data
	26.	HERR 1 Type of fish you are targeting? (herring) 1. Yes 2. No Not fishing? 'missing'	Yes [39] Missing or no [100]
	27.	SMLT 1 Type of fish you are targeting? (smelt) 1. Yes 2. No Not fishing? 'missing'	Yes [4] Missing or no [135]
	28.	OTRFS 1 Type of fish you are targeting? (other) 1. Yes 2. No Not fishing? 'missing'	Yes [79] (usually pike, bass) Missing or no [60]
	29.	#GROUP 2 How many people in your group, including yourself?	1 [1] 2 [48] 3 [23] 4 [31] 5 [14] 6 [8] 7 [5] 8 [2] 9 [2] 11 [1] 15 [1] 17 [1] 25 [2]
	30.	ADLTS 2 How many adults' expenses you are covering?	1 [31] 2 [91] 3 [12] 4 [4] 7 [1]
	31.	CHLDRN 2 How many children's expenses you are covering?	0 [93] 1 [15] 2 [19] 3 [7] 4 [5]

C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data (continued). RESULTS 32. BRKFST\$ **\$0** [117] \$3 [1] \$4 [1] Dollars spent in restaurant on breakfast for group (last 24 hours). \$5 [8] \$7 **[1]** \$10 [4] \$11 \$12 [3] \$14 [1] \$15 [1] \$20 [1] 33. LNCH\$ \$0 [119] \$2 [1] \$3 [1] Dollars spent in restaurant on \$5 [1] lunch for group (last 24 hours). \$7 [1] \$8 [3] \$10 [6] \$15 [4] \$20 [1] \$30 [1] \$50 [1] 34。 DNNR\$ (see page 14a) Dollars spent in restaurant on dinner for group (last 24 hours). 35. \$0 [134] OTRFD\$ \$2 [2] Dollars spent in restaurant on \$5 [1] 'other' for group (last 24 hours). \$12 [1] \$25 [1] **GRCERY\$** 36. (see page 14a) Estimate cost of groceries consumed in last 24 hours for group. HDTL 37. Yes [5] Are you staying in a hotel/motel? 1. Yes No [132] 2. No Missing [2] 38. \$HTL \$0 [132] \$28 [2] \$30 [1] Dollars spent on hotel for group in last 24 hours? \$32 [1] (average out a package-bill) \$36 [1]

Missing [2]

If no in #37 -- 'missing'

DINNER	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT
0	95	68.3	95	68.3
5	1	0.7	96	69.1
8	2	1.4	98	70.5
9	3	2.2	101	72.7
10	5	3.6	1	76.3
12	4	2.9		79.1
14	1	0.7		9
15	5	3.6		
16	1	0.7		
18	1	0.7		
20	7	5.0		
21	t	0.7		
. 25	4	2.9		
30	2	1.4		
35	. 1	0.7		
40	2	1.4		
55	1	0.7		
60	2	1		
100	1	0.		

GRCERY	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT
. 0	39	28.1	39	28.1
2	1	0.7	40	28.8
3	1	0.7	41	29.5
5	7	5.0	48	34.5
6	5	3.6	53	38.1
7	2	1.4	55	39.6
8	1	0.7	56	40.3
10	11	7.9	67	48.2
11	1	0.7	68	48.9
- 12	3	2.2	71	51.1
15	.1	0.7	72	51.8
20	26	18.7	98	70.5
25	6	4.3	104	74.8
30	10	7.2	114	82.0
31	1	0.7	115	82.7
35	3	2.2	118	84.9
37	. 1	0.7	119	85.6
40	4	2.9	123	88.5
50	6	4.3	129	92.8
55	1	0.7	130	93.5
60	1	0.7	131	94.2
74	1	0.7	132	95.Q
80	1	0.7	133	95.7
100	4	2.9	137	98.6
120	1	0.7	138	99.3
150	* 1	0.7	139	100.0

```
C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data
    (continued).
                                                    RESULTS
    39. CABN
         Renting a cottage/cabin?
                                                    Yes [74]
         1. Yes
                                                    No [63]
         2. No
                                                    Missing [2]
    40. #CABN
                                                    (see page 15a)
         Dollars spent on rented cabin
           for group in last 24 hours
         (average out a weekly bill)
         If no in #39 - 'missing'
         TRLR
    41.
         Renting a space for camper/trailer?
                                                    Yes [22]
                                                    No [115]
                                                    Missing [2]
         2. No
    42. $TRLR
                                                    $0 [116]
                                                    $2 [1]
                                                   $4 [1]
$5 [1]
$7 [3]
         Dollars spent on trailer space
           in last 24 hours?
         If no in #40 -- 'missing'
                                                    $8 [11]
                                                    $9 [2]
                                                    $12 [2]
                                                    Missing [2]
    43. TENT
         1
         Renting a space for a tent(s)?
                                                    Yes [4]
         1. Yes
                                                    No [133]
         2. No
                                                   Missing [2]
    44.
         STENT
                                                    $0 [133]
                                                    $4 [1]
                                                    $5 [1]
         Dollars spent on tent space
                                                   $8 [1]
$9 [1]
           in last 24 hours?
         If no in #43 -- 'missing'
                                                   Missing [2]
    45. HOUSE
         Do you own a house or cabin?
                                                    Yes [27]
         1. Yes
                                                    No [110]
         2. No
                                                    Missing [2]
    46. $GASBT
                                                    (see page 15a)
         Dollars spent on oil and gas
           for boat in last 24 hours?
```

If no boat -- 'missing'

DOLCABN	EBEOLIENOV	DEDCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT
DUCCABN	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	FREQUENCE	PERCEINI
0	66	47.5	66	47.5
20	2	1.4	68	48.9
21	1	0.7	69	49.6
23	3	2.2	72	51.8
24	2	1.4	74	53 . 2
25	6	4.3	80	57.6
26	8	5.8	88	63.3
28	12	8.6	100	71. 9
30	. 7	5.0	107	. 77.0
31	5	3.6	112	80.6
32	2	1.4	114	82.0
34	. 1	0.7	115	82.7
35	8	5.8	123	88.5
36	4	2.9	127	91.4
38	2	1.4	129	92.8
40	2	1.4	131	94.2
41	2	1.4	133	95.7
42	1	0.7	134	96.4
46	2	1.4	136	97.8
50	1	0.7	137	98.6
57	1	0.7	138	99.3
- 68	1	0.7	139	100.0

GASBT	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT
0	77	55.4	77	55.4
. 4	2	1.4	79	56.8
5	9	6.5	. 88	63.3
6	6	4.3	94	67.6
7	4	2.9	98	70.5
. 8	3	2.2	101	72.7
9	1	0.7	. 102	73.4
10	12	8.6	114	82.0
12	8	5.8	122	87.8
13	1	0.7	123	88.5
15	5	3.6	128	92.1 .
16	1	0.7	129	92.8
18	1	0.7	130	93.5
20	3	2.2	133	95.7
23	1	0.7	134	96 . 4
24	1	0.7	135	97.1
30	1	0.7	136	97.8
40	2	1.4	138	99.3
42	1	0.7	139	100.0

GASCR	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT
0	100	71.9	100	71.9
7	1	0.7	101	72.7
8	1	0.7	102	73.4
10	7	5.0	109	78.4
12	1	0.7	110	79.1
14	3	2.2	113	81.3
15	2	1.4	115	82.7
17	2	1.4	117	84.2
18	†	0.7	118	84.9
19	1	0.7	119	85.6
20	12	8.6	131	94.2
22	1	0.7	132	95.0
24	1	0.7	133	95.7
25	1	0.7	134	96.4
26	1	0.7	135	97.1
30	2	1.4	137	98.6
40	1	0.7	138	99.3
100	. 1	0.7	139	100.0

```
Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data
(continued).
                                               RESULTS
47. $GASCR
                                                (see page 15a)
     Dollars spent on gas for car
       in last 24 hours?
     If no car -- 'missing'
                                                $0 [139]
48.
     $GASSNW
     Dollars spent on gas for
       snowmobile in last 24 hours?
     If no snowmobile -- 'missing'
49. $APPL
                                                $0 [139]
     Dollars spent on apparel in
       last 24 hours?
     (do not allocate -
       treat as capital good)
50. $FSOPEQ
                                                (see page 16a)
     Dollars spent on fishing operating
       equipment (bait) in last 24 hours?
     (allocate cost of previous expenditures)
                                                $0 [137]
51. $FSCAPEQ
                                               $50 [2]
     Dollars spent on fishing capital
       equipment (rod, tackle/box) in
       last 24 hours?
52. FSITMS
     3
     What capital items were purchased
       in last 24 hours?
     1. boat - 0
     2. \text{ rod } -1
                                               Missing or no items [137]
     tackle/box - 0
     4. other - 1
53. $LCHFEE
                                               $0 [112]
                                               $1 [3]
     Dollars spent on boat launching fee
                                               $2 [24]
       in last 24 hours?
54. $BEVG
                                               $0 [132]
                                               $6 [1]
     Dollars spent on beverages in
                                              $10 [1]
                                              $15 [1]
$25 [1]
       last 24 hours?
                                              $50 [1]
                                              $60 [1]
                                             $100 [1]
```

FSOPEQ	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	CUMULATIVE FREQUENCY	CUMULATIVE PERCENT
0	49	35.3	49	35.3
1	2	1.4	51	36.7
2	7	5.0	58	41.7
3	16	11.5	74	53.2
4	8	5.8	82	59 .0
5	13	9.4	95	68.3
6	4	2.9	99	71.2
7	2	1.4	101	72.7
8	4	2.9	105	75.5
. 10	7	5.0	112	80.6
12	2	1.4	114	82.0
15	2 2	1.4	116	83.5.
16	2	1.4	. 118	84.9
18	1	0.7	119	85.6
20	5	3.6	124	89.2
25	2	1.4	126	90.6
30	4	2.9	130	93.5
38	1	0.7	131	94.2
40	1	0.7	132	95.Q
45	2	1.4	134	96 . 4
46	1 -	0.7	135	97.1
70	1	0.7	136	97.8
100	1	0.7	137	98.6
180	1	0.7	138	99.3
300	1	0.7	139	100.0

```
C. Variable Name, Information and Codes for Variables with Descriptive Data
    (continued).
                                                    RESULTS
    55. $CHRTR
                                                    $0 [139]
         Dollars spent on charter boat fees
           in last 24 hours?
                                                    $0 [138]
    56.
         SETRMT
                                                    $7 [1]
         Dollars spent on entertainment in
           last 24 hours?
    57.
         $RECOPEQ
                                                    $0 [139]
         Dollars spent on recreation operating
           equipment in last 24 hours?
         (allocate cost of previous expenditures)
    58.
         $RECCAPEQ
                                                    $0 [137]
                                                    $20 [1]
                                                    $6500 [1]
         Dollars spent on recreation capital
           equipment in last 24 hours?
    59.
         RECITMS
         What recreation capital items were
           purchased in the last 24 hours?
         1. boat - \overline{1}
         2. skis - 0
         3. ropes - 0
         4. ice chest- 0
         5. other - 0
    60. OTR$
                                                    $0 [130]
                                                    $4 [1]
                                                    $5 [1]
         Dollars spent on other items in
           last 24 hours?
                                                    $7 [1]
                                                    $9 [1]
                                                   $18 [1]
                                                   $20 [1]
                                                   $40 [1]
                                                  $100 [1]
                                                  $120 [1]
FOR QUESTIONS 61 - 76 -- SAMPLE SIZE = 56
    61. VSAT
                                                    Yes [12]
                                                    No [41]
         Answer yes if very satisfied
                                                   Missing [3]
           w/vacation (y=1)
         SSAT
                                                   Yes [14]
    62.
                                                    No [39]
         Answer yes if somewhat satisfied
                                                   Missing [3]
```

w/vacation (y=1)

C.		able Name, I tinued).	nformation	and Code	es for		bles ULTS	with Descriptive D	ata
	63.	SAT 1 Answer yes i w/vacation	f satisfied (y=1)			No	[21] [32] sing		
	64.	SDISS 1 Answer yes i w/vacation		dissatis	fied	No	[5] [48] sing		
	65.	DISS 1 Answer yes i w/vacation		ied		No	[1] [52] ssing		
	66.	TRAIL 1 Answer yes i	f interview	ee wants	trails		[56]		
	67.	SURF 1 Answer yes i windsurfin	f interview ng and/or sa			No	[56]		
	68.	NATTRL 1 Answer yes i interpreti (trail wit	f interview ve nature t h signs abo	rail			[56]		
	69.	SNWTRL 1 Answer yes i snowmobile	f interview and/or cro				[56]		
	70.	MORE 1 Answer '1' i Answer '2' i Answer '3' i are wanted [Refers only	if better tr if more and i	ails are	wanted		[56]	·	
	71.	RESTS 1 Answer yes i better res	if interview staurants av				[2] o	one response specifi "more stores"	ed
	72.	1 Answer yes i	if interview urist servic	wee wants			[1] - [55]	 specified an infor center on current conditions 	

C.		able Name, Information and Codes for tinued).	Variables with Descriptive Data RESULTS
	73.	ENTMT 1 Answer yes if interviewee wants better entertainment available	No [56]
	74.	NOTHNG 1 Answer yes if interviewee requires nothing additional	Yes [21] No [35]
	75.	Answer yes if interviewee indicates needs	Yes [32] No [24] Comments "better fishing" (12 responses) "public boat slips for people not at resorts but on the water" "topless bar" "new lodging, new cabins" "hard for kids to catch fish" "decrease out-of-state fishing licenses" "more bass" "increase numbers caught" "get rid of nets: 'nets all over out there'" "stock more salmon, beautiful place to fish but no fish" "more herring" "rental jet ski, 3-wheelers" "waterslide, fun world type stuff" "more bass/perch" "better parking for people on island" "stock more salmon"
	76.	RETURN 1 Does interviewee plan to return next year 1. Yes 2. No	Yes [41] No [8] Missing [7]
	77.	INCOME 1 Total combined income of whole family	(see page 20)
	78.	QUEST# Questionnaire number	139 observations

Codes for Household Income (V77)

Category	Code	Income
A [0]	1	\$ 0 - 5,000
B [4]	2	5,001 - 10,000
C [6]	3	10,001 - 15,000
D [10]	4	15,001 - 20,000
E [11]	5	20,001 - 25,000
F [36]	6	25,001 - 35,000
G [35]	7	35,001 - 50,000
H [16]	8	50,001 - 75,000
I [6]	9	75,001 +
Missina [15]		

Codes for States (V6)

1.	Mi	chi	gan
----	----	-----	-----

- 2. Alabama
- 3. Alaska
- 4. Arizona
- 5. Arkansas
- 6. California
- 7. Colorado
- 8. Connecticut
- 9. Delaware
- 10. District of Columbia
- 11. Florida
- 12. Georgia
- 13. Hawaii
- 14. Idaho
- 15. Illinois
- 16. Indiana
- 17. Iowa
- 18. Kansas
- 19. Kentucky
- 20. Louisiana
- 21. Maine
- 22. Maryland
- 23. Massachusetts
- 24. Minnesota
- 25. Mississippi
- 26. Missouri

- 27. Montana
- 28. Nebraska
- 29. Nevada
- 30. New Hampshire
- 31. New Jersey
- 32. New Mexico
- 33. New York
- 34. North Carolina
- 35. North Dakota
- 36. Ohio
- 37. Oklahoma
- 38. Oregon
- 39. Pennsylvania
- 40. Rhode Island
- 41. South Carolina
- 42. South Dakota
- 43. Tennessee
- 44. Texas
- 45. Utah
- 46. Vermont
- 47. Virginia
- 48. Washington
- 49. West Virginia
- 50. Wisconsin
- 51. Wyoming

APPENDIX 5

Economic questionnaire #1 (version 1.4, winter)

LES CHENEAUX FISHING SURVEY: ECONOMICS 1.4

Date	(Mo/day/yt) Site	(Site)
Time	Interviewer ID. No.	
g . g Siggrams		
Hello! My name is	and I am with the The Univers	ity of Michigan. We are doing a (ID)
	nation about how users of the Les Cher	
_	value would change if the quality of the	
	e will be kept strictly confidential./If	
summary of the results	of the survey. (, Did	you fish at Les Ch last year? we interviewed you before?
Results requested: Yes	:_ Na:_	
Mailing address:	, w	
Number/Street/RFD:	Apt. 1	No
City:	State: Zip:	•
L BASIC INFORMAT	ION	
	ive? (Do not repeat if provided mailing	g address).
City:		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
State:	Zip:	
		<u> </u>
2. Is this zip code	for:	•
Your resi	dence? (Want residence zip code)	•
P. O. Bo		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Unknown	1 ?	
2 = Staying 3 = Staying	u staying during your present toper, public launch-user, not sat resort or campground in own cottage, summer house, cated in Les Ch., using public	or year-round house
3. Have we interv	iewed you before? Yes: No:	
		_
	[IF YES: STOP INTERVIEV	v]
4. Did you fish in	he Les Cheneaux area last year? Yes:_	_ No:
[IF YES, GO TO Q.	5]	
IF NO:		•

1	II. TR	AVEL COST: FOR LAST YEARS TRIPS	
Jan 100		I'd like to talk to you about the trips you made to Les Cheneaux last year.	
}	_		
	ā.	Did you make more than five fishing trips to Les Cheneaux islands last year?	11
}		Yes: No:	
}		[TT NO. CO TO CO.]	
		[IF NO: GO TO Q24]	
	FOR B	RESPONDENTS WITH MORE THAN FIVE . TRIPS LAST YEAR	
,		It is very important that we get an accurate count of the number of trips. To make it	
		easier to recall, I would like to separate the two seasons: ice fishing and open water	
		fishing.	
	ICE	E FISHING:	
	٠	Let's talk first about ice fishing: I am referring to the previous ice fishing season (i.e.,	
		December 1984 to April 1985). Did you ice fish then?	12
		[IF NO: SKIP TO Q13]	
Africa		[IF YES: CONTINUE AND SHOW CALENDAR CARD]	
		IP IES CONTINUE MAD SHOW CALEMDAR CARDA	•
	6.	In what week did you ice fish for the first time?	
		Date: (Mo/day/yr)	13
	7.	What was the last week you ice fished?	
٠,		Date: (Mo/day/yr).	-14
-			
	8.	Did you go:	
• •	•	a) according to a regular pattern: (such as, every Saturday; two times per week (every Saturday, Sunday); every weekday; or once a month?	
:		(Go to Q9).	15
•	•	b) every now and again, but no regularity to it(Skip to Q12).	
ē	_ 0		
	٠, ۲	If Regularly: Approximately how many times per week? or per month?	
		(WEEKL	Y BASIS)
į.		IF ANSWER ON MONTHLY BASIS, DIVIDE BY 4.3	
*		HOW WAS ANSWER GIVEN?	17
	٠	WEEK = 17 MONTH = 2	

•	₁ - 10.	Were certain weeks exceptions to that pattern?	
) ·	How about:	•
e per ex		Thanksgiving Week: Same Less More	
ł		Christmas Week: Same_Less_ More_	
}		New Years Week: Same Less More	
		Other weeks: Same_ Less_ More_	
	11.	So that adds up to abouttrips. Does that seem about right? Too many? Too few?	
		Adjusted number of trips:(Skip over Q12 and Q13).	18
	F 12.	If Not Regulariy: Can you identify week by week approximately how many times you -	19
	.	visited the site?	
)		[SHOW RESPONDENT WEEKLY VISITS CARD]	
1			
1			
	<u>_</u> 13.	So that adds up to about trips. Does that seem about right? Too many? Too	20
		few? Adjusted number of trips:	21
16	OPE	IN-WATER FISHING:	
4.	1+,	Now lets talk about open water fishing last year. Did you fish here then?	22
ı			
		[IF NO: SKIP TO Q23]	
		[IF YES: CONTINUE AND SHOW CALENDAR CARD]	
	•		
	15.	In what week did it start for you?	-
,		Date:(Mo/day/yt).	23
•	. 16	What was the last week you did open-water fishing?	
	10.	Date: (Mo/day/yr).	
		Carry (1910) Carry (1910)	24
	17	Did you go:	
	- 17.		
		a) according to a regular pattern: for example, every Saturday, twice a week, or once	
	٠.	a month(Go to Q18).	25
	٠	b) every now and again, but no regularity to it(Skip to Q21).	
£	T 18.	If Regularly: Approximately how many times per week? or per month?	
	[.		26
I	r-	IF ANSWER IN MONTHLY BASIS, FGR #26, DIVIDE BY 4.3	weekly
1	•	HOW WAS ANSWER GIVEN? WEEK = 1	27
		MONTH = 2	

	19.	Were certain weeks exceptions to that pattern?	
		How about:	
,		Memorial Day Week: Same Less More	
		July 4th Week: Same Less More	
		Other Weeks: (specify)SameLessMore	
	20.	So that adds up to Does that seem about right? Too many? Too few?	28
		Adjusted number of trips (Skip to Q23).	29
	21.	If Not Regularly: Can you recall week by week approximately how many times you visited the site? [Refer to weekly card]	
	22.	So that adds up toone-day trips? Does that seem about right? Adjusted number of trips	30
,	23.	The combination of open-water and ice-fishing trips then is[Clerk add]. Too	32
		many? Too few? Adjusted total trips:	
}			33
		[GO TO Q25] ADDITION PROBLEMS:	34
	FOR R	ESPONDENTS WITH FIVE OR FEWER TRIPS LAST YEAR	
	•		
	24.	How many trips did you make to site last year?	35
		How many ice fishing trips?	36
,		How many open-water fishing trips?	 37
) <i>'</i>	ATT 101	ESPONDENTS:	3/
	Alda Ki	ESFORDEN IS:	_
.			
	26.	How many hours per day did you usually fish during summer? winter?	38
	27:	Were all your trips day trips?or were some overnight?	39
		[IF ALL WERE DAY TRIPS:	40
٠.		the man wear Dai Irips:	
	.		
-	25.	What was the primary purpose of visiting Les Cheneaux?	
		(fishing) Yes: No: ENTER CODE	41
		Other (specify): OF PRIMARY [SEE CODES IN Q31] PURPOSE	

[DAYTE199-25 : SKIP TO Q32]

	5		
OVERNIGHT RESPONDENTS			42
·		·	43
28. How many days were you	t in the area for each trin? I	. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Q28 44
23. How many days were you	the district for each dip. 1	' <u></u>	46
29. On how many of these da	rve did von fich? 1	3. A. 5.	í <u> </u>
29. On now many of these of	tys did you tish: 1, 2,	J, " , J	48
			Q29 : 49 50
31. What was the primary pu	rmose of each trin? (label a	e 1 in appropriate colu	
	el as 2), and tertiary purpose		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
First trip	Second trip Third tri	p Fourth trip	Fifth trip
Fishing		•	PUR- TRIPS POSES 1 2 3 4 5
Hiking		: 	PRIM
Boating			52 53 54 55 56 SEC.
Camping		•	57 58 59 60 61 TERT.
Touring		-	62 63 64 65 66
Hunting	والمراجات والمناها	· —	
Other (Specify)	•	•	
			
ALL RESPONDENTS: 32. For your trips last year, l	now did you travel to the are	ea from home? (use p	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	pon which is easier). com	ER: CALCULATE # Sumi	s <u>WINTER</u> 67
32. For your trips last year, lor numbers, depending u	pon which is easier). com	ER: CALCULATE #	S WINTER 67 C 68 (#)Trips B(R) 69 B(O) 70
32. For your trips last year, I or numbers, depending un Snowned-le Car	pon which is easier). com	ER: CALCULATE # Sumi	s <u>WINTER</u> 67
32. For your trips last year, lor numbers, depending under the Stroument of Car Boat (rent)	pon which is easier). com	ER: CALCULATE # Sumi	S WINTER S 67 G 68 (#)Trips(B(R)) 69 W 71 O 72 SUMMER
32. For your trips last year, lor numbers, depending under the Car Boat (rent) Boat (own)	pon which is easier). com	ER: CALCULATE # Sumi	S WINTER S 67 G 68 (#)Trips(B(R)) 69 W 71 O 72 SUMMER 73
32. For your trips last year, I or numbers, depending understood of the second of the	pon which is easier). com	ER: CALCULATE # Sumi	S WINTER S 67 C 68 (#)Trips(B(R)) 69 B(O) 70 W 71 O 72 SUMMER
32. For your trips last year, lor numbers, depending under the Car Boat (rent) Boat (own)	pon which is easier). con — Winter (%)Trips	ER: CALCULATE # Sum (#)Trips) (%)Trips	WINTER 67 68 69 69 69 69 69 69 69
32. For your trips last year, I or numbers, depending us Shown in the Car Boat (rent) Boat (own) Walk	pon which is easier). con — Winter (%)Trips — [IF WALE: SKIP TO Q3	ER: CALCULATE # Sum (#)Trips (%)Trips	WINTER 67 68 68 69 69 69 69 69 69
32. For your trips last year, I or numbers, depending us Situmentalle Car Boat (rent) Boat (own) Walk Other (specify)	pon which is easier). con Winter (%)Trips (%)Trips (%)Trips	ER: CALCULATE # Sum (#)Trips) (%)Trips	WINTER 67 68 69 69 69 69 69 69 69
32. For your trips last year, I or numbers, depending us Summers. Le Car Boat (rent) Boat (own) Walk Other (specify)	pon which is easier). con Winter (%)Trips (%)Trips (%)Trips	ER: CALCULATE # Sum (#)Trips) (%)Trips	WINTER 67 68 69 69 69 69 69 69 69
Steward le Car Boat (rent) Boat (own) Walk Other (specify) 33. Did you come in a group	[IF "WALK": SKIP TO Q3 Or Snowmu ? Yes: No: (If no. ski	ER: CALCULATE # Sum (#)Trips) (%)Trips	WINTER 67 68 68 69 69 69 69 69 69
32. For your trips last year, I or numbers, depending us Situmentalle Car Boat (rent) Boat (own) Walk Other (specify)	[IF "WALK": SKIP TO Q3 Or Snowmu ? Yes: No: (If no. ski	ER: CALCULATE # Sum (#)Trips) (%)Trips	WINTER 67 68 68 69 69 69 69 69 69
Stownson-le Car Boat (rent) Boat (own) Walk Other (specify) 33. Did you come in a group	pon which is easier). cop — Winter (%) Trips (%) Tri	ER: CALCULATE # Sum (#)Trips) (%)Trips	WINTER 67 68 69 69 69 69 69 69 69
Strement of numbers, depending understand understand of numbers, depending understand un	pon which is easier). cop — Winter (%) Trips (%) Tri	ER: CALCULATE # Sum (#)Trips) (%)Trips	WINTER 67 68 69 69 69 69 69 69 69
Stownson-le Car Boat (rent) Boat (own) Walk Other (specify) 33. Did you come in a group	pon which is easier). cop — Winter (%) Trips (%) Tri	ER: CALCULATE # Sum (#)Trips) (%)Trips	WINTER 67 68 69 69 69 69 69 69 69
Stownson-le Car Boat (rent) Boat (own) Walk Other (specify) 33. Did you come in a group	pon which is easier). cop — Winter (%) Trips (%) Tri	ER: CALCULATE # Sum (#)Trips) (%)Trips	WINTER 67 68 69 69 69 69 69 69 69
Statement Car Boat (rent) Boat (own) Walk Other (specify) 33. Did you come in a group	pon which is easier). cop — Winter (%) Trips (%) Tri	ER: CALCULATE # Sum (#)Trips) (%)Trips	WINTER 67 68 69 69 69 69 69 69 69

City				
Stati		Zip:		
				· value
8. Is th	uis zi p code:			
, .	For your residence?			
,	P. O. Box?			•
	Unknown?	٠		•
g Hau	many miles was trin for	om home to the Les Cheneau	T fiching site ane-um	ಆ? _
	. many mines was fiffiff		as serime arm cite. Ag	7. \
	·		- //E	1-4
. A.	directly to area)	it take you to get he ni		
. [•	RS, ASK B; MORE THAN 2		D C]
•		•	•	(MINUTES
:	NT VALUATION at fish do you targe	et in your trips? [DO	NOT READ CATEGO	RIES]
l. Wha	t fish do you targe	et in your trips? [DO ur time on average do		
l. Wha	t fish do you targe t percentage of you Perch;	ur time on average do	you target for e Herring;	
l. Wha	Perch; Other (FILE	Trout, Salmon; L BLANKS WITH PERCENTA	you target for e Herring; GES.]	ach type fish? Smelt;
1. Wha Wha	Perch; Other [FILI	ur time on average do	you target for e Herring; GES.] ou value in a pe	ach type fish? Smelt; rch fishery?
1. Wha Wha	Perch; Other [FILI	ur time on average do Trout, Salmon; L BLANKS WITH PERCENTA	you target for e Herring; GES.] ou value in a pe	ach type fish? Smelt; rch fishery?
1. Wha Wha	Perch; Other [FILI	ur time on average do Trout, Salmon; L BLANKS WITH PERCENTA	you target for e Herring; GES.] ou value in a pe	ach type fish? Smelt; rch fishery? COMMENTS] P T/S
1. Wha Wha	Perch; Other [FILI	ur time on average do Trout, Salmon; L BLANKS WITH PERCENTA	you target for e Herring; GES.] ou value in a pe	ach type fish? Smelt; rch fishery? COMMENTS] P T/S H
1. Wha Wha	Perch; Other [FILL] are interested in MIDED: DO NOT PROMPT	ur time on average do Trout, Salmon; L BLANKS WITH PERCENTA knowing what factors y WITH CATEGORIES INITI	you target for e Herring; GES.] ou value in a pe ALLY; WRITE DOWN	ach type fish? Smelt; rch fishery? COMMENTS] P T/S
1. Wha Wha	Perch; Other [FILI are interested in l DED: DO NOT PROMPT Number of fish Size of fish [Wi	Trout, Salmon; L BLANKS WITH PERCENTA knowing what factors y WITH CATEGORIES INITI [WHAT'S ACCEPTABLE? HAT'S PREFERRED? WHAT	you target for e Herring; GES.] ou value in a pe ALLY; WRITE DOWN per hr]	ach type fish? Smelt; rch fishery? COMMENTS] P T/S H
1. Wha Wha	Perch; Other (FILI are interested in l DED: DO NOT PROMPT Number of fish Size of fish [Will Familiarity of se	Trout, Salmon; L BLANKS WITH PERCENTA knowing what factors y WITH CATEGORIES INITI [WHAT'S ACCEPTABLE? HAT'S PREFERRED? WHAT	you target for e Herring; GES.] ou value in a pe ALLY; WRITE DOWN per hr]	ach type fish? Smelt; rch fishery? COMMENTS] P T/S H
1. Wha Wha	Number of fish Size of fish [W] Number of the [W]	Trout, Salmon; L BLANKS WITH PERCENTA knowing what factors y WITH CATEGORIES INITI [WHAT'S ACCEPTABLE? HAT'S PREFERRED? WHAT	you target for e Herring; GES.] ou value in a pe ALLY; WRITE DOWN per hr] 'S ACCEPTABLE?]	ach type fish? Smelt; rch fishery? COMMENTS] P T/S H

36. Did you live in the same place last year as you do now? Yes:__ No:__.

We are interested in knowing how changes in the number and size of your perch catch would affect how much you value the perch fishing at Les Cheneaux. 44. / Downside Case: [Card 4] This comparison case is a hypothetical one. In this case, the catch declines by 50%, as presented on the card. [ASK RESPONDENT TO LOOK AT CATCH CARD] A. Which fishing opportunity would you prefer? Les Ch. Other Why? If this change occurred in your expected perch catch at Les Chemeaux last year, would you have taken a different number of trips? [FOR OVERNIGHTS: Or would you have changed the length of your stay?] B. more trips? ___; fewer trips? ___; same number trips? no opinion? If more or less: how many trips would you have taken? longer trips? ___; shorter trips? ___; same length trips? ___; no opinion? ___ If longer or shorter: how many days would you have stayed? 229 number of one-day trips? number of _____day trips? NO. ____ 230 number of _-day trips? DAY 231 No. _ _ 232 D. Why did you [CHANGE, NOT CHANGE] the number of trips? DAY 233 If in 44A, said "prefer other": Now think of the improved site as another fishery, with all features except number and size of perch catch -- exactly the same as at Les Cheneaux. E. If your only two choices were Les Cheneaux in its current state or this alternative site represented on the card, would you be willing to travel further to this alternative site to make the [NUMBER IN B] trips? Yes No [ONLY IF YES, GO TO F] 234 F. So you would take (number in B) trips to the improved site. How much further would you be willing to travel to improved site if your only other alternative were to take the same number of trips to Les Cheneaux? In other words, what (greater) distance (to other site) would leave you just indifferent between the two alternatives: [NUMBER IN B] trips to improved site and [NUMBER IN B] trips to Les Cheneaux? min/hrs. or miles MINUTES ____ 235 If in 44A, said "prefer Les Cheneaux": MILES

E. If your only alternative to the current site is the other (worse) site represented on the card, would you be willing to travel farther to Les Cheaneaux than you currently do, for the (number in 023 or 024) number of trips you took last year? Yes No [ONLY IF YES, GO TO F] F. So you take [NUMBER IN Q23 OR Q24] trips to Les Cheaneaux. How much further would you be willing to travel to Les Cheneaux if your only other alternative were to take the same number of trips to the other site? In other words, what (greater) distance (to Les Cheneaux) would leave you just indifferent between the two alterna MINUTES min/hrs. or miles MILES ______

	rictly confidential.				
	Sex: Male Fem				14
54.	In what ethnic group wou	ıld you classify	yourself? (Int	erviewer determ	ines)
	White	•			14
	Black				
:	Hispanic				
,	American Indian	-		.6	
	Asian				
	Age: Employment status last y	raami (Miltinla	ofatuese and no	ecthla)	14
	Ice-fishing season	Summer fishing	-		
•	Employed	Employed	3643011		ICE SUMM
)	Retired	Retired			
	Student	Student			147 148
•	Unemployed	Unemploye	ed		149 150
If em	ployed:				151 152
		Job 1	Job 2	Job 3	153 154
57.	Self-employed? (y/n)				100 10-
	Occupation (as specific		-	,	155 156 15
1 .	as possible)				(
	Industry				
	How many wks/yr employed (or engaged		4		[
	<pre>in self-employment activity)?</pre>				16
	If less than full-year				(16
	indicate period of		•		16
	employment		•		(
_	When employed, how many hrs/wk?		WINTER=1	SUMMER=2	167 168 16
		· · · · · ·			$\left(\frac{17}{17} \right)^{17}$
					$\lfloor \frac{17}{2} \rfloor$
	•				

	11			
	-y-		•	
60	During the time you fished last year, what would you have been dweren't fishing? If multiple activities are indicated, rank relative likelihood, with 1 = highest priority.	them by		
	working for day! II yes:	RIORITY ODE	WHICE	JOB 2ND
	Working regular time?(which job:)		LIKELY	
	Working overtime?(which job:)	173	174	175
	Self-employment? (which job:)	176	177	178
	Visiting another fishing site? Specify:	179	180	181
	Doing some other recreation? Specify:	182	183 (ITE)
	Relaxing at home	184	(REC.)	185
	Other (SEE Q31 FOR CODE FOR 185)	186		
IN	DIVIDUAL HOURLY OPPORTUNITY COSTS:	187		
★₩ /	AGE RATE: Here is a list of wage categories.			
	[HAND RESPONDENT HOURLY WAGE CARD]			
61	. Would you call off the code of the category that best defines the you earned per hour when working regular-time in your jobs last	vear?		
61	. Would you call off the code of the category that best defines th	year? OFF C)B 3
61	. Would you call off the code of the category that best defines th you earned per hour when working regular-time in your jobs last when last working? [IF WORK ON A SALARY BASIS, ASK THEM TO READ FROM INCOME SIDE OF CARD.] [CONTINUE IF: OVERTIME MENTIONED IN Q60; GO TO Q62 IF SELF-EMPLOYMENT MENTIONED; OTHERWISE GO TO Q64]	year?) OFF C	1 2	3
Re	. Would you call off the code of the category that best defines the you earned per hour when working regular-time in your jobs last when last working? [IF WORK ON A SALARY BASIS, ASK THEM TO READ FROM INCOME SIDE OF CARD.] [CONTINUE IF: OVERTIME MENTIONED IN Q60; GO TO Q62 IF SELF-EMPLOYMENT MENTIONED; OTHERWISE GO TO Q64] Would you call off the code for overtime in your jobs last year? Job 1 Job 2 Job 3 gular time wage	year?) OFF C		3
Re; Sa	. Would you call off the code of the category that best defines the you earned per hour when working regular-time in your jobs last when last working? [IF WORK ON A SALARY BASIS, ASK THEM TO READ FROM INCOME SIDE OF CARD.] [CONTINUE IF: OVERTIME MENTIONED IN Q60; GO TO Q62 IF SELF-EMPLOYMENT MENTIONED; OTHERWISE GO TO Q64] Would you call off the code for overtime in your jobs last year? Job 1 Job 2 Job 3	year? OFF C	1 2	<u>3</u> 190
Re; Sa Ye:	. Would you call off the code of the category that best defines the you earned per hour when working regular-time in your jobs last when last working? [IF WORK ON A SALARY BASIS, ASK THEM TO READ FROM INCOME SIDE OF CARD.] [CONTINUE IF: OVERTIME MENTIONED IN Q60; GO TO Q62 IF SELF-EMPLOYMENT MENTIONED; OTHERWISE GO TO Q64] Would you call off the code for overtime in your jobs last year?	year? OFF C	1 2 188 189	3 190 193
Re; Sa Ye.	. Would you call off the code of the category that best defines the you earned per hour when working regular-time in your jobs last when last working? [IF WORK ON A SALARY BASIS, ASK THEM TO READ FROM INCOME SIDE OF CARD.] [CONTINUE IF: OVERTIME MENTIONED IN Q60; GO TO Q62 IF SELF-EMPLOYMENT MENTIONED; OTHERWISE GO TO Q64] Would you call off the code for overtime in your jobs last year? Job 1 Job 2 Job 3 gular time wage liary ar of last work	year? OFF C	$\frac{1}{188} \frac{2}{188}$	3 190 193 196
Re; Sa Ye: Ov:	. Would you call off the code of the category that best defines the you earned per hour when working regular-time in your jobs last when last working? [IF WORK ON A SALARY BASIS, ASK THEM TO READ FROM INCOME SIDE OF CARD.] [CONTINUE IF: OVERTIME MENTIONED IN Q60; GO TO Q62 IF SELF-EMPLOYMENT MENTIONED; OTHERWISE GO TO Q64] Would you call off the code for overtime in your jobs last year? Job 1 Job 2 Job 3 gular time wage lary ar of last work ertime wage	year? OFF C	$ \frac{1}{188} \frac{2}{188} $ $ \frac{1}{191} \frac{1}{193} $ $ \frac{1}{195} $	3 190 193 196
Re; Sa Ye: Ov:	. Would you call off the code of the category that best defines the you earned per hour when working regular-time in your jobs last when last working? [IF WORK ON A SALARY BASIS, ASK THEM TO READ FROM INCOME SIDE OF CARD.] [CONTINUE IF: OVERTIME MENTIONED IN Q60; GO TO Q62 IF SELF-EMPLOYMENT MENTIONED; OTHERWISE GO TO Q64] Would you call off the code for overtime in your jobs last year? Job 1 Job 2 Job 3 gular time wage liary ar of last work ertime wage ELF-EMPLOYMENT INCOME: ERE IS A LIST OF INCOME CATEGORIES [TURN WAGE CARD OVER] What is net annual Job 1 Job 2 income from self-	year? OFF C	$ \frac{1}{188} \frac{2}{188} $ $ \frac{1}{191} \frac{1}{193} $ $ \frac{1}{195} $	3 190 193 196
Re; Sa Ye: Ov: *Si	. Would you call off the code of the category that best defines the you earned per hour when working regular-time in your jobs last when last working? [IF WORK ON A SALARY BASIS, ASK THEM TO READ FROM INCOME SIDE OF CARD.] [CONTINUE IF: OVERTIME MENTIONED IN Q60; GO TO Q62 IF SELF-EMPLOYMENT MENTIONED; OTHERWISE GO TO Q64] Would you call off the code for overtime in your jobs last year?	year? OFF C	$ \begin{array}{c c} $	3 190 193 196
Re; Sa Ye: Ov: *S:	. Would you call off the code of the category that best defines the you earned per hour when working regular-time in your jobs last when last working? [IF WORK ON A SALARY BASIS, ASK THEM TO READ FROM INCOME SIDE OF CARD.] [CONTINUE IF: OVERTIME MENTIONED IN Q60; GO TO Q62 IF SELF-EMPLOYMENT MENTIONED; OTHERWISE GO TO Q64] Would you call off the code for overtime in your jobs last year? Job l Job 2 Job 3 gular time wage llary ar of last work ertime wage ELF-EMPLOYMENT INCOME: ERE IS A LIST OF INCOME CATEGORIES [TURN WAGE CARD OVER] . What is net annual Job 1 Job 2 income from self- employment only?	year? OFF C	$ \begin{array}{c c} $	3 190 193 196 3 199
Rea Sa Ye: Ov:	. Would you call off the code of the category that best defines the you earned per hour when working regular-time in your jobs last when last working? [IF WORK ON A SALARY BASIS, ASK THEM TO READ FROM INCOME SIDE OF CARD.] [CONTINUE IF: OVERTIME MENTIONED IN Q60; GO TO Q62 IF SELF-EMPLOYMENT MENTIONED; OTHERWISE GO TO Q64] Would you call off the code for overtime in your jobs last year? Job l Job 2 Job 3 gular time wage llary ar of last work ertime wage ELF-EMPLOYMENT INCOME: ERE IS A LIST OF INCOME CATEGORIES [TURN WAGE CARD OVER] . What is net annual Job 1 Job 2 income from self- employment only?	year? OFF C	$ \begin{array}{c c} $	3 190 193 196 3 199
Rea Sa Ye: Ov:	. Would you call off the code of the category that best defines the you earned per hour when working regular-time in your jobs last when last working? [IF WORK ON A SALARY BASIS, ASK THEM TO READ FROM INCOME SIDE OF CARD.] [CONTINUE IF: OVERTIME MENTIONED IN Q60; GO TO Q62 IF SELF-EMPLOYMENT MENTIONED; OTHERWISE GO TO Q64] Would you call off the code for overtime in your jobs last year? Job l Job 2 Job 3 gular time wage llary ar of last work ertime wage ELF-EMPLOYMENT INCOME: ERE IS A LIST OF INCOME CATEGORIES [TURN WAGE CARD OVER] . What is net annual Job 1 Job 2 income from self- employment only?	year? OFF C	$ \begin{array}{c c} $	3 190 193 196 3 199

				1 2
63.	Does that represent work effort by others in family? How many others? #	4		204 205
	ramily: now many others: r			206 207
~ <u></u>	For each family worker: Identify relationship: #1	#1	208	209
•	wks/yr worked: hrs/wk worked:		210	211
<u>}</u>	Identify relationship: #2	-#2	21	2 213
	wks/yr worked: hrs/wk worked:		214	215
	Identify relationship: #3	#3	216	217
	wks/yr worked: hrs/wk worked:		21	8 219
Hous	EHOLD INCOME:		220	221
64.	Here is a list of househol	d income categories [TURN WAGE CARD OVER]	222	223
	Would you call off the cod income that you and all ot Please be sure to include	e number that best describes the total con her members of your family received during wages and salaries, and net income from dends, interest, and any other sources.	1985.	

[STOP]

224

JOB

APPENDIX 6

Codebook for questionnaire #1

CODEBOOK FOR

QUESTIONNAIRE 1

LES CHENEAUX STUDY

November 1986

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Α.	Format and abbreviations	1
В.	Numbers of the questions and numbers of the corresponding variables	2
С.	List of variables	3
D.	List of variable names (with explanations)	5
Ε.	Names, information, and codes for variables ('codebook entries') with descriptive data	12
F.	Summary	55

A. Format and Abbreviations

- 1. Format for codebook entries
 - X. VARNAME (y = # characters in variable) Question or definition of variable Explanation (if necessary) Code (if necessary)
- 2. Key abbreviations used in variable names

IF = Ice fishing

OW = Open water fishing

TS = Trips

T = Trip

VA = Unadjusted

LC = Les Cheneaux

B. Numbers of the Questions and Numbers of the Corresponding Variables

Question	<u>Variable(s)</u>	Question	<u>Variable(s)</u>
1	5-7	31	52-66
2	8 (32	67 - 77
2a	241	33	78
3	9	34	79
4	10	35	80
5	11	36	81
6	13	37	82-84
7	14	38	85
. 8	15	39	86
9	16-17	40	87
11	18-19	40.1	90-94
13	20-21	40.2	95-98
14	22	41	99-113
15	23	42	114-128
16	24	43	129-143
17	25	53	144
18	26-27	54	145
20	28-29	55	146
22	30-31	56	147-154
23	32-34	57	155-172
24	35-37	60	173-187
26	38-39	61	188-199
27 -	40	62	200-201
25	41	63	202-223
28	42-46	64	224
29	47-51	44	225-239

Notes:

- 1. The questions yielding variables 1-4 and 12 are not numbered.
- 2. Questions 10, 12, 19, and 21 were not coded.
- 3. The questionnaire number = variable 240.
- 4. Variable 88 is not a numbered question: for coder's use only.

C. List of Variables

1.	DATE	34.	ADDPROB	67.	SNOWWIN	100.	CHNGNUM7
2.	SITE	35.	TS5	68.	CARWIN	101.	NUM7
3.	TIME	36.	IFTS5	69.	BOATRWIN	102.	CHNGLEN7
4.	ID	37.	OWTS5	70.	BOATOWIN	103.	DAYTS7
5.	CITY	38.	HRSSUM	71.	WALKWIN	104.	NUM7A
6.	ST	39.	HRSWIN	72.	OTHWIN	105.	LEN7A
7.	ZIP	40.	DAYT	73.	CARSUM	106.	NUM7B
8.	ZC	41.	PUR	74.	BOATRSUM	107.	LEN7B
9.	INT	42.	DAYST1	75.	BOATOSUM	108.	FUR7
10.	LC85	43.	DAYST2	76.	WALKSUM	109.	MIN7
11.	LC5	44.	DAYST3	77.	OTHSUM	110.	MI7
12.	IF	45.	DAYST4	78.	GRP	111.	FARLC7
13.	IFBEG	46.	DAYST5	79.	NUMGRP	112.	MINLC7
14.	IFEND	47.	FISHT1	80.	SPLEXP	113.	MILC7
15.	IFREG	48.	FISHT2	81.	SAME	114.	LCOR8
16.	IFPRWK	49.	FISHT3	82.	CITY85	115.	CHNGNUM8
17.	IFWM	50.	FISHT4	83.	ST85	116.	8MUN
18.	IFTSRUA	51.	FISHT5	84.	ZIP85	117.	CHNGLEN8
19.	IFTSR	52.	P1T1	85.	ZC85	118.	DAYTS8
20.	IFTSNUA	53.	P1T2	86.	MITOLC	119.	A8MUN
21.	IFTSN	54.	P1T3	87.	MINTOLC	120.	LEN8A
22.	OW	55.	P1T4	88.	CNTNGY#	121.	NUM8B
23.	OWBEG	56.	P1T5	89.	BLNK89	122.	LEN8B
24.	OWEND	57.	P2T1	90.	PERCH%	123.	FUR8
25.	OWREG	58.	P2T2	91.	TRSAL%	124.	MIN8
26.	OWPRWK	59.	P2T3	92.	HERR%	125.	MI8
27.	OWWM	60.	P2T4	93.	SMLT%	126.	FARLC8
28.	OWTSRUA	61.	P2T5	94.	OTH%	127.	MINLC8
29.	OWTSR	62.	P3T1	95.	NUMFISH	128.	MILC8
30.	OWTSNUA	63.	P3T2	96.	SZFISH	129.	LCORD
31.	OWTSN	64.	P3T3	97.	FAMSITE	130.	CHNGNUMD
32.	TSUA	65.	P3T4	98.	QUALTOUR	131.	NUMD
33.	TS	66.	P3T5	99.	LCOR7	132.	CHNGLEND

NUMVB
LENVB
FURV
MINV
MIV
FARLCV
MINLCV
MILCV
QUEST#
WHERSTAY

C. List of Variables (continued).

	•					
133.	DAYTSD	166.	WKSYR3	199.	OTWG3	232.
134.	NUMDA	167.	WS1	200.	SEY1	233.
135.	LENDA	168.	WS2	201.	SEY2	234.
136.	NUMDB	169.	WS3	202.	FAM1	235.
137.	LENDB	170.	HRSWK1	203.	FAM2	236.
138.	FURD	171.	HRSWK2	204.	NUMFAM1	237.
139.	MIND	172.	HRSWK3	205.	NUMFAM2	238.
140.	MID	173.	PCRT	206.	ID1J1	239.
141.	FARLCD	174.	RTJ1	207.	ID1J2	240.
142.	MINLCD	175.	RTJ2	208.	WK1J1	241.
143.	MILCD	176.	PCOT	209.	WK1J2	
144.	SEX	177.	OTJ1	210.	HR1J1	
145.	RACE	178.	OTJ2	211.	HR1J2	
146.	AGE	179.	PCSE	212.	ID2J1	
147.	EMPWIN	180.	SEJ1	213.	ID2J2	
148.	EMPSUM	181.	SEJ2	214.	WK2J1	
149.	RETWIN	182.	PCFS	215.	WK2J2	
150.	RETSUM	183.	FSIT	216.	HR2J1	
151.	STUWIN	184.	PCRC	217.	HR2J2	
152.	STUSUM	185.	REC	218.	ID3J1	
153.	UNWIN	186.	PCRX	219.	ID3J2	
154.	UNSUM	187.	PCOR	220.	WK3J1	
155.	SE1	188.	WG1	221.	WK3J2	
156.	_	189.	WG2	222.	HR3J1	
157.		190.	WG3	223.	HR3J2	
158.	OCC1	191.	SAL1	224.	HHY	
159.	OCC2	192.	SAL2	225.	LCORV	
160.	0CC3	193.	SAL3	226.	CHNGNUMV	
161.	IND1	194.	YR1	227.	NUMV	
162.	IND2	195.	YR2	228.	CHNGLENV	
163.	IND3	196.	YR3	229.	DAYTSV	
164.	WKSYR1	197.	OTWG1	230.	NUMVA	
165.	WKSYR2	198.	OTWG2	231.	LENVA	

```
List of Variable Names (With Explanations)
 1. DATE
     SITE
 2.
     TIME
 3.
     ID
 4.
 5.
     CITY
 6.
     ST
     ZIP
 7.
 8.
     ZC
             (what is zip code for?)
 9.
     INT
              (interviewed before?)
10.
     LC85
             (fished at LC in 1985?)
11.
     LC5
              (more than 5 trips in 1985?)
     IF
12.
             (ice fishing in previous season?)
13.
     IFBEG
             (when did season begin for you?)
14.
     IFEND
             (when did season end for you?)
15.
     IFREG
             (regular pattern?)
16.
     IFPRWK
             (times per week?)
17.
     IFWM
              (how answer given: per week or month?)
18.
     IFTSRUA (total if trips by a regular -- unadjusted)
19.
     IFTSR
              (total if trips by a regular -- adjusted)
20.
     IFTSNUA (total if trips by a nonregular -- unadjusted)
21.
     IFTSN
             (total if trips by a nonregular -- adjusted)
22.
     OW
23.
     OWBEG
24.
     OWEND
25.
     OWREG
                           For explanation of variable n,
26.
     OWPRWK
                           see variable n-10 and substitute
                           'OW' for 'IF'. [OW refers to
27.
     OWWM
                           open water fishing.]
28.
     OWTSRUA
29.
     OWTSR
30.
     OWTSNUA
31.
     OWTSN
32.
     TSUA
             (total trips -- unadjusted)
33.
     TS
             (total trips -- adjusted)
34.
     ADDPROB (addition problem)
35.
     TS5
             (total trips -- 5 or fewer in 1985)
36.
```

(IF trips -- 5 or fewer trips totally)

IFTS5

```
List of Variable Names (With Explanations) (continued).
37.
     OWTS5
               (OW trips -- 5 or fewer trips totally)
38.
     HRSSUM
               (hours per day of fishing in summer)
39.
     HRSWIN
               (hours per day of fishing in winter)
40.
     DAYT
               (all trips day trips?)
41.
     PUR
               (primarily purpose of visits -- daytripper)
42.
     DAYST1
               (days in area -- trip 1)
43.
     DAYST2
               (days in area -- trip 2)
44.
     DAYST3
               (days in area -- trip 3)
45.
     DAYST4
               (days in area -- trip 4)
46.
     DAYST5
               (days in area -- trip 5)
47.
     FISHT1
              (how many days did you fish on trip 1?)
48.
     FISHT2
              (how many days did you fish on trip 2?)
49.
     FISHT3
               (how many days did you fish on trip 3?)
50.
     FISHT4
              (how many days did you fish on trip 4?)
51.
     FISHT5
              (how many days did you fish on trip 5?)
52.
     P1T1
               (primary purpose -- trip 1)
53.
     P1T2
              (primary purpose -- trip 2)
54.
     P1T3
              (primary purpose -- trip 3)
55.
     P1T4
              (primary purpose -- trip 4)
56.
     P1T5
              (primary purpose -- trip 5)
57.
     P2T1
              (secondary purpose -- trip 1)
58.
     P2T2
              (secondary purpose -- trip 2)
59.
     P2T3
              (secondary purpose -- trip 3)
60.
     P2T4
              (secondary purpose -- trip 4)
61.
              (secondary purpose -- trip 5)
     P2T5
62.
     P3T1
              (tertiary purpose -- trip 1)
63.
     P3T2
              (tertiary purpose -- trip 2)
64.
     P3T3
              (tertiary purpose -- trip 3)
65.
     P3T4
              (tertiary purpose -- trip 4)
66.
     P3T5
              (tertiary purpose -- trip 5)
67.
     SNOWWIN
              (number of trips to area by snowmobile last winter)
68.
     CARWIN
              (by car)
69.
     BOATRWIN (by rented boat)
70.
     BOATOWIN (by own boat)
```

71.

WALKWIN (by walking)

```
D. List of Variable Names (With Explanations) (continued).
    72:
         OTHWIN
                   (by other mode)
     73.
          CARSUM
                   (number of trips to area by car last summer)
          BOATRSUM (by rented boat)
    74.
          BOATOSUM (by own boat)
    75.
    76.
          WALKSUM (by walking)
    77.
          OTHSUM
                   (by other mode)
    78.
          GRP
                   (come in group?)
    79.
          NUMGRP
                   (how many in group?)
    80.
          SPLEXP
                   (split expenses?)
    81.
          SAME
                   (same residence last year?)
     82.
          CITY85
    83.
          ST85
     84.
          ZIP85
                   (what is 1985 Zip Code for?)
    85.
          ZC85
                   (miles to Les Cheneaux from home)
    86.
          MITOLC
         MINTOLC
                   (minutes to Les Cheneaux from home)
    87.
                  (which contingeny lies)
    88.
          CNTNGY#
     90.
          PERCH%
                   (% of time targeted for perch)
     91.
          TRSAL%
                   (for trout and salmon)
     92.
          HERR%
                   (for herring)
    93.
          SMLT%
     94.
          OTH%
                   (for other fish)
     95.
          NUMFISH (number of fish)
     96.
          SZFISH
                   (size of fish)
                                                       Do you value this aspect
     97.
          FAMSITE (familiarity of site)
                                                      of a perch fishery?
     98.
          QUALTOUR (quality of tourist services)
     99.
          LCOR7
                   (prefer Les Cheneaux to 7" MSL?)
    100.
          CHNGNUM7 (change no. of trips, with 7" MSL)
    101.
          NUM7
                   (no. of trips, with 7" MSL)
    102.
          CHNGLEN7 (change length of trips, with 7" MSL)
    103.
          DAYTS7
                   (no. of day trips, with 7" MSL)
    104.
          NUM7A
    105.
          LEN7A
                    number and length of trips that would
                    have been made with 7" MSL
    106.
          NUM7B
```

107.

LEN7B

```
D. List of Variable Names (With Explanations) (continued).
          FUR7
    108.
                    (willing to travel further to other site?)
    109.
          MIN7
                    how much further? (in minutes -- V109,
                    or in miles -- V110)
    110.
          MI7
          FARLC7
                    (willing to go further to get to Les Cheneaux?)
    111.
    112.
                    how much further? (in minutes -- V112,
          MINLC7
                    or in miles -- V113)
    113.
          MILC7
    114.
          LCOR8
    115.
          CHNGNUM8
    116.
          8MUN
    117.
          CHNGLEN8
    118.
          DAYTS8
    119.
          NUM8A
    120.
          LEN8A
                                    For explanation of variable n,
                                    see variable n-15, and substitute
    121.
          NUM8B
                                    '8' for '7' (8" minimum size
    122.
          LEN8B
                                    limit contingency case.)
          FUR8
    123.
    124. MIN8
    125.
          MI8
    126.
          FARLC8
    127.
          MINLC8
    128.
          MILC8
    129.
          LCORD
    130.
          CHNGNUMD
    131.
          NUMD
    132.
          CHNGLEND
    133.
          DAYTSD
    134.
          NUMDA
    135.
          LENDA
                                    For explanation of variable n,
                                    see variable n-30 and substitute
    136.
          NUMDB
                                    'D' for '7' (and 'DOWNSIDE CASE' for '7" MSL').
    137.
          LENDB
    138.
          FURD
    139.
          MIND
    140.
          MID
    141.
          FARLCD
    142.
          MINLCD
```

143.

MILCD

```
List of Variable Names (With Explanations) (continued).
144.
      SEX
145.
      RACE
146.
      AGE
147.
      EMPWIN
                (employed last winter?)
148.
      EMPSUM
                (employed last summer?)
149.
      RETWIN
                (retired last winter?)
150.
      RETSUM
                (retired last summer?)
      STUWIN
151.
                (student last winter?)
152.
      STUSUM
                (student last summer?)
153.
      UNWIN
                (unemployed last winter?)
                (unemployed last summer?)
154.
      UNSUM
155.
      SE1
                (self-employed at job 1)
156.
      SE2
                (self-employed at job 2)
      SE3
157.
                (self-employed at job 3)
158.
      OCC1
                (occupation -- job 1)
159.
      OCC2
                (occupation -- job 2)
                (occupation -- job 3)
160.
      0003
161.
      IND1
                (industry -- job 1)
162.
      IND2
                (industry -- job 2)
163.
      IND3
                (industry -- job 3)
164.
      WKSYR1
                (weeks per year -- job 1)
165.
      WKSYR2
                (weeks per year -- job 2)
166.
                (weeks per year -- job 3)
      WKSYR3
167.
      WS1
                (winter or summer job -- job 1?)
168.
      WS2
                (winter or summer job -- job 2?)
169.
      WS3
                (winter or summer job -- job 3?)
170.
      HRSWK1
                (hours per week -- job 1)
171.
      HRSWK2
                (hours per week -- job 2)
172.
      HRSWK3
                (hours per week -- job 3)
173.
      PCRT
                (priority code -- regular time)
174.
      RTJ1
                 most likely/2nd most likely job at which
                 you might have worked regular time
175.
      RTJ2
176.
      PCOT
                (priority code -- overtime)
177.
      OTJ1
178.
      OTJ2
179.
      PCSE
                (priority code -- self-employed)
```

```
List of Variable Names (With Explanations) (continued).
180.
      SEJ1
      SEJ2
181.
182.
      PCFS
                (priority code -- fishing at other site)
183.
      FSIT
                (other fishing site)
184.
      PCRC
                (priority code -- other recreation)
185.
      REC
                (other type of recreation)
186.
      PCRX
                (relaxing at home)
187.
      PCOR
                (other activity)
188.
      WG1
                (regular time wage -- job 1)
189.
      WG2
                (regular time wage -- job 2)
190.
      WG3
                (regular time wage -- job 3)
191.
      SAL1
                (salary -- job 1)
192.
      SAL2
                (salary -- job 2)
193.
      SAL3
                (salary -- job 3)
194.
      YR1
                (year of last work at job 1)
195.
      YR2
                (year of last work at job 2)
196.
      YR3
                (year of last work at job 3)
197.
      OTWG1
                (overtime wage -- job 1)
198.
      OTWG2
                (overtime wage -- job 2)
199.
      OTWG3
                (overtime wage -- job 3)
200.
      SEY1
                (self-employment income -- job 1)
201.
      SEY2
                (self-employment income -- job 2)
202.
      FAM1
                (work by other family members -- job 1?)
203.
      FAM2
                (work by other family members -- job 2?)
                (no. of other family members -- job 1)
204.
      NUMFAM1
205.
      NUMFAM2
                (no. of other family members -- job 2)
206.
      ID1J1
                (relationship: family worker #1, job 1)
207.
      ID1J2
                (relationship: family worker #1, job 2)
208.
      WK1J1
                (wks of work: family worker #1, job 1)
209.
      WK1J2
               (wks of work: family worker #1, job 2)
210.
      HR1J1
                (hrs/wk of work: family worker #1, job 1)
211.
      HR1J2
                (hrs/wk of work: family worker #1, job 2)
212.
      ID2J1
213.
      ID2J2
214.
      WK2J1
215.
      WK2J2
```

```
D. List of Variable Names (With Explanations) (continued).
    216.
           HR2J1
    217.
           HR2J2
    218.
           ID3J1
    219.
           ID3J2
    220.
           WK3J1
    221.
           WK3J2
    222.
           HR3J1
    223.
           HR3J2
    224.
           HHY
                     (total household income -- 1985)
    225.
           LCORV
    226.
           CHNGNUMV
    227.
           NUMV
    228.
           CHNGLENV
    229.
           DAYTSV
    230.
           NUMVA
                                       For explanation of variable n,
    231.
           LENVA
                                       see variable n-126 and substitute
'V' for '7' (and 'VERY DOWNSIDE CASE'
    232.
           NUMVB
                                       for '7" MSL').
    233.
           LENVB
    234.
           FURV
    235.
           MINV
    236.
           MIV
    237.
           FARLCV
    238.
           MINLCV
    239.
           MILCV
    240.
           QUEST#
                      (questionnaire number)
```

(where are you staying during present trip?)

241.

WHERSTAY

E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries')

1. DATE (6)

Date

Month/day/year (2 digits for each)

RESULTS

Range: 2/27/86 - 8/16/86

2. SITE (3)
Fishing site
3-digit code for site

Code	<u>Sites</u> <u>n</u>
0	Missing - I
346	Hessel Bay - 52
347	Mackinac Bay - 10
348	Muskie Bay - 42
349	Shepherd Bay - 18
350	Cedarville - 47
351	Flower Bay - 2
352	Moscoe Channel - 60
353	Government Bay - 7
354	McKay Bay - 30
400	Lake Huron - 6

3. TIME (4)
Time of interview
24-hour basis (e.g., 1 p.m. is 1300)

6 [2] 7 [11] 8 [16] 9 [24] 10 [28] 11 [34] 12 [20] 13 [24] 14 [24] [22] 15 16 [27] 17 [17] 18 [9] 19 [5] 20 [9]

- E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
 - 4. INTSITE (2): [only coded for open-water fishing; for winter fishing, interview site is the same as fishing site, recorded in var. 2]

	var. 2] openwater
Code	<u>Interview Site</u> <u>n</u> (w inter fishing)
0	Missing - 134
5	Cedarville R.V. Park - 4
6	Les Cheneaux Motel - 0
7	Bearfoot Resort - 0
8	Cedar Point Cottages - 8
9	Hills Point Resort - 6
10	Island View Resort - 3
11	Larys Cabins - 0
12	Bayview Cottages - 1
13	Don's Place - 0
14	Les Cheneaux Park Ctgs 0
16	Patrick's Landing - 0
17	Paul's Waterfront Ctgs O
18	Shoberg's Resort - 0
19	Waterlawn Harbor - O
20	Hessel Public Launch - 13
21	Cedarville Public Launch - 22
22	Cedarville Public Docks - 0
23	Mertaughs Docks - 0
24	Hill Island Causeway - 5
25	Island Eight Causeway - O
26	McKay Creek Bridge ~ 18
27	Sunset Cabins - 2
28	Les Cheneaux Landing - 14
29	Shady Side - 15
30	Spring Lodge - 14
31	Torsky's Resort - 6
32	Ford's Cottages - O
33	Wilson's Cabins - O
34	Trail's End - 10

E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).

5. CITY (3) Where do you live (city)? 3-digit code for city (and non-Michigan state, where applicable)

3-digit	code for city (and non-Michigan state, where applicable
Code	<u>Site</u> <u>n</u>
0	Missing - 1
049	Adrian - 1
001	Ann Arbor - 1
107	Allan Park - 2
046	Allegan - 2
077	Alto - 1
122	Au Gres - 1
002	Battle Creek - 2
003	Bay City - 3
089	Beaverton - 1
113	Bently - 1
057	Burnips - 1
880	Caro - 1
004	Cedar Springs - 1
005	Cedarville - 41
006	Charlotte - 3
066	Cheboygan - 1
110	Clinton - 1
007	Comstock Park - 2
060	Conklin - 1
082	Concord - 1
098	Corunna - 1
128	Croswell - 1
800	Dear Township - 1
009	Dearborn - 0
119	Deckerville - 1
010	Detour - 1
011	Detroit - 0
123	Dewitt - 1
064	Durand - 1
012	East Lansing - 0
047	Edmore - 1

- E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
 - 5. (continued).

(concinued).			
<u>Code</u>	Site n		
076	Elsie - 0		
062	Evert - 3		
115	Fairgrove - 1		
129	Farmington Hills - 0		
052	Ferndale - 1		
058	Fibre - 2		
013	Flatrock - 1		
084	Fleshing - 1		
014	Flint - 2		
095	Fountain - 1		
087	Frazier - 1		
092	Garden City - 1		
061	Gobels - 3		
015	Gower - 1		
016	Grand Rapids - 7		
017	Grandville - 1		
018	Grayling - 4		
103	Hale - O		
111	Harbor Beach - 1		
109	Harbor Springs - 1		
114	Harrison - 0		
117	Harrisville - 1		
116	Hazel Park - 1		
019	Hessel - 12		
081	Highland Township - 0		
020	Holland - 3		
108	Howard City - 1		
048	Howell - 2		
050	Jackson - 3		
021	Jension - 1		
022	Kalamazoo - O		
023	Kincheloe - 2		
100	Kinross - 1		

- E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
 - 5. (continued).

Code	Site n
072	Lake City - 0
024	Lansing - 3
106	Lennon - 0
025	Lewiston - 5
026	Lindon - 1
073	Livonia - 3
027	Mackinaw City - 0
028	Mackinaw Island - 2
054	Madison Hts 0
068	Manton - 1
029	Marion - 3
125	Marlette - 1
079	Marne - 0
091	Marshall - 1
030	Martin - 1
124	Melvindale - 0
085	Midland - 2
031	Millersburg - 1
093	Mt. Clemens - 0
032	Moran - 2
033	Mulliken - 1
075	Muskegon - 0
090	New Lothrop - 0
053	Newaggo - 1
034	Newberry - 2
097	Niles - 1
063	Oscoda - O
059	Ostego - 3
096	Otisville - 1
126	Port Huron - 0
035	Petoskey - 1
065	Pellston - 0
036	Pickford - 5

- E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
 - (continued).

Code	<u>Site</u> <u>n</u>
071	Plymouth - 1
037	Pontiac - 1
086	Rochester - 1
118	Rogers City - 1
055	Rudyard - 2
038	Saginaw - 1
099	St. Clair Shores - 1
039	St. Ignace - 22
131	St. Johns - 1
040	Sault Ste. Marie - 9
041	Sears - 1
056	South Haven - 1
074	South Gate - 1
127	Southfield - 1
105	Standish - 0
042	Stanwood - 1
070	Sterling Hts 1
069	Swartz Creek - 1
101	Taylor - 1
112	Tecumseh - 1
132	Three Rivers - 1
043	Traverse City - 2
094	Trenton - 2
044	Troy - 1
121	Union Lake - 1
067	Utica - 1
045	Wadsworth - 1
104	Warren - 2
078	Westland - 1
083	Ypsilanti - 1
102	Zeeland - 3

E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).

5. (continued).

Code <u>Site</u> n Other States 301 Akron, OH - 1 302 Miamisburg, OH - 2 303 West Milton, OH - 2 304 Rocksbury, OH - 0 305 Ft. Wayne, IN - 0 Miami Township, OH - 0 306 307 Dayton, OH - 3 308 Columbus, OH - 0 Richmond, IN - 1 309 310 Macy, IN - 0 Hillsboro, IL - 0 311 312 Bradenton, FL - 1 313 Arcada, FL - 1 314 Fort Thomas, KY - 1 315 Houston, OH - 0 Xenia, OH - 0 317 318 Louisville, KY - 1 Toledo, OH - 6 319 320 Bowling Green, OH - O 321 Bloomingdale, IL - 1 322 Marion, OH - 1 323 Kittering, OH - 1 324 South Bend, IN - 0 325 Findley, OH - 1 326 Smithville, OH - 1 327 St. Johns, OH - 1 328 Eleva, WI - 0 329 Davenport, IA - 1 330 Hammelton, OH - 2 331 St. Petersburg, FL - 1 332 Sandusky, OH - 0 333 Bellview, FL - 1

E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).

```
5. (continued).
```

```
Code
          <u>Site</u>
 334
          Plano, TX - 0
 335
          Batavia, OH - O
 336
          Middlebury, IN - 1
 337
          Seville, OH - 1
```

6. ST (2)

Where do you live (state)? 2-digit code for state [see back of codebook]

0. Missing - 1

1. Michigan - 242

11. Florida - 4

15. Illinois - 1

16. Indiana - 2

17. Iowa - 1

19. Kentucky - 2

36. Ohio - 22

7. ZIP (5) Where do you live (Zip Code)?

8. ZC (1) What is this zip code for? 0. Missing - 26 1. Residence - 243 2. P.O. Box - 6

9. Unknown

9. INT Have we interviewed you before? 1. Yes - 0 2. No - 275

10. LC85 Did you fish in the Les Cheneaux area last year? 1. Yes - 245 2. No - 30

11. LC5 Did you make more than 5 fishing trips to Les Cheneaux Islands last year? Missing - 30 (no trips last year) 1. Yes - 111 2. No - 134

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
    12. IF (GT5 Only)
         Did you ice fish between 12/84 and 4/85?
         O. Missing - 164 (fewer than 5 trips or missing on var. 11)
         1. Yes - 94
         2. No - 17
   13. IFBEG (GT5 Only)
         In what week did you ice fish for the
                                                       0 [208]
           first time?
                                                        11/25/84-3/15/84 [67]
         Month/day/year (2 digits for each)
                                                        1/1/85 median
    14. IFEND (GT5 Only)
                                                        0 [209]
         What was the last week you ice fished?
                                                        2/1/85-4/25/85 [66]
         Month/day/year (2 digits for each)
                                                        4/15/85 median
    15. IFREG (GT5 Only)
         Did you go according to a regular pattern?
         0. Missing - 182
         1. Yes - 58
         2. No - 35
    16. IFPRWK (GT5 Only)
         Approximately how many times per week?
         Variable contains decimal point (X.X)
            0 [217]
1 [5]
           1.5 [1]
            2 [9]
           2.5 [4]
3 [12]
3.5 [2]
            4
               Γ7]
            5
               [10]
               [5]
            6
               [3]
            7
    17. IFWM (GT5 Only)
         How was answer that resulted in V16 given?
         0. Missing - 217
         1. Times per week - 58
         2. Times per month - 0
```

```
Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
```

```
18. IFTSRUA (GT5 Only)
                                                   0 [217]
                                                   15-132 [58]
                                                   30 median
    Total ice-fishing trips (regular pattern)
                                                   55.10 mean
    Variable for anglers whose ice-fishing
                                                   (31.25) std. dev.
      trips followed a regular pattern
```

```
19. IFTSR (GT5 Only)
     Adjusted number of ice-fishing trips
        (regular pattern)
         0 [217]
        15 [3]
16 [1]
        20 [3]
24 [1]
        25 [4]
        30
        35
        40
            [3]
        45
        50
        55
            [2]
        60
            [5]
```

65

80 [3]

8

[2] 10

[2] 70 75

[4]

20. IFTSNUA (GT5 Only) Total ice-fishing trips (no regularity) Variable for anglers whose ice-fishing trips did not follow a regular pattern

0 [239] 1-130 [36] 2 median 12.94 mean (22.67) std. dev.

21. IFTSN (GT5 Only) Adjusted number of ice-fishing trips (no regularity) 12 [3] 15 [1] 0 [240] 1 [2] 2 [5] 3 [3] 20 [1] 22 [1] 25 [1] 4 [4] 5 40 [1] 6 [2] 50 [1] [2] 7

```
Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
22. OW (GT5 Only)
     Did you fish here during open-water
       fishing last year?
     0. Missing - 164
     1. Yes - 81
     2. No - 30
23. OWBEG (GT5 Only)
                                                    0 [218]
     In what week did it start for you?
                                                    4/5/85-9/15/85 [57]
     Month/day/year (2 digits for each)
                                                    5/1/85 median
24. OWEND (GT5 Only)
                                                    0 [218]
     What was the last week you did open-water
                                                    7/1/85-12/1/85 [57]
       fishing?
                                                    10/1/85 median
     Month/day/year (2 digits for each).
     OWREG (GT5 Only)
25.
     Did you go according to a regular pattern?
     0. Missing - 196
     1. Yes - 38
     2. No - 41
26. OWPRWK (GT5 Only)
     Approximately how many times per week?
     Variable contains decimal point (X.X)
        0 [237]
1 [6]
       1.2 [1]
           [10]
        2
       2.3 [1]
       2.5 [1]
        3
           [6]
           [5]
        5
           [6]
           [1]
     OWWM (GT5 Only)
27.
     How was answer that resulted in V26 given?
     0. Missing - 237
     1. Times per week - 35
     2. Times per month - 3
28. OWTSRUA (GT5 Only)
                                                    0 [239]
                                                    6-154 [36]
     Total open-water fishing trips
                                                    56.72 mean
       (regular pattern)
                                                    (43.55) std. dev.
     Variable for anglers whose open-water
```

fishing trips followed a regular pattern

```
Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
29. OWTSR (GT5 Only)
     3
     Adjusted number of open-water fishing
        trips (regular pattern)
         0 [246]
        6 [2]
        12 [1]
15 [3]
        20 [1]
        25 [2]
        30 [4]
        35
        40
        45 [3]
        50 [3]
        54 [1]
        60
        75
       80
       85
       120
      130 [2]
      140 [2]
      150 [1]
                                                        0 [230]
30.
     OWTSNUA (GT5 Only)
                                                        1-100 [45]
     Total open-water fishing trips
                                                        17.62 mean
                                                        (20.29) std. dev.
        (no regularity)
     Variable for anglers whose open-water
        fishing did not follow a regular pattern
31.
     OWTSN (GT5 Only)
     Adjusted number of open-water fishing
        trips (no regularity)
         0 [237]
                    35 [2]
        1 [2]
2 [2]
3 [1]
4 [1]
                    37 [1]
                   42 [1]
90 [1]
                   100 [1]
         5
         6
           [3]
         7
        8
        10
        12 [3]
        14 [1]
        15 [2]
       20
```

22 [1]

```
Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
32. TSUA (GT5 Only)
                                                     0 [175]
                                                     5-230 [100]
     Total fishing trips
                                                     57.23 mean
                                                    (52.98) std. dev.
33.
     TS (GT5 Only)
                                                     0 [167]
                                                     5-230 [108]
                                                     58.62 mean
     Adjusted total trips
                                                     (54.31) std. dev.
34. ADDPROB (GT5 Only)
     Problem involving clerk's addition of
       open-water and ice-fishing trips
     0. No problem - 262
     1. Clerk did not add up trips during
          interview to check total - 10
     2. Clerk's sum is too high by 5% or more - 1
     3. Clerk's sum is too low by 5% or more - 2
35. TS5 (LTE5 Only)
     How many trips did you make to site
       last year? (five or fewer)
     Variable for anglers with five or fewer
       trips to site last year
     0 [141]
     1 [79]
     2 [30]
     3 [12]
     4 [4]
     5 [9]
36.
     IFTS5 (LTE5 Only)
     How many ice-fishing trips? (five or fewer)
     0 [238]
     1 [21]
     2 [11]
     3 [3]
     4 [0]
     5 [2]
     OWTS5 (LTE5 Only)
     How many open-water fishing trips? (five or fewer)
     0 [168]
     1 [69]
     2 [24]
3 [5]
4 [3]
     5 [6]
```

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
    38. HRSSUM
          1
          How many hours per day did you usually
            fish in summer?
             0 [89]
             2 [11]
             3 [28]
4 [30]
             5 [23]
             6 [37]
             7
                [16]
             8 [28]
9 [7]
            10 [4]
            12 [2]
    39.
         HRSWIN
          How many hours per day did you usually
             fish in winter?
             0 [146]
1 [1]
2 [4]
3 [15]
             4 [21]
             5 [19]
             7
                [10]
             8
             9 [11]
            10 [1]
            12 [1]
    40. DAYT
          Were all your trips day trips?
          0. Missing - 32
          1. Yes - 103
2. No - 140
    41. PUR
          What was the primary purpose of visiting Les Cheneaux?
          0. Missing - 36
1. Fishing - 218
          2. Hiking - 0
          3. Boating - 0
          4. Camping - 0
          5. Touring - 0
          6. Hunting - 0 7. Other - 21
```

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
42-46. DAYST1, DAYST2, DAYST3, DAYST4, DAYST5
         How many days were you in the area for each trip?
            (overnight respondents)
         V42 - 1st trip
              0 [137]
              1 [2]
              2
                [10]
              3
                [34]
              4
                [14]
              5 [6]
              6
                [34]
              8
              9
             10
                [3]
                [20]
             14
                [3]
             30
             31
             35 [1]
             45 [1]
             50
            120 [1]
            122 [1]
            150 [1]
         V43 - 2nd
              0 [213]
1 [1]
2 [7]
              3 [22]
4 [8]
              5 [2]
              6
                [1]
              7
                [11]
             8 [1]
             10 [1]
             14 [6]
             30
                [2]
         V43 - 3rd
              0 [240]
              2 [8]
              3 [12]
              4
                [4]
             57
                [5]
              8
             13 [1]
             14 [1]
```

30

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
 42-46. (continued).
          V45 - 4th
               0 [245]
               2 [6]
               3 [12]
              4 [5]
7 [6]
30 [1]
          V46 - 5th
               0 [248]
               2 [3]
               3 [11]
               4 [3]
               5 [3]
7 [4]
              14 [3]
 47-51.
          FISHT1, FISHT2, FISHT3, FISHT4, FISHT5
          On how many of these days did you fish
          V47 - 1st trip
               0 [137]
               1 [6]
               2
                 [14]
               3
                 [30]
                 [13]
                 [9]
[6]
               5
               б
               7
                 [27]
               8
                 [3]
               9 [1]
              10
              14 [18]
              23
                 [1]
              26
                 [1]
              30 [2]
              35
              40 [1]
              45 [1]
              75
             100 [2]
          V48 - 2nd
               0 [213]
                           8 [1]
10 [1]
               1 [4]
                           14 [4]
25 [1]
30 [1]
               2 [6]
               3 [23]
4 [9]
               5 [2]
6 [1]
               67
```

[9]

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
 47-51. (continued).
            V49 - 3rd
                 0 [240]
1 [3]
2 [8]
                 3 [11]
4 [3]
                 5 [1]
                 7 [4]
                 8 [1]
                10 [2]
                25 [1]
                35 [1]
           V50 - 4th

0 [248]

1 [2]

2 [6]

3 [11]

4 [3]

7 [5]
            V51 - 5th
                 0 [250]
1 [1]
2 [4]
                 3 [10]
                 4 [2]
5 [3]
7 [4]
                 7
                14 [1]
            P1T1, P1T2, P1T3, P1T4, P1T5
 52-56.
            What was the primary purpose of each trip?
            (See V41 above for meaning of codes)
            V52 - 1st trip
                 0 [138]
1 [118]
7 [19]
            V53 - 2nd
                 0 [213]
                 1 [50]
6 [1]
7 [11]
            V54 - 3rd
                 0 [240]
                 1 [26]
5 [1]
7 [8]
```

```
Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
 52-56. (continued).
            V55 - 4th
                 0 [245]
1 [19]
6 [3]
7 [8]
            V56 - 5th
                 0 [248]
1 [17]
6 [2]
7 [8]
57-61.
            P2T1, P2T2, P2T3, P2T4, P2T5
            What was the secondary purpose of each trip?
            (See V41 for codes)
            V57 - 1st trip
                 0 [202]
                 1 [17]
2 [2]
3 [3]
                 4 [1]
                 5 [1]
6 [1]
7 [48]
            V58 - 2nd
                 0 [244]
1 [10]
2 [1]
3 [2]
4 [1]
                 7 [17]
            V59 - 3rd
                 0 [258]
                 1 [6]
2 [1]
3 [2]
                    [8]
            V60 - 4th
                 0 [260]
                 1 [6]
2 [1]
3 [1]
                 7 [7]
            V61 - 5th
                 0 [262]
1 [6]
2 [1]
                 3 [1]
7 [5]
```

```
Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
62-66.
        P3T1, P3T2, P3T3, P3T4, P3T5
        What was the tertiary purpose of each trip?
        (See V41 for codes)
        V62 - 1st trip
            0 [270]
            1 [1]
             3 [3]
             4 [1]
        V63 - 2nd
            0 [272]
            1 [1]
            3 [2]
        V64 - 3rd
            0 [272]
            1 [1]
            3 [2]
        V65 - 4th
            0 [272]
            1 [1]
3 [2]
        V66 - 5th
            0 [272]
1 [1]
3 [2]
67-72.
        SNOWWIN, CARWIN, BOATRWIN, BOATOWIN, WALKWIN, OTHWIN
        For your winter trips last year, how many times did you use this
          mode of transportation to travel to the area from home?
                                                      0 [243]
                                                      1-130 [32]
        V67 - snowmobile
                                                      57.38 mean
                                                      (36.0) std. dev.
                                                      0 [184]
                                                      1-100 [91]
                                                      15.35 mean
        V68 - car
                                                      (22.42) std. dev.
        V69 - boat (rent)
                                                      0 [275]
        V70 - boat (own)
                                                      0 [275]
```

E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).

67-72. (continued).

73-77. CARSUM, BOATRSUM, BOATOSUM, WALKSUM, OTHSUM

For your summer trips last year, how many times did you use this mode of transportation to travel to the area from home?

V73 - car 0 [112] 1-130 [163] 9.37 mean (18.99) std. dev.

V74 - boat (rent) 0 [274] 15 [1]

V75 - boat (own)
0 [260]
1 [1]
2 [1]
15 [1]
25 [1]
45 [4]
80 [2]
100 [1]
120 [1]
140 [2]
150 [1]

V76 - walk 0 [269] 1 [1] 25 [1] 30 [1] 40 [1] 100 [1] 125 [1]

V77 - other

```
Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
   78.
        GRP
        1
        Did you come from home in a group?
        0. Missing - 46
        1. Yes - 191
        2. No - 38
   79.
        NUMGRP
        How many people in group, including yourself?
            0 [84]
            1 [1]
            2 [84]
3 [35]
            4 [39]
            5 [6]
              [11]
            6
            8 [2]
            9 [1]
           10 [3]
           15 [1]
           16 [1]
17 [2]
           18 [1]
           20 [1]
           25 [3]
   80. SPLEXP
        Did you split expenses?
        0. Missing - 84
        1. Yes - 106
        2. No - 85
   81. SAME
        1
        Did you live in the same place last year as you do now?
        0. Missing - 39
        1. Yes - 231
        2. No - 5
   82. CITY85
        Where did you live last year (city)?
        3-digit code for city (see code for V5)
          0. Missing - 272
         81. Highland Township - 1
         86. Rochester - 1
        303. West Milton, OH - 1
```

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
```

83. ST85
2
Where did you live last year (state)?
2-digit code for state
0. Missing - 271
1. Michigan - 2
19. Kentucky - 1
36. Ohio - 1

84. ZIP85
5
Where did you live last year (Zip Code)?

85. ZC85

1
What is this zip code for?
0. Missing - 273
1. Residence - 2
2. P.O. Box - 0
9. Unknown - 0

86. MITOLC
4
How many miles was the trip from home
to the Les Cheneaux fishing site
one way?

87. MINTOLC
4
How much time did it take you to get here (if you traveled directly Variable indicates number of minutes.

88. CNTNGY (1)
Which contingency (ies) did individual answer? [For inputting purpose]
0. Missing - 1
1. 1 (7") - 53
2. 2 (8") - 51

2. 2 (8") - 51
3. 3 (slight down) - 0
4. 4 (very down) - 92
5. 1,2 (7", 8") - 72
6. 1,3 (7", slight down) - 4
7. 2,3 (8", slight down) - 2

0 [6] 1-1650 [269] 220.68 mean (235.05) std. dev.

0 [6] 1-2100 [269] 260.12 mean (283.18) std. dev.

```
Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
90-94.
         PERCH%, TRSAL%, HERR%, SMLT%, OTH%
         What percentage of your time on average do you target for each type
           of fish?
         V90 - perch
             0 [99]
1 [1]
             5 [5]
            10 [8]
            12
            20
            25
            30
            33
            35
            40
            45 [1]
            50 [23]
            60
            70
                [3]
            75
               [24]
            80 [7]
            85
            90
                [6]
            98
           100 [68]
         V91 - trout and salmon
               [244]
[1]
             0
             1
             5
               [2]
            10 [10]
            15 [2]
            20
            25
            30
            50
            70
            72
            75
            80
            90 [2]
            99
           100 [1]
         V92 - herring
               [221]
             0
                          40 [1]
                         45 [1]
50 [4]
60 [2]
               [1]
[2]
             1
             5
               [10]
            10
            15
               [2]
                          75
            16 [1]
                          80
            20 [4]
                          90
```

25 [8] 30 [3]

95 100

[8]

```
Ε.
    Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
    90-94.
             (continued).
             V93 - smelt
                  0 [265]
                 5 [2]
10 [1]
20 [1]
                 25 [3]
                 40 [1]
                 50 [1]
75 [1]
             V94 - other
                  0 [188]
5 [2]
                 10 [10]
                 15 [1]
                 20 [8]
                 25 [14]
30 [1]
                 40 [4]
                 45 [2]
                 50 [18]
52 [2]
55 [2]
                 60 [2]
                 70 [7]
                 75
                 90
                    [1]
                 95 [1]
                100 [11]
    Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
    95-98.
             NUMFISH, SZFISH, FAMSITE, QUALTOUR
              Do you value this aspect of a perch fishery?
              Ranking, 1=highest
              V95 - number of fish
                  0 [178]
                  1 [68]
                  2 [27]
                  3 [2]
              V96 - size of fish
                  0 [160]
                  1 [91]
                  2 [94]
              V97 - familiarity of site
                  0 [209]
                  1 [60]
                  2 [3]
3 [3]
```

```
Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
95-98.
        (continued).
        V98 - quality of tourist services
            0 [271]
1 [2]
            2 [1]
            4 [1]
   99. LCOR7
        1
        Would you prefer Les Cheneaux or site with 7" MSL?
        0. Missing - 195
        1. Les Cheneaux - 24
        2. Site with 7" MSL - 56
  100.
        CHNGNUM7
        If this change occurred at Les Cheneaux last year, would you have
          taken a different number of trips?
        0. Missing - 185
        1. More - 5
        2. Fewer - 3
        3. Same - 81
        4. No opinion - 1
        NUM7
  101.
        If more or less, how many trips would you have taken?
            0 [268]
            2 [1]
3 [1]
4 [1]
            5 [1]
           10 [1]
           13 [1]
           16 [1]
  102.
        CHNGLEN7
        If this change occurred at Les Cheneaux
          last year would you have changed the
          length of stay?
        0. Missing - 186
        1. Longer trips - 1
        2. Shorter trips - 0
        3. Same length trips - 86
        4. No opinion - 1
        9. No trips - 1
  103. DAYTS7
                                                     0 [274]
        If longer or shorter, how many days
                                                     3 [1]
          would you have stayed? (number
```

of one-day trips)

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
  104-107.
            NUM7A, LEN7A, NUM7B, LEN7B
            If longer or shorter, how many
                                                   -day
               trips would you have taken?
            V104 - number of trips - 0 [275]
            V105 - length of trips - 0 [275]
V106 - number of trips - 0 [275]
            V107 - length of trips - 0 [275]
            FUR7
      108.
            Would you be willing to travel further
               to the alternative site?
            Asked if V99 = 2
            0. Missing - 221.
             1. Yes - 45
            2. No -9
  109-110. MIN7, MI7
            How much further would you be willing to
               travel to the improved site?
            Asked if V108 = 1
               (interviewee gives time or distance)
            V109 is in minutes
                 0 [274]
                60 [1]
            V110 is in miles
               0 [231]
2 [1]
5 [6]
10 [12]
                12 [1]
                20 [8]
                30 [2]
                50 [1]
                70 [1]
               100 [8]
               150 [1]
               200 [3]
      111. FARLC7
            Would you be willing to travel further to
               Les Cheneaux if your only alternative
               were the worse site?
            Asked if V99 = 1
            Missing - [250]
            1. Yes - 10
            2. No - 15
```

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
  112-113.
            MINLC7, MILC7
            How much further would you be willing
               to travel to Les Cheneaux?
             Asked if V111 = 1
             V112 is in minutes - 0 [275]
             V113 is in miles
                0 [265]
2 [1]
                 3 [1]
                25 [2]
                30 [1]
               60 [2]
               100 [2]
               600 [1]
      114. LCOR8
             Would you prefer Les Cheneaux or
               site with 8" MSL?
            0. Missing - 198
            1. Les Cheneaux - 36
             2. Site with 8" MSL - 41
            CHNGNUM8
      115.
            If this change occurred at Les Cheneaux
               last year, would you have taken a
              different number of trips?
            0. Missing - 187
            1. More - 5
            2. Fewer - 14
            3. Same - 68
            4. No opinion - 1
      116.
            NUM8
             3
             If more or less, how many trips would
               you have taken?
                0 [265]
1 [1]
2 [3]
5 [1]
                 6 [1]
                18 [1]
               20 [2]
40 [1]
```

```
Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
    117. CHNGLEN8
           If this change occurred at Les Cheneaux
            last year, would you have changed the
            length of stay?
          0. Missing - 189
          1. Longer trips - 0
          2. Shorter trips - 3
          3. Same length trips - 82
          4. No opinion - 1
          9. No trips - 0
    118. DAYTS8
          If longer or shorter, how many days
            would you have stayed? (number
            of one-day trips)
             0 [273]
12 [1]
             75 [1]
119-122. NUM8A, LEN8A, NUM8B, LEN8B
          If longer or shorter, how many
               -day trips would you have taken?
          V119 - number of trips - 0 [275]
V120 - length of trips - 0 [275]
          V121 - number of trips - 0 [275]
          V122 - length of trips - 0 [275]
    123. FUR8
          Would you be willing to travel further
            to the alternative site?
          Asked if V114 = 2
          0. Missing - 234
          1. Yes - 31
          2. No - 10
124-125.
          MIN8, MI8
          How much further would you be willing
            to travel to the improved site?
          Asked if V123 = 1
          V124 is in minutes
             0 [274]
60 [1]
```

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
  124-125. (continued).
            V125 is in miles
                0 [245]
1 [1]
2 [1]
                5 [5]
               10 [7]
               12 [1]
               20 [5]
               30 [2]
               70 [1]
              100 [5]
              200 [1]
              999 [1]
      126. FARCL8
            Would you be willing to travel further
              to Les Cheneaux if your only
              alternative were the worse site?
            Asked if V114 = 1
            0. Missing - 240
            1. Yes - 17
            2. No - 18
  127-128.
            MINLC8, MILC8
            How much further would you be willing
              to travel to Les Cheneaux?
            Asked if V126 = 1
            V127 is in minutes - 0 [275]
            V128 is in_miles
                0 [258]
                3 [1]
                5 [1]
               10 [4]
20 [2]
               25 [1]
               30 [1]
               50 [2]
              100 [4]
              200 [1]
      129.
            LCORD
            Would your prefer Les Cheneaux
              or site with fewer large fish
               ('downside case')?
            0. Missing - 269
            1. Les Cheneaux - 2
            2. Site with fewer large fish - 4
```

```
Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
    130.
          CHNGNUMD
          If this change occurred at Les Cheneaux
            last year, would you have taken a
            different number of trips?
          0. Missing - 269
          1. More - 0
          2. Fewer - 0
          3. Same - 6
          4. No opinion - 0
    131. NUMD
          If more or less, how many trips would
            you have taken?
          0. Missing - 0 [275]
    132.
         CHNGLEND
          If this change occurred at Les Cheneaux
            last year, would you have changed the
            length of stay?
          0. Missing - 269

    Longer trips - 0

          2. Shorter trips - 0
          3. Same length trips - 6
          4. No opinion - 0
          9. No trips - 0
   133. DAYTSD
          If longer or shorter, how many days
            would you have stayed?
            (number of one-day trips)
          0. Missing - 0 [275]
134-137.
         NUMDA, LENDA, NUMDB, LENDB
          If longer or shorter, how many
            trips would you have taken?
          V134 - number of trips - 0 [275]
          V135 - length of trips - 0 [275]
          V136 - number of trips - 0 [275]
          V137 - length of trips - 0 [275]
         FURD
   138.
          Would you be willing to travel further
            to the alternative site?
          Asked if V129 = 2
         0. Missing - 272
          1. Yes -0
```

2. No - 3

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
 139-140.
           MIND, MID
            How much further would you be willing
              to travel to the improved site?
            Asked if V138 = 1
            V139 is in minutes - 0 [275]
            V140 is in miles - 0 [275]
     141. FARLCD
           Would you be willing to travel farther
              to Les Cheneaux if your only
              alternative were the worse site?
            Asked if V129 = 1
            0. Missing - 273
            1. Yes - 0
            2. No - 2
 142-143.
           MINLCD, MILCD
           How much further would you be willing
              to travel to Les Cheneaux?
            Asked if V141 = 1
            V142 is in minutes - 0 [275]
            V143 is in miles - 0 [275]
     144. SEX
            Sex of interviewee
            0. Missing - 1
            1. Male - 258
            2. Female - 16
     145. RACE
            Ethnic group of interviewee
            0. Missing - 1
            1. White - 268
            2. Black - 0
            3. Hispanic - 0
            4. American Indian - 6
            5. Asian - 0
     146. AGE
                                                       0 [1]
                                                       30-79 [274]
           Age of interviewee
                                                       37 median
                                                       47.96 mean
                                                        (13.73) std. dev.
```

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
  147,149,
             EMPWIN, RETWIN, STUWIN, UNWIN
  151,153.
             Employment status last year during
                ice-fishing season
             (multiple statuses possible). V147 - employed - 0 [103]
                               - 1 [172]
                              - 0 [204]
             V149 - retired
                               - 1 [71]
             V151 - student - 0 [274]
                               - 1 [1]
             V153 - unemployed - 0 [247]
- 1 [28]
             O. No or Missing
             1. Yes
  148,150,
             EMPSUM, RETSUM, STUSUM, UNSUM
  152,154.
             Employment status last year during summer fishing season.
            V148 - employed - 0 [84]
                                 1 [191]
             V150 - retired
                              - 0 [209]
                               - 1 [66]
             V152 - student - 0 [274]
                              - 1 [1]
             V154 - unemployed - 0 [268]
1 [7]
  155-157.
             SE1, SE2, SE3
             Self-employed?
             V155 - job 1
0 [91]
                   1 [48]
                   2 [136]
             V156 - job 2
0 [267]
                   1 [6]
2 [2]
             V157 - job 3
0 [275]
             1. Yes
```

2. No

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
  158-160.
             OCC1, OCC2, OCC3
             Occupation
             V158 - job 1
V159 - job 2
V160 - job 3
                                                         [See page 44a for frequencies]
             2-digit code for occupation
  161-163.
             IND1, IND2, IND3
                                                       [See page 44b for frequencies]
             Industry (2-digit SIC)
             V161 - job 1
             V162 - job 2
V163 - job 3
  164-166.
             WKSYR1, WKSYR2, WKSYR3
             How many weeks per year employed?
             V164 - job 1
0 [76]
                  10 [1]
                  17 [1°
                  21
                  22 [1]
                  24
                  26 [6]
                  28
                  29
                  30 [9]
                  35 [3]
                  36 [12]
                  38 [3]
                  40 [6]
                  45
                  46 [2]
                  47 [2]
                  48 [2]
                  50 [10]
                  52 [131]
             V165 - job 2
                   0 [267]
3 [1]
                  12 [1]
                  30 [1]
                  45 [1]
                  52 [4]
             V166 - job 3 - 0 [275]
```

	Codes for Occupations (V158-160)	<u>0CC1</u>	<u>0CC2</u>	<u>0CC3</u>
0.	Missing	93	268	275
l	Professional, Technical and Kindred Workers			
10.	Physicians, dentists	1		
11.		1		•
	Accountants and auditors Teachers, primary and secondary schools	8		
14.	College teachers, social scientists,	1	-	
15.		9		
16.	Technicians (including pilots, draftsmen, foresters, and photographers)	2		
17.		_		
	editors and reporters, and social workers)	3		
	Judges, lawyers	1		
19.	Other professional, technical and kindred workers	8		
	Managers, Officials, and Proprietors (except Farm)			
20.	Not self-employed	11		
31.	Self-employed	31		
_	Clerical and Kindred Workers			
40.	Secretaries, stenographers, typists	2		
41.	Other clerical workers (including bank tellers and cashiers)	3		
45 .	Sales Workers Retail store salesmen and clerks,			
45. B	traveling salesmen, advertising agents			
	and salesmen, insurance salesmen	13		
•	Craftsmen, Foremen, and Kindred Workers			
50.	Foremen	10		
51.	Other craftmen and kindred workers	33	3	
52.	Police officers, firefighters	7		
	Military Personnel	_		
55.	Member of Armed Forces	2		
	Operatives and Kindred Workers			
	Transport equipment operatives	12		
62.	Other operatives	9		
	Laborers			
	Unskilled nonfarm	25	2	
71.	Farm laborers			
	Service Workers			
	Household workers			
75.	Other service workers	6	1	
80.	Farmers	1	1	•
1		-	-	

	Codes for Industries (V161-163)	IND1	IND2	IND3
0.	Missing	99	268	275
1.	Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries	5	2	
2.	Mining	2		
3.	Construction	23		
4.	Manufacturing	39		
5.	Transportation, Communications and Other Public Utilities	19	1	
6.	Wholesale and Retail Trade	19		
7.	Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate	6		
8.	Business and Repair Service	14	3	
9.	Personal Services	. 6	1	
10.	Entertainment and Recreation Services	6		
11.	Professional and Related Services	9		
12.	Public Administration	28		

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
  167-169.
            WS1, WS2, WS3
             If less than full year,
               indicate period of employment.
             1. Winter
             2. Summer
             V167 - job 1
                  0 [256]
                  1 [3]
                   2 [16]
             V168 - job 2
0 [274]
                  1 [1]
             V169 - job 3
0 [275]
  170-172.
             HRSWK1, HRSWK2, HRSWK3
                                                             0 [77]
                                                            18-84 [198]
                                                             40 median
             When employed, how many hours per week?
                                                             0 [77]
                                                             18-84 [198]
             V170 - job 1
                                                             40 median
            V171 - job 2
0 [267]
10 [1]
14 [1]
                 20 [2]
24 [1]
40 [2]
60 [1]
                                                             0 [275]
             V172 - job 3
             PCRT, PCOT, PCSE, PCFS, PCRC, PCRX, PCOR
  173,176,
  179,182,
  184,186,
             During the time you fished last year,
  and 187.
               what would you have been doing if you
               weren't fishing?
             Variables give priority
               (1 = highest priority, 0 = not mentioned)
               as alternative activity).
             V173 - working regular time
                  0 [228]
                  1 [45]
                   2 [1]
                  3 [1]
```

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
  173,176,
            (continued).
  179,182,
  184,186,
            V176 - working overtime
                  0 [274]
2 [1]
  and 187.
            V179 - self-employment
                  0 [264]
                  1 [11]
            V182 - visiting another fishing site
                  0 [177]
                  1 [91]
                  2 [7]
            V184 - other recreation
                  0 [246]
                  1 [19]
                  2 [10]
            V186 - relaxing at home
                  0 [179]
                  1 [86]
                  2 [9]
                  3 [1]
            V187 - other
                  0 [269]
                  1 [6]
  174-175. RTJ1, RTJ2
            If you might have worked regular time,
               at which job would you have?
            Variable is job number (1, 2, or 3)
V174 - most likely job
                  0 [249]
                  1 [26]
            V175 - 2nd most likely
                  0 [275]
  177-178.
            OTJ1, OTJ2
            If you might have worked overtime,
               at which job would you have?
            V177 - most likely job - 0 [275]
            V178 - 2nd most likely - 0 [275]
```

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
  180-181.
             SEJ1, SEJ2
             If you might have worked at a
                self-employment job, which one?
             V180 - most likely job - 0 [272]
                                       - 1 [3]
             V181 - 2nd most likely - 0 [275]
      183.
             FSIT
              If you might have visited another
                fishing site, which one?
              3-digit code for fishing site
                 0 [264]
77 [1]
                101 [1]
                150 [1]
               162 [1]
207 [3]
210 [1]
                215 [1]
                216 [1]
                235 [1]
      185.
             REC
             If you might have done some other
                recreation, what would it have
                been?
             (See V41 for codes)
                  0 [267]
                  4 [1]
6 [4]
7 [3]
  188-190.
             WG1, WG2, WG3
             Regular time wage category
             V188 - job 1
             V189 - job 2
             V190 - job 3
Code
                                                      WG2
273
                                                                   WG3
275
                   Wage
                                        WG1
  0
                  Missing
                                        <del>173</del>
  1
                   0 - 2.50
               2.51 - 5.00
               5.01 - 7.50
                                          18
              7.51 - 10.00
10.01 - 12.50
                                          21
                                                        1
  5
                                          17
  6
              12.51 - 15.00
                                          18
  7
              15.01 - 20.00
                                          17
                                           1
              20.01 - 30.00
```

2

30.01 +

1

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
  191-193.
               SAL1, SAL2, SAL3
               Salary
               V191 - job 1
               V192 - job 2
               V193 - job 3
                                            SAL1
Code
                    Income
                                              201
                    Missing
                     0 - 5,000
  1
  2
                                                 3
                5,001 - 10,000
                                                                1
               10,001 - 15,000
15,001 - 20,000
  3
                                                4
  4 5
                                                4
                                                9
               20,001 - 25,000
  6
                                               21
               25,001 - 35,000
               35,001 - 50,000
50,001 - 75,000
  7
                                               23
  8
                                                6
                                                4
  9
               75,001 +
  194-196.
               YR1, YR2, YR3
               Year of last work (last 2 digits)
               V194 - job 1
0 [222]
60 [1]
                    70 [4]
                    71 [1]
72 [1]
                    73 [1]
                    74 [3]
                    75 [3]
76 [2]
77 [5]
                    78 [1]
                    80 [6]
81 [5]
82 [5]
                    83 [5]
84 [5]
85 [3]
86 [2]
               V195 - job 2 - 0 [275]
               V196 - job 3 - 0 [275]
```

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
  197-199.
            OTWG1, OTWG2, OTWG3
            Overtime wage category
            V197 - job 1
            V198 - job 2
            V199 - job 3
                                     OTWG1
                                                  OTWG2
                                                               OTWG3
Code
                  Wage
                                      253
                 Missing
              5.01 - 7.50
7.51 - 10.00
  3
                                        2
                                        2
                                        5
  5
              10.01 - 12.50
                                        1
              12.51 - 15.00
  7
              15.01 - 20.00
                                        7
              20.01 - 30.00
  8
            30.01 +
  200-201.
            SEY1, SEY2
            Net annual income category
               for self-employment
            V200 - job 1
            V201 - job 2
                                     SEY1
                                                  SEY2
Code
                 Income
                                      237
  0
                 Missing
  1
                  0 - 5,000
                                        3
                                                     1
             5,001 - 10,000
  2
                                        1
                                                     1
            10,001 - 15,000
  3
                                                     2
                                        6
  4
            15,001 - 20,000
                                        1
  5
            20,001 - 25,000
                                        6
  6
            25,001 - 35,000
                                       10
  7
             35,001 - 50,000
                                        4
            50,001 - 75,000
                                        3
  8
  9
            75,001 +
  202-203.
            FAM1, FAM2
            Does that net income represent work
              effort by others in the family?
            V202 - job 1 - 0 [275]
            V203 - job 2 - 0 [275]
            1. Yes
            2. No
  204-205.
            NUMFAM1, NUMFAM2
            How many others?
             V204 - job 1 - 0 [272]
```

1 [3]

V205 - job 2 - 0 [275]

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
  206,212,
            ID1J1, ID2J1, ID3J1
  and 218.
             For each family worker at job 1,
               identify relationship.
             V206 - worker #1
             V212 - worker #2
             V218 - Worker #3
                                          ID1J1
                                                          ID2J1
                                                                         ID3J1
            0. Missing
                                         0 [272]
                                                          0 [275]
                                                                        0 [275]
             1. Spouse
                                         1 [1]
             2. Child (18 or over)
             3. Child (under 18)
                                         2 [1]
                                         6 [1]
             4. Child's family
             5. Sibling and family
             Parent
  208,214,
            WK1J1, WK2J1, WK3J1
  and 220.
            How many weeks per year worked (job 1)?
             V208 - worker #1 - 0 [272]
                               - 35 [1]
- 50 [1]
                               - 52 [1]
            V214 - worker #2 - 0 [275]
            V220 - worker #3 - 0 [275]
  210,216,
            HR1J1, HR2J1, HR3J1
  and 222.
            2
            How many hours per week worked (job 1)
            V210 - worker #1 - 0 [272]
- 25 [1]
                               - 45 [1]
                               - 50 [1]
            V216 - worker #2 - 0 [275]
            V222 - worker #3 - 0 [275]
  207,213,
            OD1J2, ID2J2, ID3J2
  and 219.
             For each family worker at job 2,
               identify relationship.
            V207 - worker #1 - 0 [275]
V213 - worker #2 - 0 [275]
            V219 - worker #3 - 0 [275]
            See V206 for codes
  209,215,
            WK1J2, WK2J2, WK3J2
  and 221.
            How many weeks per year worked (job 2)
            V209 - worker #1 - 0 [275]
            V215 - worker #2 - 0 [275]
            V221 - worker #3 - 0 [275]
```

```
E. Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
  211,217,
            HR1J2, HR2J2, HR3J2
  and 223.
            How many hours per week worked (job 2)
            V211 - worker #1 - 0 [275]
            V217 - worker #2 - 0 [275]
            V223 - worker #3 - 0 [275]
      224.
            HHY
            Total combined income received by
               interviewee's family in 1986.
                                         Frequency
Code
                   Income
                                             12
  O_
                   Missing
                    0 - 5,000
                                             7
  1
  2
                5,001 - 10,000
                                            13
  3
                                            37
               10,001 - 15,000
  4
                                            28
              15,001 - 20,000
              20,001 - 25,000
25,001 - 35,000
  5
                                            23
  6
7
                                            66
              35,001 - 50,000
                                            48
  8
               50,001 - 75,000
                                            21
               75,001 +
                                             9
      225.
            LCORV
            Would you prefer Les Cheneaux or site
              with fewer large fish ('very
               downside case')?
            0. Missing - 193
             1. Les Cheneaux - 75
            2. Site with fewer large fish - 7
      226.
            CHNGNUMV
             If this change occurred at Les Cheneaux
               last year, would you have taken a
               different number of trips?
             0. Missing - 194
             1. More - 0
             2. Fewer - 21
             3. Same - 60
             4. No opinion - 0
      227.
            NUMV
             3
             If more or less, how many trips
               would you have taken?
                 0 [266]
1 [3]
                 2 [1]
                 3 [2]
                12 [1]
```

40 [1] 60 [1]

```
Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
   228.
         CHNGLENY
         If this change occurred at Les Cheneaux
           last year, would you have changed the
           length of stay?
         0. Missing - 194
         1. Longer trips - 1
         2. Shorter trips - 2
         3. Same length trips - 66
         4. No opinion - 0
         9. No trips - 12
         DAYTSV
   229.
         If longer or shorter, how many days
                                                    0 [274]
           would you have stayed (number of
                                                    7 [1]
           one-day trips)?
         NUMVA
   230.
         If longer or shorter, how many
             _-day trips would you have taken?
         V230 - number of trips
                                                     0 [274]
         V231 - length of trips
                                                     1 [1]
         V232 - number of trips
         V233 - length of trips
   231. LENVA
                                                    0 [274]
         If longer or shorter, what length of
                                                    12 [1]
           trips would you have taken in days?
   232. NUMVB
         If longer or shorter, how many of
                                                   0 [275]
           trips would you have taken?
   233.
         LENVB
         If longer or shorter, what length
                                                    0 [275]
           (in days) of trips would you have
           taken?
   234.
         FURV
         Would you be willing to travel further
           to the alternative site?
         Asked if V225 = 2
         0. Missing - 268
         1. Yes - 6
```

2. No - 1

```
Names, Information and Codes for Variables ('Codebook Entries') (continued).
235-236.
          MINV, MIV
           How much further would you be willing
             to travel to the improved site?
           Asked if V234 = 1
           V235 is in minutes - 0 [275]
           V236 is in miles
               0 [269]
              20 [1]
              75 [1]
             100 [1]
             150 [1]
             200 [1]
             300 [1]
    237.
          FARLCY
          Would you be willing to travel farther
             to Les Cheneaux if your only
             alternative were the worse site?
           Asked if V225 = 1
           0. Missing - 200
           1. Yes - 38
           2. No - 37
238-239.
          MINLCV, MILCV
          How much further would you be willing
             to travel to Les Cheneaux?
          Asked if V237 = 1
          V238 is in minutes
             0 [270]
60 [3]
120 [2]
          V239 is in miles
              0 [242]
10 [1]
              15 [3]
20 [2]
25 [1]
              30 [5]
              40 [1]
              50 [3]
              60 [2]
75 [2]
             100 [8]
             110 [1]
             200 [2]
             300 [2]
    240.
          ID of survey
```

241.

Where are your staying during your current visit to Les Cheneaux? STAY

1. Day-tripper, public launch-user, 0. Missing - 212

Staying at resort or campground - 50

Staying in own cottage, summer house, or year-around house located in Les Cheneaux, using public launch - 1

- F. Summary. Inconsistencies that showed up with frequencies of questionnaire # and log of what was done to correct them if needed.
 - 1) 111 people said they took more than 5 trips last year (V11) (GT5) of these:
 - V12 94 said they ice fished 17 said they didn't 0 missing
 - V22 81 said they open water fished 30 said they didn't 0 missing

The three missings were mistakes in coding -- they were corrected in the data.

2) N=100 (V32) total trips for people who took about 5 trips. N=108 (V33) total adjusted trips for GT5 trips.

Why discrepancy? Should be same sample.

- a) 9 cases where V32 is blank and V33 is filled in -- I checked all 9 cases and they were just coding errors. (V32 should have been filled in with same number in V33.)
- b) 1 case where V32 is filled in and V33·is not -- Question 38 -- this is also a coding error which I will fix in the data.
- 3) V40 105 all day trips
 140 not all day trips
 30 missing -- 30 people didn't fish at Les Cheneaux last year.

Before it was thought that people who took more than 5 trips took day trips but since 111 people who took more than 5 trips \neq 108 people who took all day trips, this is not correct.

- a) 25 cases where people took more than 5 trips but they were not all day trips.
 - 1) of these 23 people said they took some overnight.

2) 2 were missing -- I looked at those, decided they were day trips and V40 was changed from 0 to 1 for them (so frequencies changed as in pencil).

- b) 17 cases where people said they took fewer than 5 trips and they were all day trips.
 - -- may be a problem then since we don't have trip information on the people who took more than 5 trips with some overnight trips since we assumed they were all day trips (is that night or if they said they took some overnight were the variables pertaining to trip information filled in?).

- 4) One case where data was 3 06 85 was mistake, was changed to 3 06 86.
- 5) Case where MI8 (miles person would be willing to go to site in 8" MSL (I think) was 999 -- looked fishy, but it is okay.
- 6) 3 cases where they took more than 5 trips (N for this variable is 111) but total adjusted number of trips is missing (N=108).
 - a) One of these cases was mentioned before -- Question 38 -- V33 just not filled in even though V32 was and this will be fixed in data.
 - b) Question 9 -- coding error again: person took 100 trips, but both V32 & V33 were not filled in. This will be changed in the data.
 - c) Question 53 same as for Question 9 -- coding error, V32 & V32 should have been filled in -- will be fixed in data.
- 7) 1 case where age and race = 0. This is okay -- Question 183 is not entirely filled in -- not an error in data.
- 8) 2 cases where site = 0
 - a) 1 is blank.
 - b) The other is site = open water. I changed the frequencies so that open water one was recoded to site 400, Lake Huron hasn't been changed in data, but will be.

Codes for States (V6, V83)

- 1. Michigan
- 2. Alabama
- 3. Alaska
- 4. Arizona
- 5. Arkansas
- 6. California
- 7. Colorado
- 8. Connecticut
- 9. Delaware
- 10. District of Columbia
- 11. Florida
- 12. Georgia
- 13. Hawaii
- 14. Idaho
- 15. Illinois
- 16. Indiana
- 17. Iowa
- 18. Kansas
- 19. Kentucky
- 20. Louisiana
- 21. Maine
- 22. Maryland
- 23. Massachusetts
- 24. Minnesota
- 25. Mississippi
- 26. Missouri

- 27. Montana
- 28. Nebraska
- 29. Nevada
- 30. New Hampshire
- 31. New Jersey
- 32. New Mexico
- 33. New York
- 34. North Carolina
- 35. North Dakota
- 36. Ohio
- 37. Oklahoma
- 38. Oregon
- 39. Pennsylvania
- 40. Rhode Island
- 41. South Carolina
- 42. South Dakota
- 43. Tennessee
- 44. Texas
- 45. Utah
- 46. Vermont
- 47. Virginia
- 48. Washington
- 49. West Virginia
- 50. Wisconsin
- 51. Wyoming

Codes for Wage (V188-V190, V197-V199) and for Income (V191-V193, V200, V201, V224)

Category	<u>Code</u>	Wage
Α	1	\$ 0 - 2.50
В	2	2.51 - 5.00
С	3	5.01 - 7.50
ם	4	7.51 - 10.00
Ε	5	10.01 - 12.50
F	6	12.51 - 15.00
G	7	15.01 - 20.00
Н	8	20.01 - 30.00
I	9	30.01 +

Category	Code	Income
A	1	\$ 0 - 5,000
В	2	5,001 - 10,000
С	3	10,001 - 15,000
D	4	15,001 - 20,000
Ε	5	20,001 - 25,000
F	6	25,001 - 35,000
G	7	35,001 - 50,000
Н	8	50,001 - 75,000
I	9	75.001 +

US Department of Commerce
NOAA Coastal Services Center Library
2234 South Hobson Avenue
Charleston, SC 29405-2413